Safety Office



Florida Department of Transportation

605 Suwanee Street, M.S. 53

Tallahassee, FL 32399-0450

Crash Reduction Analysis System Hub (CRASH)

User's Manual

For the

Florida Department of Transportation Traffic Safety Web Portal

Prepared by:

Enterprise 24 x 7, Inc.

In cooperation with

Safety Office State of Florida Department of Transportation 605 Suwannee Street, M.S. 53 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0450

April 2014

ı

Table of Contents

FI	LOR	RIDA TRAFFIC SAFETY PORTAL	7
.1	Inte	RODUCTION	7
.2	Sys	TEM REQUIREMENTS	7
1.2	2.1	Browser Requirements	8
1.2	2.2	Required Applications to View Documents	8
1.2	2.3	Generic Features on the Portal Homepage	8
SY	YST	EM MANAGEMENT	17
2.1	USE	R ACCOUNTS	17
2.2	UR	Ls	17
2.2	2.1	Public Users	17
2.2	2.2	Internal FDOT staff only	18
2.3	WE	BPAGE STRUCTURE AND NAVIGATION	20
2.4	MA	IN WEB PAGES	20
C	RAS	SH REDUCTION ANALYSIS SYSTEM HUB (CRASH)	22
8.1	Pre	REQUISITES	22
8.2	Inte	RODUCTION	22
3.2	2.1	CRASH Login	23
3.3	CRA	ASH MAIN WEBPAGE	26
3	3.1	CRASH Main Webpage View – Non-authorized User	27
3	3.2	CRASH Main Webpage View for Authorized Users	
8.4	Sys	TEM FUNCTIONS	30
8.5	Sys	TEM DATABASE COMPONENTS	30
8.6	Sys	TEM DATA FLOW DIAGRAM	31
8.7	CRA	ASH MENU VIEWS	31
8.8	CRA	SH FUNCTIONAL COMPONENT OVERVIEW	32
3.8	8.1	Project Analysis Submenu	
3.8	8.2	Starting a New Improvement Project	34
3.8	8.3	Saving Projects	58
3.8	8.4	Editing and Viewing Improvement Projects	60
3.8	8.5	Historical Projects	87
3.8	8.6	Maintenance	124
	$ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{F} \\ .1 \\ .2 \\ 1. \\ .2 \\ .1 \\ .2 \\ .2 \\ .2$	FLOR .1 INTI .2 SYS 1.2.1 1.2.2 1.2.3 SYST 2.1 USE 2.2 URI 2.2.1 2.2.2 2.3 WEI 2.2.1 2.2.2 2.3 WEI 2.2.1 3.2.1 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.4 SYS 3.5 SYS 3.5 SYS 3.6 SYS 3.7 CR4 3.8.1 3.8.2 3.8.3 3.8.4 3.8.5 3.8.6	FLORIDA TRAFFIC SAFETY PORTAL 1 INTRODUCTION 2 SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS 1.2.1 Browser Requirements 1.2.2 Required Applications to View Documents 1.2.3 Generic Features on the Portal Homepage. SYSTEM MANAGEMENT

	3.8.7	Project Evaluation Method	161
4.	SSOG	IS SYSTEM	
4	.1 NA	VIGATING TO SSOGIS FROM CRASH	
4	.2 Coi	APONENTS	164
	4.2.1	Navigation Tool	164
	4.2.2	SSOGis Application Toolbar	
	4.2.3	<i>MAP</i>	167
	4.2.4	DATA	
5.	PROV	/IDE FEEDBACK ABOUT THIS GUIDE	174
5	.1 Fee	DBACK FEATURE	176
	5.1.1	Instructions	
6.	APPE	NDIX 1: TABLE OF COUNTIES	

Table of Figures

Figure 1 Portal Homepage – Generic Features	9
Figure 2 Portal Homepage - Full View	19
Figure 3 Portal Homepage - Main Web Pages	21
Figure 4 Homepage Portal – Login Subpage	24
Figure 5 Welcome Banner – Authorized User	25
Figure 6 Welcome Banner – Non-Authorized User	25
Figure 7 Portal Homepage – Access CRASH	26
Figure 8 CRASH Main Webpage View for Non-Authorized User	28
Figure 9 CRASH Main Webpage View for Non-Authorized User	29
Figure 10 CRASH System Components and Data Flow Diagram	31
Figure 11 CRASH Menu View Options	32
Figure 12 Project Analysis Submenu – User without Edit Permissions	33
Figure 13 Project Analysis Submenu – Non-Administrative User with Edit Permissions	34
Figure 14 Project Analysis Submenu – Non-Administrative User with Edit Permissions	35
Figure 15 Benefit-Cost Analysis Form (Top Part) – Crash Based	36

Figure 16 Benefit-Cost Analysis Form (Top Part) – Non-Crash Based	37
Figure 17 Benefit-Cost Analysis Form – Zoomed-In View of Numbered Items 1-10	40
Figure 18 Fields Displayed – Numbered Data Items 11-17	45
Figure 19 Fields Displayed – Numbered Data Item 18 Block	46
Figure 20 Prepared by / Approved by Block	57
Figure 21 Edit Improvement Projects Query Results Columns	64
Figure 22 View Improvement Projects Query Results Columns	64
Figure 23 Project Analysis - Edit Improvement Projects Subpage	66
Figure 24 Edit vs. Delete Project Navigation Menus	67
Figure 25 Sample Edit Project Detail Subpage	76
Figure 25 Improvement Project – SSOGis View	80
Figure 26 Project Analysis - View Improvement Projects Subpage	81
Figure 27 View Project Page	82
Figure 28 Export to Excel Results Sample	84
Figure 29 Project Analysis Submenu – Non-Administrative User without Edit Permissions	88
Figure 30 Project Analysis Submenu – Non-Administrative User with Edit Permissions	89
Figure 30 Project Analysis Submenu – Non-Administrative User with Edit Permissions Figure 31 Benefit-Cost Analysis Form for Adding Historical Projects	89 93
Figure 30 Project Analysis Submenu – Non-Administrative User with Edit Permissions Figure 31 Benefit-Cost Analysis Form for Adding Historical Projects Figure 32 Edit Historical Improvement Projects Default Results	89 93 96
Figure 30 Project Analysis Submenu – Non-Administrative User with Edit Permissions Figure 31 Benefit-Cost Analysis Form for Adding Historical Projects Figure 32 Edit Historical Improvement Projects Default Results Figure 33 View Historical Improvement Projects Default Results	89 93 96 97
Figure 30 Project Analysis Submenu – Non-Administrative User with Edit Permissions Figure 31 Benefit-Cost Analysis Form for Adding Historical Projects Figure 32 Edit Historical Improvement Projects Default Results Figure 33 View Historical Improvement Projects Default Results Figure 34 Historical Projects Query Results Sample	89 93 96 97 101
 Figure 30 Project Analysis Submenu – Non-Administrative User with Edit Permissions Figure 31 Benefit-Cost Analysis Form for Adding Historical Projects Figure 32 Edit Historical Improvement Projects Default Results Figure 33 View Historical Improvement Projects Default Results Figure 34 Historical Projects Query Results Sample Figure 35 Generate HSIP Reports Query Filters 	89 93 96 97 101 103
Figure 30 Project Analysis Submenu – Non-Administrative User with Edit Permissions Figure 31 Benefit-Cost Analysis Form for Adding Historical Projects Figure 32 Edit Historical Improvement Projects Default Results Figure 33 View Historical Improvement Projects Default Results Figure 34 Historical Projects Query Results Sample Figure 35 Generate HSIP Reports Query Filters Figure 36 Sample HSIP Report	89 93 96 97 101 103 105
Figure 30 Project Analysis Submenu – Non-Administrative User with Edit Permissions Figure 31 Benefit-Cost Analysis Form for Adding Historical Projects Figure 32 Edit Historical Improvement Projects Default Results Figure 33 View Historical Improvement Projects Default Results Figure 34 Historical Projects Query Results Sample Figure 35 Generate HSIP Reports Query Filters Figure 36 Sample HSIP Report Figure 37 Historical Projects: Perform Before and After Evaluations Query Filters	89 93 96 97 101 103 105 107
Figure 30 Project Analysis Submenu – Non-Administrative User with Edit Permissions Figure 31 Benefit-Cost Analysis Form for Adding Historical Projects Figure 32 Edit Historical Improvement Projects Default Results Figure 33 View Historical Improvement Projects Default Results Figure 34 Historical Projects Query Results Sample Figure 35 Generate HSIP Reports Query Filters Figure 36 Sample HSIP Report Figure 37 Historical Projects: Perform Before and After Evaluations Query Filters Figure 39 Sample of Selected Projects Summary Report	89 93 96 97 101 103 105 107 112
 Figure 30 Project Analysis Submenu – Non-Administrative User with Edit Permissions Figure 31 Benefit-Cost Analysis Form for Adding Historical Projects Figure 32 Edit Historical Improvement Projects Default Results Figure 33 View Historical Improvement Projects Default Results Figure 34 Historical Projects Query Results Sample Figure 35 Generate HSIP Reports Query Filters Figure 36 Sample HSIP Report Figure 37 Historical Projects: Perform Before and After Evaluations Query Filters Figure 39 Sample of Selected Projects Summary Report Figure 40 Historical Projects - Before Construction Period of Selected Projects 	89 93 96 97 101 103 105 107 112 115
 Figure 30 Project Analysis Submenu – Non-Administrative User with Edit Permissions Figure 31 Benefit-Cost Analysis Form for Adding Historical Projects Figure 32 Edit Historical Improvement Projects Default Results Figure 33 View Historical Improvement Projects Default Results Figure 34 Historical Projects Query Results Sample Figure 35 Generate HSIP Reports Query Filters Figure 36 Sample HSIP Report	89 93 96 97 101 103 105 112 115 118
 Figure 30 Project Analysis Submenu – Non-Administrative User with Edit Permissions Figure 31 Benefit-Cost Analysis Form for Adding Historical Projects Figure 32 Edit Historical Improvement Projects Default Results Figure 33 View Historical Improvement Projects Default Results Figure 34 Historical Projects Query Results Sample	89 93 96 97 101 103 105 107 112 115 118 120
 Figure 30 Project Analysis Submenu – Non-Administrative User with Edit Permissions Figure 31 Benefit-Cost Analysis Form for Adding Historical Projects Figure 32 Edit Historical Improvement Projects Default Results Figure 33 View Historical Improvement Projects Default Results Figure 34 Historical Projects Query Results Sample	89 93 96 97 101 103 105 107 112 115 118 120 125
Figure 30 Project Analysis Submenu – Non-Administrative User with Edit Permissions Figure 31 Benefit-Cost Analysis Form for Adding Historical Projects Figure 32 Edit Historical Improvement Projects Default Results Figure 33 View Historical Improvement Projects Default Results Figure 34 Historical Projects Query Results Sample Figure 35 Generate HSIP Reports Query Filters Figure 36 Sample HSIP Report Figure 37 Historical Projects: Perform Before and After Evaluations Query Filters Figure 39 Sample of Selected Projects Summary Report Figure 40 Historical Projects - Before Construction Period of Selected Projects Figure 41 Historical Projects - Crash Summary of Selected Projects Figure 43 Maintenance Submenu	89 93 96 97 101 103 105 107 112 115 118 120 125 126

Figure 46 CRFs Project List Submenu	
Figure 47 Project List - CRF Detail: Project Summary	
Figure 48 CRF Detail: Before-Construction Period Heading Fields	
Figure 49 Export function - CRF Detail: Before Construction Period	140
Figure 50 CRF Detail: After-Construction Period	142
Figure 51 CRF Detail: After-Construction Period	145
Figure 52 CRASH Maintenance - Project Discrepancy Report Sample	149
Figure 53 Available Project Discrepancy Values	151
Figure 54 Safety Project Benefit Cost Analysis Form (Edit Mode)	
Figure 55 Sample Project Discrepancy Report (Excel Format)	
Figure 56 Project Aging Report	
Figure 57 Project Aging Report (Excel Format)	
Figure 58 Project Evaluation and Selection Method in CRASH PDF Excerpt	
Figure 59 Project Evaluation and Selection Method in CRASH PDF Excerpt	
Figure 60 SSOGis Panning Tool	
Figure 61 SSOGis Application Toolbar Legend	
Figure 62 Example of Map All Locations Data Results in SSOGis	
Figure 63 SSOGis Help – Layers Tab	
Figure 64 SSOGis Help – Legend Tab	
Figure 65 Crash Results View	171
Figure 66 SSOGis Crash Search Parameters	
Figure 67 SSOGis Project Data View	
Figure 68 Feedback Link	
Figure 69 Feedback Form	

Document Revision History

Date	Version	Author(s)	Description
	Draft 0.0	Esra Erimez, Cindy Walters, Kathryn Waters, Marco Cristofari	Initial draft.
5/14/2014	v1.0	Jason Nelms	Initial version.

1. FLORIDA TRAFFIC SAFETY PORTAL

1.1 Introduction

The Florida Traffic Safety Portal is designed to serve as a gateway to accessing pertinent traffic safety data, information, and tools for the Florida traffic safety community. The portal includes both public (*internet*) and restricted areas (*intranet*). The public areas are accessible without the need for an access account and serve as a public information portal. The restricted areas require a Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) login and password.

This document highlights the navigational components related to accessing the Crash Reduction Analysis System Hub (CRASH) application and focuses primarily on using it via the portal. An overall Navigation Guide for the portal is available on the Florida Traffic Safety Office Homepage by clicking on the **Help** button on the Welcome banner.



Access to the CRASH application is restricted to authorized FDOT users and it requires a User ID and password to log into the system. This document explains how to request an access account to become an authorized user. The CRASH application functionality available to authorized users will be covered in detail in <u>Section 3</u>.

1.2 System Requirements

Below are the recommended system and software requirements for the Florida Traffic Safety Portal.

1.2.1 Browser Requirements

Web Browsers:

• Internet Explorer 7.0 or higher.

Note: If using Internet Explorer 9.0, turn off Compatibility Mode

- Mozilla Firefox 8.0 or higher.
- The minimum display resolution is 1024 x 768. This is also the recommended resolution.

<u>Note</u>: Use zoom levels of 100% or greater on the user's monitor to ensure proper display.

Browser Settings:

- Enable JavaScript.
- Enable File Download prompt

1.2.2 Required Applications to View Documents

- Adobe Acrobat Reader (download link available under Downloads section)
- Microsoft Excel
- Microsoft Word

1.2.3 Generic Features on the Portal Homepage

The portal includes several generic features, in the right side panel and bottom of the homepage, which allows the user to perform various functions on the website. Refer to the green labeled circles in the picture below. Some of these noted features will appear on all ten of the main pages of the portal; see the detailed explanation of each feature below.



Figure 1 Portal Homepage – Generic Features

- A. Search Portal. Allows the user to search the entire Traffic Safety Portal, from *most* of the main web pages, by typing keywords into the Search Portal box and clicking on the Search (magnifying glass) icon **Q**.
 - a. A list of results is returned. The results may include web pages, html content, Microsoft Word documents, Excel spreadsheets, etc. which contain any reference to the keywords entered in the Search.
 - b. The results are displayed 10 objects per page and include a paging feature,

Example: Result Page: Previous 1 2 3 4 Next which allows the user to page through, go to a specific page, use the "Next" button, or "Previous" button to navigate through the Search results list.

- c. The user can click on the **Home** link, at any time to return to the Traffic Safety Portal's Homepage.
- d. Or, use the browser's **Back** arrow button to back out page-by-page to the Portal's Homepage.
- B. **Mailing List**. Found on *most* of the main web pages, this feature allows a user to register to participate in the Florida Traffic Safety Portal mailing list.
 - a. Enter the user's name in the "Enter the user's name" box.
 - b. Enter the user's email address in the "Enter the user's e-mail" box.
 - c. Click the Subscribe button.
 - d. The following message will be displayed. Click the OK button or the button to close the message window.



e. The user will receive an email confirmation.



- f. Once the user clink on the link provided in the confirmation email, the user will be added to the mailing list.
- g. If the user does not confirm within 48 hours the subscription request expires and the user will not be added to the mailing list.
- C. **Quick Links.** Provides links to a list of other traffic safety tools and organizations, such as the State Safety Office.
 - a. Select one by clicking the desired link from the list.
 - b. The selected link's webpage will open in a separate window. The functionality of that webpage is not under the control of the Florida Traffic Safety Portal. The user will need to contact the administrator for the specific web site if the user have questions or issues related to that site.
 - c. The current Florida Traffic Safety Portal webpage will remain open, and active, in the background.
 - d. Please check the **Quick Links** frequently as the content may change periodically.
- D. Safety Office Policies, Procedures, Disclaimers & Credits. Located at the bottom of *each* of the main web pages on the Florida Traffic Safety Portal.
 - a. By clicking on this link the user is transferred to the **Publications** subpage with the **Policies**, **Procedures**, **Disclaimers & Credits** subsection highlighted and expanded for view.

Home	Publications
RCA - All Roads Crash Analysis	Newsletters [Edt]
CRASH - Crash	Papers and Reports [Edd]
System Hub	Policies, Procedures, Disclaimers & Credita (Edd)
SHSP - Strategic	
Tracking Tool	Posted on 7/20/2012
SHSP - Strategic Highway Safety Plan - mplementation Reports	The Florida Department of Transportation Safety Office is required to identify and address traffic crisshes on public roads that are maintain by state or local entity. However, data for local roads is not always complete: therefore, the FDOT Safety must use innovative approaches to account for this shortfall. The AAOT Adocator process ways developed in order to better estimate traffic volumes (AADT) for all streets and mode in florida.
Publications	The underlying principle of the Allocator process is to use the results of the Tumplie State Model (TSM) statewide transportation model and apply it to all roads and streets in Plorida.
Downloads	Posted by <u>Rokey Estranaid</u> Top
FAQ	Posted or 3/15/2011
Related Websites	Crash Data Disclaimer
Contacts	Protection from Discovery and Admission into Evidence – Under 22 U.S.C. 148(g)(4) information collected or compiled for any purpose directly relating to the information and analyses on this portal shall not be subject to discovery or admitted into evidence in a Federal or State court proceeding or considered for other purposes in any action for damages amining from any occurrence at a location identified or addressed in the reports.
and the second	

- b. Use the browser's **Back** arrow button to return to the previous page in focus.
- E. **Feedback.** Located at the bottom of *each* page on the Florida Traffic Safety Portal. Provides a means for the user to send feedback to the department by clicking on the link.
 - a. Once clicked, a form is generated for the user to submit comments and/or questions.
 - i. If the user is an internal FDOT user several fields are pre-populated based on the information listed for the user in Active Directory.
 - ii. If the user is a non-registered public user using the PRODUCTION Internet URL, all fields shown in the screenshot below will be blank unless entered manually by you.

	We would like to hear from you!		
Please let us know I	how we did and what we can do to serve you better		
Name:	Cynthia Walters		
Organization:	Safety Office - Enterprise 24 x 7, Inc		
Position:			
E-mail:	Cynthia.Walters@dot.state.fl.us		
Phone:	850-445-7983		
Message*:			*
			-
I would like to be co	ntacted. 💿 No 💿 Yes (email required)		
* Required Fields			
		Submit	Clear

- b. The user must enter comments or questions in the **Message** field before submitting the feedback.
 - i. This is a required field.
 - ii. If not entered before clicking on the Submit button, the following warning message is displayed. Click the OK button or the button to close the message window.



- iii. Enter feedback in the Message field, click on the button and an email is sent to a group email account (<u>co-tsw@dot.state.fl.us</u>).
- 1. Note the system will check for email address, as noted below, before sending the feedback email.
- c. The system defaults to "No" for the "I would like to be contacted." statement. If the user wish to be contacted, please select the "Yes" radio button and enter the user's email address before submitting the feedback.



i. If "Yes" is selected without information in the E-mail field, the following





- ii. Enter the user's email address into the "E-mail:" field, click on the Submit button and an email is sent to a group email account (<u>co-tsw@dot.state.fl.us</u>).
- d. The user can click the Clear button to reset the feedback form to its original display state.
- e. Use the browser's **Back** arrow button to abandon the Feedback process or when the Feedback process is completed, to return to the previous page in focus.
- f. The user may use any of the other navigational links available, if desired.

A Read More link appears below descriptive text in a section, or posted News article, etc., when clicked the user can read the full content of the post. This allows for more informational links to be displayed on the page while providing a means for the user to optionally read more detail, if needed. When the user is transferred to a specific subpage to read the full content of the information, a link is available, which will return the user to the previous page in focus when clicked. Informational text usually includes the date it was posted. The text "Posted by" will be displayed, and optionally, when available, will include the **poster's name** displayed as a link, example:

| Posted by Benjamin Jacobs |

When a poster's name is displayed, the user may click on that link to send an email to the poster, if needed. A security message dialog box opens

Internet E	xplorer Security
Û	A website wants to open web content using this program on your computer
	This program will open outside of Protected mode. Internet Explorer's <u>Protected mode</u> helps protect your computer. If you do not trust this website, do not open this program.
	Name: Microsoft Office Outlook Publisher: Microsoft Corporation
	Do not show me the warning for this program again
	Allow Don't allow

Click Allow to proceed to create the email. The poster's email address is pre-populated into the Microsoft Outlook message page. After the email is sent the user is returned to the previous

page in focus. If the user click on Don't allow or close the dialog box by clicking the button, no email is sent and the user is returned to the previous page in focus.

As the user scroll down a webpage, links are available within sections to re-set the webpage display to the top of the page. By clicking on a link in whatever section the user have in focus, the webpage is re-displayed from the top, rather requiring the user to scroll up the webpage. This is particularly useful on pages with numerous articles or links to other pages within a subpage.

Links provided to web pages outside the control of the Florida Traffic Safety Portal will display the information for that link in a separate window, while keeping the current page of the portal open and in focus.

2. System Management

2.1 User Accounts

The user will need to login to access the CRASH application and its associated subpages. An authorized user can access CRASH from either the *Intranet* or *Internet* URLs.

If the user does not currently have access to CRASH, the user must request that an account to be added for access. If the user need to access to the CRASH application from the *Internet* the user must submit an **Access Request Form**. The current contact for access authorization is:

FDOT Safety Office 605 Suwannee Street, M.S. 53 Tallahassee FL 32399-0450 Phone: (850) 414-3100

<u>Fax</u>: (850) 414-4221

Email: co-tsw@dot.state.fl.us

For the CRASH System the user may be assigned edit and/or view permissions based on the user's district and county affiliations.

The user may also be granted posting privileges for individual pages.

2.2 URLs

2.2.1 Public Users

PRODUCTION Internet: <u>http://www2.dot.state.fl.us/TrafficSafetyWebPortal</u>

2.2.2 Internal FDOT staff only

(Note: The user must be logged into the FDOT network to access the Intranet pages.)

PRODUCTION Intranet: <u>http://webapp02.dot.state.fl.us/TrafficSafetyWebPortalFDOT/</u>



Figure 2 Portal Homepage - Full View

2.3 Webpage Structure and Navigation

The Florida Traffic Safety Portal consists of a login feature, several main web pages, quick links to additional sites and data (e.g., policies, procedures, and disclaimers), search features, and an area where users provide feedback on the portal and its contents.

The main web pages are accessible via a standard menu panel displayed on the left side of the screen. If a main page contains multiple subpages, the links to the subpages are listed on the main page and a brief description is provided for each subpage. Clicking on a subpage link will open a new page. Each subpage may further include multiple subpages. The user may click the Back button of the browser to return to the previous page, or click Home on the subpage to return to the portal's Homepage. Wherever applicable, buttons are provided on a floating panel so they are easily reachable within the current screen view.

2.4 Main Web Pages

The left panel in the portal is the navigation menu. The portal provides links to each of the ten main web pages. This document will focus primarily on the CRASH application functionality only (see the red highlighting below). The Welcome banner includes **LOGIN** and **HELP** buttons (see below). Click on **HELP** to view the Navigation Guide for the overall portal.



Figure 3 Portal Homepage - Main Web Pages

3. Crash Reduction Analysis System Hub (CRASH)

3.1 Prerequisites

This section assumes that the user have successfully logged into the portal as an authorized user and have accessed the CRASH (Crash Reduction Analysis System Hub) application from the Florida Traffic Safety Portal's Homepage via the CRASH webpage link.

CRASH - Crash Reduction Analysis System Hub This document outlines all available functionality within CRASH. However, the user's access to certain features may be restricted based upon permissions associated with the user's account and the user's designation as an Administrator or general user. For users with limited

access to specific district information, the district from the dropdown lists will be automatically selected. For example, if the user can only access District 6, "District 6" will be automatically selected in filtering data retrieval or reporting queries, with no other selections available unless needed within certain processes.

3.2 Introduction

While the FDOT maintains a comprehensive crash database for the state roadway system, it does not have a central database for safety improvement projects. Consequently, historical data for safety improvement projects are maintained separately at various district offices in various formats, limiting accessibility for the transportation analyst and impeding the development of crash reduction factors (CRFs).

CRASH is a web-based application developed for FDOT's State Safety Office to update and apply CRFs for economic analysis of highway safety improvement projects. CRASH systematically maintains a statewide safety improvement project database which facilitates the continual process of updating CRFs.

The CRASH system allows the following tasks to be performed in an automated manner:

- 1. Recording and maintaining improvement projects.
- 2. Updating CRFs based on the latest available improvement project and crash data.
- 3. Applying *calculated* CRFs in the benefit-cost analyses of specific projects.

In addition, the system provides various data retrieval and export functionality, which can be used for

analysis and reporting tasks.

3.2.1 CRASH Login

Click on **LOGIN** to log into the portal in order to access the CRASH application restricted pages and the functionality available to the user based on the permissions which have been granted to the user's access account.



The user is presented with the following Login subpage where the user can login if the user is an authorized user or get assistance if the user wants to make a request for access as an authorized user.

<u>Note</u>: The user must log into the portal in order to use the CRASH application. The functionality in CRASH may be further restricted based on permissions granted to the user's access account.



Figure 4 Homepage Portal – Login Subpage

Authorized users will log in using their assigned Resource Access Control Facility (RACF) User ID and password and click on the Log In link just below the Password box. By hovering over the

Password Requirements text the user can see the requirements for a valid password, if the user wants to change their password. The user can communicate with the FDOT Service Desk or the Florida Traffic Safety Portal Administrator from this Login page. Users should address questions or seek assistance by using the available links and following the instructions that best fit the user's need.

After logging in, the welcome banner on the Florida Traffic Safety Portal will change to include the user's User ID, a LOGOUT button, an ADMIN button (if administrative privileges have been granted to the user's user account), and the HELP button.



Figure 5 Welcome Banner – Authorized User

The user's session is terminated either when the user click **LOGOUT** to end the user's session or when the user's session times out (i.e., after 20 minutes of inactivity). Once a session terminates, the user is returned to the Portal homepage, and the welcome banner uses the standard view (i.e., the user's User ID is removed and only the LOGIN and HELP buttons remain).



Figure 6 Welcome Banner – Non-Authorized User

<u>Note</u>: Once logged out of the system, a user must log back into the Portal in order to access restricted pages within CRASH.



Figure 7 Portal Homepage – Access CRASH

3.3 CRASH Main Webpage

Access to the CRASH system is restricted to authorized personnel only and therefore requires user to log into the portal. If the user does not login *before* clicking the CRASH link on the Portal's

Homepage the user will experience one of the outcomes as shown below.

3.3.1 CRASH Main Webpage View – Non-authorized User

Non-authorized users will see the initial CRASH Main Webpage displayed as shown below.

FDO	Florida Department of	E-Updates FLS	11 Mobile	Site Map
		Search FDOT		
	Home About FDOT Contact Us Maps & Data	Offices Perf	ormance	Projects
Web Applicatio	on			
Florida Traffic Safety Por	tel/CRASH			LOOM TH
Home	CRASH - Crash Reduction Analysis System Hub		Gearch	Portal
ARCA - All Roads Crash Analysis CRASH - Crash Reduction Analysis System Hub	ORASH (Crush Reduction Analysis System Nub) is a web-based application developed mile and evaluation of highway safety anapoxement projects. Specifically, if has the following two Perform beneficial cost analysis of adaty anapoxement project. Perform before-and-after analysis to evaluate the effectiveness of safety prog. Serve as a certain stronge location for safety improvement project. Update crant medicion factoris (CRF c) using implemented adaty improvement.	anly for the selection interctions nerros ni projectis and crawl)	Quici SSO - Office BTS -	Links State Safety Bureau of
SHSP - Strategic Highway Safety Plan - Tracking Tool	records. 5. Generate standard reports for annual HSIS ¹⁷ reporting.		Statist	- Critical
SHSP - Strategic Highway Safety Plan - Implementation Reports	Access to the CRASH system is restricted to authorized personnel only. Please direct all q nyidem access to:	pesitions including	Analys Enviro (Unive Alaba	its Reporting ment maity of mait
Publications	Plorida Traffic Safety Portal Administrator FDOT Safety Office Tel: (850) 414-3100		DHSk Depar Highw	IV - Florida tment of by Safety and Vehicles
FAQ	and the part of the second		PARS Analys System	- Fetality ils Reporting m (NHTSA)
Contacts			FHWA Feder Admin	al Highway Intration
			HSM Safety (AASH	Highway Manusi (TO)
			NHTS Highw Safety	A - National ey Traffic / Administration
			Safety	Analyst
			USDC States Trans	T - United Department of portation
	Sefery Office Policies, Procestures, Disclaiment & Creditz Feer	dhack		
Contact Us	Employment MyFlorida.com Performance Statement of Ag	ency Web Po	icies & Not	ices
F 🛩 🏭 🐼		Florida Dej Const	artment of	Transportatio
ananasina ta Angelsona Arterna				

Figure 8 CRASH Main Webpage View for Non-Authorized User

3.3.2 CRASH Main Webpage View for Authorized Users

An FDOT authorized user will see the initial CRASH Main Webpage displayed as shown below.



Figure 9 CRASH Main Webpage View for Non-Authorized User

3.4 System Functions

The CRASH system currently performs the following five major functions:

- 1. Benefit-cost analysis: The system makes use of the crash record and Crash Reduction Factor (CRF) databases to estimate the project benefit. The benefit is then combined with project cost to compute the benefit-cost ratio. If a project is selected for implementation, it is saved to the improvement project database.
- 2. *Before-and-after analysis:* Using both the improvement project database and the crash database, before-and-after analysis can be performed to evaluate the effectiveness of implemented projects.
- *3. Historical project data recording:* An improvement project may be manually entered into the database. This may include projects that were analyzed and implemented prior to the development of the CRASH system, as well as new ones.
- 4. *CRF update:* After an improvement project has been constructed for at least one year (though three years is preferred), it can be combined with the crash records to update the existing CRF database.
- 5. *Reporting:* Similarly, using both the improvement project database and the crash database, CRASH can produce Highway Safety Improvement Programs (HSIP) reports for annual reporting to Federal Highway Administration (FHWA).

3.5 System Database Components

CRASH is designed as a Microsoft <u>ASP.NET</u> web application that uses the following major database components:

- 1. Safety improvement projects since 1992.
- 2. Historical crash records from 1984 through the latest available year.
- 3. Crash reduction factors and associated statistics.

3.6 System Data Flow Diagram

The data flow diagram below shows how the database components (red numbered circles) listed above are used within the CRASH system to accomplish the five major functions (blue numbered circles) listed above.



Figure 10 CRASH System Components and Data Flow Diagram

3.7 CRASH Menu Views

The CRASH Menu is used to navigate to the CRASH subpages to access the functional components within the CRASH application. Access to these processes is based on the permissions granted to the user's access account. For example, some users may be restricted to a single District's data; some may have no Edit permissions, etc. By clicking on one of the functional component buttons the features available will be shown in the middle panel.

Figure 11 below illustrates the difference in the CRASH menu between administrative and non-administrative users.

Authorized users will see the navigation menu in the left panel change to a CRASH application specific menu. A user designated as an Administrator will see the menu as shown in the

"Administrator View" above, with **Administration** on the fourth row. Otherwise, the menu will be displayed as shown in the "Non-Administrator View" above, with **Maintenance** on the fourth row.



Figure 11 CRASH Menu View Options

The features in CRASH will vary based on the user's role (i.e., whether or not the user is an administrator). Specific features available to administrative users are covered in the Administration User's Manual for the Florida Traffic Safety Portal, which is available on the Administration submenu page.

This document covers only those features and functions available to *Non-Administrator* authorized users who have been granted access to CRASH. Thus, the user's menu should match that of the "Non-Administrator View" as shown in Figure 9.

3.8 Crash Functional Component Overview

Click the button to return to the Traffic Safety Portal's Homepage. The remaining functional components of CRASH are examined in greater detail below.

3.8.1 Project Analysis Submenu

The Project Analysis submenu is shown below. It is accessed by clicking on the

Project Analysis button on the CRASH navigation menu in the left panel.

Each feature shown on the Project Analysis submenu will be documented in detail in the

Project Analysis section below.

As illustrated in the figures below, users with edit permissions within the Portal have access to all links within the submenu. For those without edit permissions, the *Start a New Improvement Project* and *Edit Improvement Projects* links are disabled.



Figure 12 Project Analysis Submenu – User without Edit Permissions



Figure 13 Project Analysis Submenu – Non-Administrative User with Edit Permissions

3.8.2 Starting a New Improvement Project

The first step in starting a new improvement project is to perform a new benefit-cost analysis. The user begins this process by selecting the **Start a New Improvement Project** link from the **Project** Analysis navigation submenu. Doing so directs the user to a blank standard Benefit-Cost Analysis form.

3.8.2.1 Standard Benefit-Cost Analysis Form

						_				
eb Application										
de Tieffic Galety Ponal /	CRASH	Project	alysia 7.4	ad lingit	rournant F	rojen	a.		Well-	
	-			-	-	-		-		-
	4	1	-	14	10	Re la	2 3			
CRABH				11	erida Dep	erter	ent of Trainsp	ortatio	141	
Propert Analysis	-	Garris Rational	the states for	and Reveal	and the second	east 1	annumber Count of	anney er	•	
Interconcel Projects	1.1	takented by		00					The second secon	or Provide Streets
Stainbartarres.		Augura Mar.			94	NA HIL M HE		1044	940.3 940 5	1000
Propert Experientian Alastricki		* 1000	Constantion Taxa	and and a second second	100.0	linker Li	when 2001	-	New Loc N	West
Priceme		Department Atlantic	-							Tree Longer
1221H		and and a second se								
Save Project	4.1		aterest and	to Them (Pre	same brind para	-	te bros than 1000 cd		6	
Map Location										
Bauk		Second County P	and descent the	d out sheet		-	in freed in the second strates of	OOG intend	(attraction)	
										-
	10	Avenue that	-	a land disk is	ers, presses in	ist yes,	a know its know binart in	000 1.04	the families	1983
		1						wa 1	14 million between the	in manager and
		No. of Department							Contrast	
		Res of Constants	1						Gratish Galamap Recount Haro (%)	
		Education and		-						
	10		THE REAL	attra counts	NOVE		The Avenual Color	of kingsome	and the second se	
			110 - 15 83	WER - 1130	HE KANNEL	_	Plate A. H. CLOV		1008 6386 1010	Assouttout
		Taxes of Colorest	destinat	Absender	Crooten .	-	8. P. S. S. R. S.			
				Creshere	disenterdent?		C. Phasekeep			
		Court Otaert				-	F Digradu/diagra			
		Hught-Torn				-	H. Charge at the			
		Left-Tury				100	J. Chine			-
		Hoad-CH				-	en trimar			
						-	111 42-1-0-0-0			
		Paskarptan				100	B. Oilter	here and		
		Ceneral Control				-	Totol Access the	- March		-
		Yosai					11 Desembling	. Phasing		
		T there Desig			Firm Dody	- 1				-
		· Day & Hy	**	= Dey	Terrei-Ordy	_				
		Prepared by			Asprov	of by			these errors/dd/www.	
		Comments/Co	and: Photobacci	tour Meethout	Plantar Kod	ying b	na ni wasi Hasi 400	O Lifearant	iers):	1041
										121
		High Creen Lie	erige (Case	on brind prote	had to know I	Cast At	IOU characterist			
										101
		ł								100
	10	Pro Complexity		-	a for this of the	****	Constant and the second			
	6	THT Property			fan - 6		historial and the	* solup re	III Therpide	The Antonia States
		and Arbaint	2010/12:02		And I want	there is	Color fears in	Delio Acres	an e roor	-th Local
		munic President S	inuite.		*			C an include		*1
		survey have been as in the	required.							- 2
		state and Chief. 11 at	and press	and a state						101
		completed the little to	-	Tate						
		I - Improvemental	types root on	interes.						

Figure 14 Project Analysis Submenu – Non-Administrative User with Edit Permissions

3.8.2.1.1 Benefit-Cost Analysis Form – Crash based vs. Non-crash based

The first item on the form is used to specify whether an improvement project is Crash Based or

Non-Crash Based, as shown in the red rectangles in the next two figures. The default is Crash Based, which is for projects that are being considered in response to specific crash problems.

Unlike Crash Based projects, which are reactive in nature, Non-Crash Based projects include proactive projects such as school zone signing, pavement markings, sidewalks, elder driver programs, etc. When the Non-Crash Based option is selected Item 9 of the form will change from "Cause of Crash Problems" to "Non-Crash Based Project Justification" (see the green rectangles in the two figures).

2 Date Submitted		Immiddhaaai	Y.		5	Emi	ay monty connectal Study
3. Project No		(mmuduryyyy	VPA No.		Skid (LD.)	EIW	ronmental Study
4. Alternative No.			FM No.		SN		Speed
6 District - Co	unty/Section		Subsection	000	State Road	U.S	S. Road
7.	Loc 1	Loc 2	Loc 3	Loc 4	Loc 5	Loc 6	
Beginning Milepost							
Ending Milepost							Total Length
Length							
Node							
er ersteren privit er er erstere	in a second of the second	frances with he			an a societ a p		5
	and the second				000 shared area		
9. Cause of Crash Prob	lems (list and di	scuss, please	limit your text	to less than 4	000 characters).		
9. Cause of Crash Prob	vlerns (list and di	scuss, please	limit your text	to less than 4	000 characters).		
9. Cause of Crash Prob	vlems (list and di	scuss, please	limit your text	to less than 4	000 characters).		
9. Cause of Crash Prob	vlems (list and di	scuss, please	limit your text	to less than 4	000 characters).		
 9. Cause of Crash Prob 10. Proposed Improven 	vierns (list and di vents (list and dis	scuss, please scuss, please	limit your text limit your text t	to less than 4 to less than 4	000 characters).		
9. Cause of Crash Prob	vierns (list and di vents (list and dis	scuss, please scuss, please	limit your text limit your text t	to less than 4	000 characters).		
9. Cause of Crash Prob	vlerns (list and di nents (list and dis	scuss, please scuss, please	limit your text limit your text i	to less than 4	000 characters):		

Figure 15 Benefit-Cost Analysis Form (Top Part) – Crash Based
		100000000	Leonal I		5	Safet	y Priority
2. Date Submitted		(mm/dd	(уууу)		-	Enviro	onmental Study
3. Project No.	1		WPA No.		Skid (LD.)		2020
4. Alternative No.	CountelContin		FM No.	000	SN SN	5	Boad
7	County/Secuo	n lae	Subsection	lon 4	State Road	U.S	Road
Persinning Milen	LOC	LOC	2 1003	LOC 4	200.0	LOC O	
Ending Milepost	0.51	_		-	-		Total Length
Length				-			tional energiet
Node				1			
8. Description of Lo	cation/Facility 1	ype (Please lin	mit your text to less	s than 4000 ch	aracters);		
9. Non-Crash Base	ed Project Justifi	cation (list and	discuss, please li	mit your text to	eless than 4000 cl	haracters):	*
							-
to, Ptoposeo impri	wemenis (iisi a	na aiscuss, pe	ease innit your text	to less man 4	oou characters).		

Figure 16 Benefit-Cost Analysis Form (Top Part) – Non-Crash Based

<u>Note</u>: To help improve the accuracy of crash reduction factors, it is imperative that a project be described in as much detail as possible.

3.8.2.2 Benefit-Cost Analysis Form – Data Entry Instructions

The webpage is designed for the user to use the **Tab** key to advance through the form to enter data fields relevant to whether the user selected **Crash Based** or **Non-Crash Based** in the top item.

Note: Though you may use the user's mouse to click directly into a particular data field, it is *recommended* you use the **Tab** key unless explicitly directed differently in the instructions below.

These instructions document the fields in in their tab order. Refer to the figures above when noted to see where each data field resides on the Benefit-Cost Analysis Form. At minimum, tooltip instruction is provided for each field. When possible, other data validation rules are included. Over the next several pages, we examine the various parts of the Benefits-Cost Analysis Form in small segments. Other sections of this document (i.e., Project Analysis, Historical Projects and Maintenance) refer to this section, particularly when a functional component uses part of Benefit-Cost Analysis Form in its operation or for reporting purposes.

3.8.2.2.1 General Information

Each Data Field includes a tooltip, which the user can see by hovering in the data entry box. Example, shown below is the tooltip displayed by hovering in the "**Submitted by**" field:



3.8.2.2.2 Required Fields

The Location fields, including **County/Section**, **Subsection**, **Beginning Milepost**, and **Ending Milepost** (at least one) are required in order to use the CRASH feature which retrieves previous years crash related information in this Benefit-Cost Analysis process and to effectively use the Map Location feature on the navigation menu. Up to six different locations for a project may be entered. This is used when a project involves multiple locations; for example, to improve lighting at several interchanges.

3.8.2.3 Project Analysis - Data Variables

Variables for improvement projects are categorized as *pre-construction* and *post-construction*. Data for pre-construction variables are entered during a benefit-cost analysis, while data for post-construction variables are entered only after a project has been selected and its construction is complete. Pre-construction variables include those in the standard Benefit-Cost Analysis Form, plus

several variables required for Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) reporting. Postconstruction variables include those that are known only after a project is selected and approved for construction, including the beginning and ending of the construction period.

This document defines these data variables in detail by examining each of the functional features available from the Project Analysis submenu. This document describes this process from the perspective of an authorized user starting a new improvement project, then editing and viewing the project. We describe each data variable in detail and identify whether they are required in order to save the new or edited improvement project in preparation for further processing.

The standard Benefit-Cost Analysis Form used by FDOT is shown below in its entirety. It is displayed once the user clicks on the Start a New Improvement Project on the Add Improvement Project links. Later in this document we examine the various data variables by zooming in on subparts of the form. When using the actual webpage the user will simply enter the information as described below and continue scrolling down the page to complete the required fields. The action items shown in the left panel's navigation submenu are explained relative to their use in each processing feature.

As described in the remaining pages of the Project Analysis subsection, this Benefit-Cost Analysis Form is used throughout analysis-related functions. It begins with data entered for a new improvement project and can be edited, saved, deleted or transferred to Historical Projects. Only minimal data is required in order to save a new project. However, certain features within the Benefit-Cost Analysis Form will require location-related data to be entered so that the feature functions properly.

If needed, the user can select a specific project to edit or delete upon clicking the "Edit Improvement Projects" feature. The user will also be able to view a specific project, displayed in view-only mode upon clicking on the View Improvement Projects feature.

3.8.2.3.1 Numbered Data Items 1-10

The following figure shows numbered data items 1-10 from the Benefit-Cost Analysis form.

Crash Based	Non-Crash Ba	ised					
1. Submitted by		_			5.	Safety	Priority
2. Date Submitted		(mm/dd/yyy	/y)			Enviror	nmental Study
3. Project No.			WPA No.		Skid (I.D.)		
4. Alternative No.			FM No.		SN	Sp	eed
6. District 🔹	County/Section		Subsection	000	State Road	U.S.	Road
1.	Loc 1	Loc 2	Loc 3	Loc 4	Loc 5	Loc 6	
Beginning Milep	ost						
Ending Milepost							I otal Length
Length							
		(Disease line))					
9. Cause of Crash	Problems (list and o	liscuss, pleas	e limit your text	to less than 4	000 characters):		~
10. Proposed Impro	ovements (list and c	liscuss, pleas	e limit your text	to less than 4	000 characters):		-
							*

Figure 17 Benefit-Cost Analysis Form – Zoomed-In View of Numbered Items 1-10

- **1. Submitted by**: Using this text field, enter the name or other identifier of the person submitting the form.
- 2. Date Submitted: Enter the date the form is being submitted in MM/DD/YYYY format.
- **3. Project No.**: Enter the project number.

WPA No.: Enter the WPA number.

4. Alternative No.: Enter the project alternative number.

FM No.: Enter the FM number. This is a free-text field, but if available, should contain the Financial Management project number from the Work Program system.

<u>Note</u>: In the Work Program System, the *expected* format for this number is defined below using color coded groups for ease of reference in this document:

- Maximum size and format: 9999999-9-99-99.
- May or may not include a dash (hyphen) between color code field groups shown above.
- The first six digits (999999) above represents the significant digits of the unique ID that should link to a specific project in the Work Program System.
- The next single digit, in the 7th position (9) above represents the Segment, valid values are 1-9.
- The next two digits, in the 8th and 9th positions (99) above will contain a single character for Phase Group <u>and</u> a single character for Phase Type. Below is an excerpt from the Work Program Quick Reference listing the valid values and meanings for Phase Group and Phase Type:

Phase Group

- 1 Planning 2 - Pd & E
- 2 Po & E 3 - Preliminary Engineering
- 4 Right Of Way
- 5 Construction
- 6 Construction Support
- 7 Maintenance
- 8 Operations
- 9 Capital
- A Administration
- B Research
- C Environmental
- D Debris Removal
- E Sign Repair/Replacement
- F Signal Repair/Replacement
- G Emergency Road Repair
- H Emergency Bridge Repair
- J Emergency Contract Management
- K Emergency Facilities Repr/Repl
- L Maintenance Of Traffic
- M Service Patrol (Discontinued)

Phase Type

- 1 In-House
- 2 Consultants/Contractors
- 3 Purchase
- 4 Grant
- 5 Relocatee
- 6 Utility
- 7 Railroad
- 8 Other Agency
- 9 Indirect Support
- A Contract Bonus
- B Services
- C Pcr Proj Cost Redistribution D - Repayment

5. The final two digits, in the 10th and 11th positions (99) above contain a simple sequence number that the system generates. Valid values range from 01-99.

Safety Priority: Enter the safety priority.

Environmental Study: Enter the Environmental Study.

Skid (**I.D.**): Enter Skid (I.D.). This should contain the Skid Test Number from the Skid Hazard Reporting System (SHR).

SN: Enter the SN. This should contain the Mean Friction Number for the lane and direction for the project, or as close to it as is possible, from the Skid Hazard Reporting System (SHR).

Speed: Enter the speed limit.

6. District: Select the District number using the dropdown list by clicking on the down arrow, then on the desired District from the list.

County/Section: Enter the four or five-digit county and section number.

Subsection: Enter the three-digit subsection number. System defaults to 000.

State Road: Enter the state road number.

U. S. Road: Enter the U. S. road number.

7. The following notes pertain to the **Beginning Milepost**, **Ending Milepost**, **Length**, **Node**, and **Total Length** fields.

For Crash Based Benefit-Cost Analysis purposes:

Up to six columns (labeled Loc 1 - Loc 6) of location data, consisting of the following informational data fields can be entered (or generated) in this area of the form.

<u>Note</u>: If the user does not have six different locations, the user may use the mouse to click directly into the "**Description of Location/Facility Type**" data field after the user enter the last location.

Beginning Milepost: Enter the beginning milepost of Location #, where # is the value 1-6

corresponding to the **Loc** numbered column the user is typing into. The system recognizes up to three decimal places and forces three decimal places if not entered (i.e., 13 will be converted to 13.000; 1.3 will be converted to 1.300; 1.31 will convert to 1.310). An all zero value (i.e., 0.000) will display as blank.

Ending Milepost: Enter the ending milepost of Location *#*, where *#* is the value 1-6 corresponding to the **Loc** numbered column. The system recognizes up to three decimal places and forces three decimal places, if not entered (i.e., 13 will be converted to 13.000; 1.3 will be converted to 1.300; 1.31 will convert to 1.310). **Ending Milepost** must be greater than or equal to the **Beginning Milepost** value.

Length: This is a *calculated* field of the length between the **Beginning Milepost** and the **Ending Milepost**. It is calculated as **Ending Milepost** value minus **Beginning Milepost** value. It displays up to three decimal places. If the result is zero, it is not displayed. The field does not accept input, but populates as the user tabs out of the **Ending Milepost** fields.

Node: Enter the node number of Location *#*, where *#* is the value 1-6 corresponding to the **Loc** numbered column the user is typing into.

For Non-Crash Based Benefit-Cost Analysis purposes:

Up to six columns (labeled Loc 1 - Loc 6) of location data, consisting of only the Node data field can be entered in this area of the form. <u>Note</u>: If the user does not have six different locations' "Node" data, the user may use the mouse to click directly into the "Description of Location/Facility Type" data field after the user enter the last location's Node.

Node: Enter the node number of Location *#*, where *#* is the value 1-6 corresponding to the **Loc** numbered column where data is entered.

For Crash Based Benefit-cost Analysis purposes:

Total Length: This is a *calculated* field representing the total sum of all *calculated* Length results as they are entered is the six columns of location data columns. It displays the results with up to three decimal points.

<u>Note</u>: Total Length will remain blank for Non-Crash Based Benefit-Cost Analysis purposes.

8. Description of Location/Facility Type: Enter up to 4000 characters of text to describe the project location/facility type. The system provides a vertical scroll bar to allow the user to view the entire text in instances where the block of text entered is larger than what can be viewed in the text box.

For Crash Based Benefit-cost Analysis purposes:

9. Cause of Crash Problems: Enter up to 4000 characters of text to describe the cause of the crash problems.

For Non-Crash Based Benefit-cost Analysis purposes:

Non-Crash Based Project Justification: Enter up to 4000 characters of free text to describe the justification for the Non-Crash Based Improvement Project.

10. Propose Improvements: Enter up to 4000 characters of free text to describe the proposed improvements.

						• •	AVG.	14. Cra	ash Infori	mation fo	or Facility
No. of (Crashes							Cost/ Crasl	Crash h Cleanur	,	
2. No. of (Reduce	Crashes d							Intere	est Rate (%)	
3.						15. Annual Co	st of Imp	rovement	s		
	+ C	heck appli Cle	cable crash ar	types Get CRF		Туре		Cost	Life	CF	Annual Cos
						A. R-0-W					
Type o	f Crash	CRF(%)	Number of	Crashes to be	+	B. P.E.C.E.I. C. Structure					
			Crasnes	Prevented		D. Roadway	~				_
Angle					V	F. Signals/Sig	ning				
Fixed-0)bject				V	G. Subtotal					
Right-T	urn				V	H. Change in	Maintena	nance			
Left-Tu	m				V	I. Crash Clear	up				
Rear-E	nd				V	J. Other K. Total					
Head-C	n										
Sidesw	vipe				V	16. Benefits					
Pedest	rian				V	A. Crash Red	uction: 1	No.	at		
Ran-Of	f-RD					C. Other					
Others						Total Annual	Benefit				
Total											
I w	et Only		Night	-Time Only	-	17. Benefit-C	ost Ratio				
() n	ov 8 Nie		David	Time Only							

3.8.2.3.2 Numbered Data Items 11 - 17

Figure 18 Fields Displayed – Numbered Data Items 11-17

The following subsections detail the functionality contained within each of the controls in the figure above.

3.8.2.3.3 Numbered Data Item 18

Intersection Improvement?	© Yes	No	Divided/Undivided?	Divided	Undivided
CTST Project?	© Yes	© No	Interstate/Turnpike?	Turnpike	Interstate
Rural/Urban?	C Rural	C Urban	Data from FDOT/Local?	© FDOT	C Local
Cost of Evaluated Improvements (\$1,000)			Number of Lanes:		•
Primary Funding Source:					•
Expected Life of Project:					•
Selected CRF Safety Improvem	ent Type(s):				
					<u>_</u>
Assigned Safety Improvement	Type:				Ψ.
Assigned Safety Improvement	igned				_

Figure 19 Fields Displayed – Numbered Data Item 18 Block

The Pre-Construction (additional information for HSIP Report) portion of the Benefit-Cost Analysis Form contains various radio buttons used to describe characteristics of the improvement project, roadway information, estimated cost, funding source, project life expectancy, and crash reduction factor(s) safety improvement types. This is also the section where a Safety Administrator designates or assigns the primary Safety Improvement Type for the project. The fields displayed or used to define the project are:

- Intersection Improvement?: This is a Yes or No set of radio buttons that defaults to "No".
- **CTST Project?**: This is a **Yes** or **No** set of radio buttons with no default. It remains blank unless an explicit selection is made.
- **Rural/ Urban?**: Select the appropriate radio button (no default).
- **Divided/Undivided?**: Select the appropriate radio button (no default).
- Interstate/Turnpike?: Select the appropriate radio button (no default).

- Data from FDOT/Local?: Select the appropriate radio button (no default).
- **Cost of Evaluated Improvements** (**\$1000s**): Enter the estimated cost of the improvement project in thousands of dollars.
- Number of Lanes: The number of existing lanes prior to an improvement project? Use the dropdown arrow to make the user's selection.
- **Primary Funding Source**: The primary funding source for the improvement project. Use the dropdown arrow to make a selection
- **Expected Life of Project**: The expected realized period of service from the planned improvement. Use the dropdown arrow to make the user's selection.
- Selected CRF Safety Improvement Type(s): Refer back to the Apply Crash Reduction Factors section for the explanation of how this is populated.
- Assigned Safety Improvement Type: If *only one* improvement type has been selected, it will be listed as the Assigned Safety Improvement Type in the last data field box on the form. If more than one improvement type has been selected, this box will show "0 Improvement Type Not Assigned". The user can click the dropdown arrow to show the list of improvement types and then select the *primary* improvement type.

Note: When a project is initially started, this will default to "0 – Improvement type not assigned".

3.8.2.3.4 Automatic Data Retrieval

An important capability of the Benefit-cost Analysis Form is that it allows the user to automatically retrieve crash statistics and CRFs for calculation. This replaces the previously time-consuming, non-repeatable, and potentially error-prone process of manual data entry.

To demonstrate how the various parts of this process work we will view a blank screenshot followed by one that is filled using the sample project for FM No. 1618 - a crash based project – with its

location-related data shown below. Once data has been entered in the location fields, users can select a data year from the dropdown menu to automatically retrieve crash statistics. When a specific year has been selected, crash records specific to the project location, are automatically retrieved, summarized, and displayed. Up to five years of crash records may be included in each analysis.

1. S	submitted by	E						5.	1	Safety Priority	
2. D	ate Submitted	7/4/2012	(mr	1idd'yyyy						Environmental	Stud
3. P	roject No.	3141592653	3	W	PANo.		Ski	d (I.D.)			
4. A	Itemative No.			1	FM No. 161	3		SN		Speed	
6.D	District 3 🔻 (County/Secti	on 55050)	Subsection	000	State	Road 61		U.S. Road	
7.		Lec	1 L	.oc 2	Loc 3	Loc	4	Loc 5	Loc	6	
E	Beginning Milepo	st 2.604									
E	Ending Milepost	2.794							01	Total Le	ngt
L	ength	0.190								0.190	
9. C	SR 61 at Waver Cause of Crash F The majority of 1	ly Rd/Church Problems (lis crashes wer	n Intersect st and disc re rear end	ion cuss, pie Is.	ase limityo	ur text to le	ess than 4	4000 chara	acters):		
9. C	SR 61 at Waver Cause of Crash F The majority of	ly Rd/Church Problems (lis crashes wer	n Intersect st and disc re rear end	ion cuss, pie Is,	ase limityo	ur text to le	ess than 4	4000 chara	acters):		
9. C	SR 61 at Waver Cause of Crash F The majority of Proposed Impro	ly Rd/Church Problems (lis crashes wer vements (lis	n Intersect st and disc re rear end st and disc	ton cuss, pie ts. cuss, pie	ase limityoi ase limityoi	ur text to le ur text to le	ess than 4 ess than 4	4000 chara 4000 chara	acters): acters):		
9. C	SR 61 at Waver Cause of Crash F The majority of r Proposed Impro Install a southb	ly Rd/Church Problems (II: crashes wer vernents (II: ound left turn	n Intersect st and disc re rear end st and disc n lane and	ton tuss, pie tuss, pie treconst	ase limityo ase limityou ruct median	ur text to le ur text to le along turn	ess than 4 ess than 4 n lane an	4000 chara 4000 chara d at the ch	acters): acters): urch entra	ance	4 4 4
9. C	SR 61 at Waver Cause of Crash F The majority of a Proposed Impro Install a southb	ly Rd/Church Problems (II: crashes wer wements (II: ound left turn	n Intersect st and disc re rear end st and disc n lane and	ton cuss, ple ts. treconst	ase limit you ase limit you ruct median	ur text to le ur text to le along turn	iss than 4 iss than 4 in lane and	4000 chara 4000 chara d at the ch	acters): acters): urch entra	ance	
9. C	SR 61 at Waven Cause of Crash F The majority of a Proposed Impro Install a southb	IV Rol'Church Problems (II: crashes wer wements (II: ound left turn	st and disc re rear end at and disc n lane and	cuss, pie is. cuss, pie treconst	ase limit you ase limit you ruct median	ur text to le ur text to le along turn	ss than 4 ss than 4 n lane an	4000 chara 4000 chara d at the ch	acters): acters): urch entra sh Informa	ance ation for Facility	· · ·
9. C 10. 11.	SR 61 at Waver Cause of Crash F The majority of Proposed Impro Install a southb	IV Rd/Church Problems (II: crashes wer wements (II: ound left turn 2007 - 2	and disc re rear end at and disc n lane and 2003 - 2	2009 •	ase limit you ase limit you ruct median	ur text to le along turn 2011 -	ss than 4 ss than 4 n lane an AVG. 2	4000 chara 4000 chara d at the ch	acters): acters): urch entra sh Informa trash	ation for Facility 61584	, , , ,

An initially-blank example of the grid is present below to illustrate the grid's appearance prior to selections by the user.



The screenshot below shows the results after year selections are complete:

		2007 -	2008 -	2009 -	2010 -	2011 👻	AVG.	14. Crash Informa	tion for Facility
No.	of Crashes	2	2	1	4	1	2	Cost/Crash	61584
No. Rec	of Crashes duced	1	1	0	1	o	1	Crash Cleanup Interest Rate (%)	100 4

Using the **Tab** key

to advance out of the last year the user need, the cursor will go to the next numbered data item (14. Crash information for Facility). The illustration below shows blank

and completed fields for the item.

Cost/Crash	
Crash Cleanup	
Interest Rate (%)	1

14. Crash Informa	tion for Facility
Cost/Crash	61584
Crash Cleanup	100
Interest Rate (%)	4

Cost/Crash: The monetary cost of the crash incident.

Crash Cleanup: The monetary cost of cleanup.

Interest Rate (%): The interest rate applied to both costs.

3.8.2.3.5 Applying Crash Reduction Factors

To obtain an estimate of the number of crashes to be prevented as a result of an improvement project(s), the CRFs associated with the particular type of project(s) must be specified. To do this:

1. Click the **Get CRF** button on the form shown below.

3+	Check appli	cable crash t	ypes		15. Annual Cost of Improvements						
All	Cle	ear	Get CRF		A. R-O-W						
Type of Crash	CRF(%)	Number of Crashes	Crashes to be Prevented	+	B. P.E.C.E.I.						
Angle				V	E. Contingency						
Fixed-Object				V	F. Signals/Signing						
Right-Turn				V	H. Change in Maintenance						
Left-Turn				1	I. Crash Cleanup						
Rear-End				V	J. Other						
Head-On				V	K. Total						
Sideswipe				V	16. Benefits						
Pedestrian				V	A. Crash Reduction: No. at						
Ran-Off-RD				V	B. Other						
Others				V	Total Annual Benefit						
Total											
Wet Only		O Nigh	t-Time Only		17. Benefit-Cost Ratio						
Day & N	ight	Day-	Time Only								

AI	Check apple	able crash i bar (ivpes del CRF		Type	Cost	Life	CF	Annual Cos
Type of Crash	CRF(%)	Number Of Crashes	Grashes to be Prevented	*	B. P.E.C.E.I. C. Structure	400080	40	0.4033	43334
Angle	77.20	2	2		E. Contingency	70582	10	0.1233	8702
Fixed-Object	-1.43	0	0	[32]	F. Signals/Signing	1057	10	0.1233	130
Right-Turn	73,12	0	0		G. Subtotal				22156
Left-Tum	69.34	2	1	[12]	H. Change in Maintenance.				
Rear-End	-53.92	4	-2	(U)	I. Crash Cleanup				-100
Head-On	68.08	0	0	[92]	J. Other				22056
Gideswipe.	32.14	1	0	1	ini total				
Pedestrian	-139.44	0	0	1	16. Denefits				
Ran-Off-RD	-0.08	0	0		A Crash Reduction	No 1	at 51	584	61584
Others	39.25	1	o	[92]	B. Other				
Total		10	1		C. Other Total Annual Benefi				61594
🖾 Wet Only	Dana di	Night	f-Time Only	0	r star carried barren				ST. (125)
Day & N	ight	Day-	Time Only		17 Benefit-Cost Ra	tio			2.78

The following displays for sample project FM No. 1618:

1. The CRF Selection form opens. Select to apply either a single CRF based on the total crashes (default) or detailed CRFs for different crash types.

International Accession	Citi Coren	ch tone citil a ch c	states () free			
Historical Projects		Type 1	Type 2	Type 3	Type 4	
Maintenance	Type	Standard	C Standard Fiel O User-Defined	C Standard (Field) O User-Defined	O Standard Tee	
Project Evaluation Method	Type Code					
Home	Type Description	1	1		1	Aggregated CRF (%)
	Total CRF					
	Angle CRF					
	Fixed-Obj. CRF					
	Right-Tum CRF					
	Left-Turn CRF					
	Reat-End CRF					
	Head-On CRF					
	Sideswipe CRF					
	Pedestrian CRF					
	Ran-Off-Rd CRF					
	Others CRF					
	Wet					

2. Select whether to apply standard or user-defined CRFs.

To use standard CRFs, click the **Find** button to view the screen for Selecting Standard CRFs (see below).

Project Analysis				
Historical Projects	Select an Improvement Type (N=Project Sample Size):			
Maintenance	12: Year LT channelization who LT pream (https://doi.org/10.131) 13: New LT channelization (nonsignalized intersection) (N=36) 14: World's intersection at signalized intersection (N=22)		Crash Type	CRF (%)
Project Evaluation	15. Modify intersection at non-signalized intersection (hir=4) 16. Modify channelization and add signal (N=5)		Total	19
Method	17: Increase storage lane (H=10)		Angle	24
Home	18: Add tum bay (N=3) 19: Add right tum (N=10)		Fixed-Object	- 31
	20: Add LT (T-Intersection) (N=4) 23: Add LT (V-Intersection) (N=1)		Right-Turn	16
	22: Add 2nd LT lane in same direction as existing (%=21)		Left-Tarri	58
	23: Guardrali at bridges end (N=2) 24: Guardrali at sleep embankments (N=1)		Rear-End	-4
	25. Guardrail at steep embanioments with curve (N=1) 25. Guardrail at exact ide abritative (new source polar, and the UK+1)		Head-On	34
	27. Guardrail end treatments (N=0)		Sdeswipe	22
	28. Guardrali retocation (N=0) 29: Guardrali removal (N=0)		Pedestrian	27
	30; Add painted median (N=2)		Ran-Off-Road	32
	32: Increase median width (N=6)		Others	19
	33. Add two-way L1 lanes (1=16) 34. Install concrete median barrier (N=4)	-	Wet Sartace	37
	Accept	Cancel		

This screen displays a list of available improvement types. Note that on this list, the number of projects (N) available to develop the CRFs is displayed in *parentheses* at the end of each improvement type's descriptive text, for example

14 Modify intersection at signalized intersection (N=22)
15: Modify intersection at non-signalized intersection (N=4)
16: Modify channelization and add signal (N=5)
17: Increase storage lane (N=10)
18: Add turn bay (N=9)

Note: For statistical purposes, it is advisable to use only those with a project sample size of at least five.

When a sample size of less than five is selected, the user will be prompted with an advisory message.

Upon selecting an improvement type by clicking the appropriate list item, the corresponding CRFs will be listed on the right.

Click the button to retrieve the CRFs and exit the screen.

3. If the CRFs for a specific improvement type are not available, they must be obtained from another source and entered manually as User-Defined CRFs. To use CRFs from other sources, enter the factors in the appropriate fields manually. When CRFs are User-Defined, a "**" note will appear on top of the selected CRFs to indicate that the CRFs being used are *non-standard*.

Historical Projects		Tune 1	Type 2	Type 3	Tune 4	
Maintenance	туре	C Standard [Tim]	C Standard [794]	C Standard (This)	O Standard [fini] O User-Defined	
Project Evaluation	Type Code	1.0.000.000				
Home	Type Description	User-Defined -		1		Appregated CRF (%)
	Tatal CRF					0.00
	Angle CRF	5.00				5.00
	Fised-Otij ORF	3.00				3.00
	Right-Turn CRF	10.00				10.00
	Left-Turn CRF	-3.00				-1.00
	Rear-End CRF	11.00				11.00
	Head-On CRF	7.00				7.00
	Sideswipe CRF	0.00				6.00
	Pedestrian CRF	8.00				0.00
	Ran-Off-Rd CRF	3.00				3.00
	Others CRF	5.00				5.00
	Wet	9.00		-		9.00

4. Once the CRFs have been selected, users can click the Accept button to retrieve the CRFs into the Benefit-Cost Analysis form. Choose up to four different improvement types if a project involves multiple improvements. The aggregated CRFs, shown in the last column, will be automatically *calculated* based on the following formula:

$$CRF_t = CRF_1 + (1 - CRF_1) CRF_2 + (1 - CRF_1) (1 - CRF_2) CRF_3 + \dots$$

where

 $CRF_t = Aggregated CRF,$

 $CRF_1 = CRF$ for the first improvement project,

- $CRF_2 = CRF$ for the second improvement project, and
- CRF_3 = CRF for the third improvement project.

Historical Projects		Type 1	Type 2	Type 3	Type 4	
Maintenance	Туре	Standard Find	Standard Find User-Defined	C Standard 7nt	Standard	
Project Evaluation Method	Type Code	1	12			
Home	Type Description	New signal at	New LT channelization whit.T phase	-	-	Aggregated CRF (%)
	Total CRF	25:00	19.00			39.25
	Angle CRF	70.00	24.00			77.20
	Fixed-Obj. CRF	-47.00	31.00			-1.43
	Right-Turn CRF	68.00	16.00			73.12
	Left-Turn CRF	27,00	58.00			69.34
	Rear-End CRF	-411.00	-4.00			-53.92
	Head-On CRF	53.00	34.00			68.98
	Sideswipe CRF	13.00	22.00			32.14
	Pedestrian CRF	-228.80	27.00			-139.44
	Ran-Off-Rd CRF	-56.00	32.00			-6.08
	Others CRF	25.00	10.00			39.25
	Wet	37:00	37.00			60.31

By default, all CRFs for different crash types are included. The user may uncheck any crash types that are not applicable to the specific improvement project. Unchecked crash types are excluded from the analysis. <u>Note</u>: The list of improvement type(s) selected will be displayed under the **Selected CRF Safety Improvement Type(s)** box as part of the Pre-construction (additional information for HSIP Report) category.

8. Pre-Construction (additional i	information for H	ISIP Report):			
Intersection Improvement?	Yes	No	Divided/Undivided?	Divided	Undivided
CTST Project?	Yes	O No	Interstate/Turnpike?	Turnpike	Interstate
Rural/Urban?	Rural	© Urban	Data from FDOT/Local?	© FDOT	C Local
Cost of Evaluated Improvement	s (\$1,000)		Number of Lanes:		•
Primary Funding Source:					•
Expected Life of Project:					•
Selected CRF Safety Improvem	ent Type(s):				
					*
Assigned Safety Improvement	Туре:				·
0 Improvement type not ass	ianed				•

Shown below is a blank copy of the next numbered data item "15. Annual Cost of Improvements". On the right is the completed section for our sample project. The user will enter information only in Cost and Life columns in rows A-F. The other columns - CF and Annual Cost – are *calculated* and populated by CRASH system. Additionally, rows G. Subtotal, I. Crash Cleanup, and K. Total are *calculated* and populated by the system.

15. Annual Cost of Im	provement	s			15. Annual Cost of I	mproverne	nts		
Туре	Cost	Life	CF	Annual Cost	Туре	Cost	Life	CF	Annual Co
A. R-0-W					A R-O-W]		
B. P.E.C.E.I.		1			B. P.E.C.E.I.				
C. Structure					C. Structure				
D. Roadway		ĺ .	[D. Roadway	108068	10	0.1233	13324
E. Contingency					E. Contingency	70582	10	0.1233	8702
F. Signals/Signing					F. Signals/Signing	1057	10	0.1233	130
G. Subtotal					G. Subtotal				22156
H. Change in Mainten	ance				H. Change in Maint	enance			
I. Crash Cleanup					I. Crash Cleanup				-100
J. Other					J. Other				
K. Total					K. Total				22056

The following are data fields displayed in the "15. Annual Cost of Improvements" section:

- R-O-W: Right of Way
- P.E.C.E.I
- Structure
- Roadway
- Contingency
- Signals/Signing
- Subtotal: Contains the *calculated* Subtotal of the Annual Cost column of rows A-F summed together.
- Change in Maintenance
- Crash Cleanup
- Other
- Total: Contains the *calculated* total of the Annual Cost column of rows G-J summed together.

The next two sections, "**16. Benefits**" and "**17. Benefit-Cost Ratio**", on the Benefit-Cost Analysis form will be covered together.

A. Crash Reduction: No.	at	
B. Other		
C. Other		
Total Annual Benefit		

- **Crash Reduction**: Contains the number of crash reductions expected at the Cost/Crash rate from the "14. Crash Information for Facility" section above, with the *calculated* Annual Cost benefit for that number of crash reductions at that cost rate.
- Other: Enter information regarding additional benefits for the improvement project.

• Other: Enter information regarding additional benefits for the improvement project.

Total Annual Benefit: will contain the *calculated* result of the sum of the **Annual Cost** columns in rows A-C in section **16. Benefits** only.

The final numbered data item **17. Benefit-Cost Ratio:** Contains a *calculated* result which contains the results of dividing the value of the **Total Annual Benefit** from **16. Benefits** by the **Total** field from **15. Annual Cost of Improvements** (see the sample calculation below).

16. Benefits	
A. Crash Reduction: No. 1 at 61584	61584
B. Other	
C. Other	
Total Annual Benefit	61584
	0.70
17. Benefit-Cost Ratio	2.79

3.8.2.3.6 Prepared by/Approved by

Prepared by	Approved by	Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	
Comments/Crash Reduction	Method (Please limit your text to less than 4	4000 characters):	
High Crash Listings (Please	limit your text to less than 4000 characters):	_

Figure 20 Prepared by / Approved by Block

- **Prepared by**: Enter the name of the person who prepared the Benefit-Cost Analysis for the project.
- Approved by: Enter the name of the person who approved the project.

- **Date (mm/dd/yyyy)**: Enter the date when the project was approved, in mm/dd/yyyy format.
- **Comments/Crash Reduction Method**: Enter up to 4000 characters of free text to provide general comments or a crash reduction method.
- **High Crash Listings**: Enter up to 4000 characters to list high crash areas related to the project.

3.8.3 Saving Projects

Once all necessary data has been entered into the Benefit-Cost Analysis Form, the project can be saved using available functionality within the navigational menu (left panel).

Save Project
Send to Historical
Map Location
Back

After entering at least the minimal data on the "Add Improvement **Project**" webpage there are several functional options available to the user on the navigational menu. We will review these slightly out of order.

Back

Clicking the **Back** button prior to saving the new project, returns users to the "Project Analysis" main menu page and erases any unsaved data.



Send to Historical

The system allows users to send new projects to the Historical Projects component. Although this functionality is available, it is *not recommended* unless the selected project has been entered into the Benefit-Cost Analysis form and construction is ready to begin. Upon clicking this button, the system saves the new project to the database, automatically opens the

project in the Edit Project page within in Historical Projects, and changes the Project Status to *Construction*.

Map Location The system displays the following message dialog box if the project was saved without a location.



The user must click or its dialog box.

Before using this feature, the user must enter the required location information. CRASH

interfaces with a map server to generate map data for all safety improvement projects within the database. Provided that the given coordinates are accurate, these functions automatically retrieve project data from the specified location(s) for further analysis.

Once the Benefit-Cost Analysis form contains the location data fields necessary (County/Section, Subsection, Beginning Milepost and Ending Milepost) to map the location, click on the

Map Location button. The SSOGis system opens in a separate window. SSOGis uses the project's Roadway ID (consists of the combination of **County/Section** and **Subsection** fields) and the location's Beginning Milepost and Ending Milepost to draw the location on the map. The Add Improvement Project webpage remains open and accessible.

Save Project By clicking on the **Save Project** button, the following confirmation message dialog box displays.



The user must click or it to close this dialog box.

The Add Improvement Project webpage remains open and the Save Project and Send to Historical

links in Project Analysis navigation menu become disabled (see below):

	Save Project	If the required location data was provided prior to saving, SSOGis will
	Send to Historical	open in a separate window zoomed in on the location of the project. If
	Map Location	the user saved this project without Location related data and click on
	Back	the Map Location button, the following message dialog box
l		displays:



The user must click on	ОК	or	×	to	close
this dialog box.					

<u>Note</u>: To enter the required location information the user will have access this project through the Edit Project process and then use the Map Location

feature on that page's navigation menu.

By clicking on the **Back** button after saving the new project, the user will return to the Project Analysis main menu page. If the user select **Edit Improvement Projects** or **View Improvement Projects** the new project *will* now show in the improvement projects lists based on the query filters selected.

3.8.4 Editing and Viewing Improvement Projects

Saved projects can be either viewed or edited. In general, the user is allowed to view all projects from all districts, but if the user is limited to managing only one district, the user can only edit

projects from the user's own district. The Editing projects process includes deletion of a project record(s) from the database, when needed.

To edit projects, select the Edit Improvement Projects link from the Project Analysis navigation submenu. To view projects, select the View Improvement Projects link from the Project Analysis navigation submenu. These submenus have a couple of features in common:

Export to Excel Back

These submenus have these two features in common which will be covered together in the <u>Edit and View Improvement Projects</u> <u>Common Features</u> section.

For now we will begin by reviewing the Search Query process. The user is presented with a set of query filters, as shown below. These filters allow the user to retrieve a specific subset of the projects that meet filter conditions. Any combination of filters can be used. The default filter settings (shown below in the Query Filters Available section) automatically generate a list of safety improvements for all districts, all counties, and all improvement types. All filters are independent, except for the District and County, as noted below. When a filter is left unspecified, it is not included and no constraints will be imposed based on that filter.

Use the **Reset** button to clear the selected filtering criteria and use the dropdown arrow on fields where available to select from a specific available list of values, the rest of the fields are free-form text that should only be used when the user know the specific or partial value the user enter is present in the database in order to effectively narrow the user's search results.

As filters are specified, the list of all projects that satisfy the filter conditions will be updated. Examples of lists of retrieved projects are shown below. The list includes several major location data fields, plus the Financial Management project number (FM No. field) and the project Status.

3.8.4.1.1 Search Filters

Safety Improvement Projects			
District: All Districts 👻 County: All Counties 💌	Section:	FM No:	Reset
Project Improvement Type: All Improvement Types			•

• **District**: Select a district. The dropdown list defaults to "All Districts" yet allows users to choose a specific FDOT District, values 1-8.

Note: If the user's user account is limited to a specific District, the user's assigned District will auto-populate and lock the **District** dropdown and you will not be able to change it.

- **County**: Select a county. The dropdown list defaults to *All Counties* when District contains *All Districts*. If a specific FDOT District is selected (or auto-populated), the Counties in the dropdown list will be restricted to only those in the selected District.
- Section: Enter a section. The Linear reference section for the road within County (first two digits shown), 3rd-5th digits of the roadway identifier.

Note: This field can be partially entered and also provides for the use of the wildcard (%) feature. For example, if a there were several projects entered for Sections 11010 and 01010, the user would find projects for both upon entering *10* or %*10* or *10*% in the Section field.

- FM No: Enter a FM No. "FM" represents the Financial Management project number from the Work Program System, which was entered, if available, when the improvement project was entered into the database. <u>Note</u>: This field is case-sensitive and provides for the use of the wildcard (%) feature. Information should only be entered here if the user know *the exact case* used when it was entered into the database, so as to ensure the most efficient and accurate query against the database.
- Project Improvement Type: Select a project improvement type. It defaults to "All

Improvement Types" unless another value is selected using the dropdown list. The query includes a project in the results based on the Assigned Safety Improvement Type for a project.

<u>Note</u>: Unlike the other filtering parameters listed above, this particular field is not included in the results column headings displayed. You will have to open the specific improvement project record to see it by checking the **Assigned Safety Improvement Type** field at the bottom of the Benefit-Cost Analysis block displaying numbered data item 18 (see the large red arrow in the example shown below.)

Only *one* Safety Improvement Type can be assigned to a project, though a single project may involve multiple improvements. This assignment is handled through the Administration menu, by a user designated as an Administrator. Each project defaults to having "0-Improvement type not assigned" (and therefore is automatically included in the default "All Improvement Types" selection) until an Administrator selects *one* as the primary assigned type.

ntersection Improvement?	Yes	© No	Divided/Undivided?		Divided	O Undivided		
CTST Project?	© Yes	No	Interstate/Turnpike?		O Turnpike	Interstate		
Rura/Urban?	© Rural	Urban	Data from FDOT/Loc	al?	FDOT	C Local		
Cost of Evaluated Improvem	ents (\$1,000)		Number of Lanes:	4: 4 La	ines	*		
Primary Funding Source:	SS/ACSS: STP, Safety							
Expected Life of Project:	2. Traffic signals	(service life=1	5 years)					
Selected CRF Safety Improv	ement Type(s):							
4: Modify signal at 22: Add 2nd LT lane	channelized	intersectiection as e	on xisting					
115: Signing and Pa	vement Markin	ngs				1		
The second s								

3.8.4.2 Improvement Projects Query Results – Edit vs. View

Cutaway examples of the "Edit Improvement Projects" query results and the "View Improvement Projects" query results are shown below. <u>Note:</u> the columns of data returned are *similar*, but the "Edit Improvement Projects" data results list includes an extra "Action" column. These will be discussed in more detail later in this document as each operational feature (Edit, Delete, and View) is defined.

FM No	District	County	Section	Sub	BMP	EMP	CBD	CED	Status	Action	Action
11111112	0			000					construction	<u>Edit</u>	<u>Delete</u>
CBWTST1	0			000					construction	<u>Edit</u>	<u>Delete</u>
Company of the		-		000			A construction		and a support		

Figure 21 Edit Improvement Projects Query Results Columns

FM No	District	County	Section	Sub	BMP	EMP	CBD	CED	Status	Action
11111112	0			000					construction	View
CBWTST1	0			000					construction	View
CBWTST?				000			an and a second	-	construction	View

Figure 22 View Improvement Projects Query Results Columns

3.8.4.2.1 Query Results Fields

The query results contain the following fields related to improvement projects:

- FM No: The Financial Management project number from the Work Program system.
- **District**: The DOT Managing District identifier.
- **County**: The DOT code for County. <u>Note</u>: County is the first two digits of the 8-digit roadway identifier. County is also included in the first two digits of the **Section** field.
- Section: The linear reference section for the road within County (first two digits shown), 3rd-5th digits of the roadway identifier.
- Sub: The *subsection* of the primary section, which is the last 3 digits of the 8-digit roadway

identifier.

- **BMP**: The Beginning Milepoint for the project, refers to inventoried length of the roadway identifier.
- **EMP**: The Ending Milepoint for the project, refers to inventoried length of the roadway identifier.
- **Status**: The project status. Valid values are listed below.
 - *Analysis*: The project is still in the analysis stage and may or may not be implemented. This is the default given when a project is initially started.
 - *Construction*: The project is being constructed, but the construction has not been completed.
 - *Completed*: The project has been completed and the construction periods have been entered.
- Action (or the two Action column headings): The column(s) contain an action verb *Edit* and *Delete* respectively when using the Edit Improvement Projects query or *View* when using the View Improvement Projects query. Choose the action verb that best fits the user's needs for the specific project data row selected.

3.8.4.3 Editing Improvement Projects

As illustrated in the figure above, the Edit Improvement Projects subpage includes two action columns (i.e., **Edit** and **Delete**), each of which opens a different view of the Benefit-Cost Analysis Form.

ะกดรี	T1 5	lorid	a Dep	artme	ent o	f		E-	Updates Fl	L511 Mobil	e Site	Мар
	1	RA	NSP	ORI	AI	ION		S	earch FDOT	<u>90</u>		1
	Ho	me /	About F	рот (Contac	t Us	Maps &	& Data O	ffices Pe	erformance	Pro	jects
			-		-				_	_	_	
Veb Application	n											
orida Troffic Colot: Doda		a logic at 1	-	(Eas up	malant	Internet	ann an F	and and a	ÿ	ELCOME JA	ON NEL	MS (SY
dit Historical	Improve	emer	nt Pr	oject	Koricai S	Improvi	ament P	rojects				
		() =	orene () so		~	_	-					
	The second											
MERCE DE CONT		1	-	and the second	T		all					
CRASH	STATE OF BRIDE	1	199			New		of Survey				Contraction of the local division of the loc
Crowsh	12222	200000		121111	Edit	Mistori	cal Sal	ety Projects	0. 		- 10	
Project Analysis	District Al	Districts		County	All Cour	ties	3)	Section	PA	A No:	1.18	Reset
Historical Projects	Construction	Veer Free	ype.	Any Year	ement i	To	Any Yea					
Maintenance		1001 City		Trail Loss			red ios					
Project Evaluation	FM No	District	County	Section	Sub	BMP	EMP	CBD	CED	Status	Action	Action
THE COST	11111112	0			000					construction	Edit	Dekte
Home	CBWTST1	0	ot	01000	000	0.000	0.000	09/08/1994	12/05/1994	construction completed	Edit	Delete
	4117671	1	01	01000	000	0.000	1,000		10/03/2006	completed	Edit	Delete
	4043591	1	01	01000	000					construction	Edit	Detete
	4081451	1	01	01000	000			12/14/2001		construction	Edit	Dalete
	9° - 90 - 3	Constant-State			dere d		e s	- Sec. may	- 3-0	a dan da	gue	-BEARING
	4065402	7	15	15170	000	4.247	B 040	07/08/2003	12/23/2003	completed	Edit	Delate
	4270331	7	15	15190	000	15.338	16.649	06/16/2010	11/13/2010	completed	Edit	Delete
	2509231	1	15	15200	001	1.322	1.422	05/18/1995	11/13/1995	completed	Edit	Delete
	4248791	Ť	15	15220	000	0.197	1.225	11/29/2010	03/17/2011	completed	Edit	Delete
	2546471k	1	15	15230	000	0.880	0.905	06/16/2007	08/16/2007	completed	Edit	Delate
								and the second second				
Contact Us	Employment	MuEL	orida en	m Der	rres, Di	one	s Credit Statem	ent of Agent	v Web P	Policies & N	otices	
Condut US	copicyment	Myrli		ni rei	iorma	100	- laiterill	and of Agent	, neur	unues a N	unies	
	0								Elonida D	enartment	of Trar	soorta

Figure 23 Project Analysis - Edit Improvement Projects Subpage

The navigation menu in the left panel of each project specific webpage is unique. Each one is displayed below to show the differences in the Edit vs. Delete related operations that can be

performed on the selected project.

3.8.4.3.1 Edit and Delete Project Navigation Menus



Figure 24 Edit vs. Delete Project Navigation Menus

Later in this document the functionality in each menu will be discussed, step-by-step, describing how to edit and how to delete an improvement project. For now we will only discuss each menu at a high level to quickly describe the operational commonalities and differences.

3.8.4.4 Edit Project Menu Features Overview

Save Project By selecting this feature the CRASH system will save changes made to the selected Project's Benefit-Cost Analysis Form.

Print Form

By selecting this feature a printer friendly version of the selected Project's Benefit-Cost Analysis Form will be generated. A print dialog box will open for the user to choose a printer and print; or the user may elect to cancel the print request. <u>Note</u>: Whether the user choose to print or to cancel the print request, the user have to use the browser's Back arrow to return to the "Edit Project" detail subpage.

Send to Historical By selecting this feature the improvement project moves from the "**Project Analysis**" functional component to the "**Historical Projects**" functional component in the CRASH system. It will no longer be included on the **Project Analysis** "**Edit Improvement Projects**" list. <u>Note</u>: This selection should only be made when a project is ready for construction to begin.

Map Location

Before clicking this feature ensure that the required location data items have been entered into the Benefit-Cost Analysis Form. CRASH interfaces with the map server to generate map data for all safety improvement projects within the database. Provided that the given coordinates are accurate, these functions automatically retrieve project data from the specified location(s) for further analysis. After clicking the SSOGis system will open in a separate window. The SSOGis uses the project's Roadway ID (consists of the combination of **County/Section** and **Subsection** fields) and the location Beginning Milepost and Ending Milepost to draw the location on the map.

Back By clicking this feature the user will be returned to the "**Edit Improvement Projects**" list. <u>Note:</u> It is important to use this **Back** button and <u>not</u> the user's browser's Back button, because the browser Back button may not take the user back to the Improvement Project list properly.

3.8.4.5 Delete Project Menu Features Overview

Delete Project By selecting this feature the CRASH system will delete the selected Improvement Project from the database. The user will be prompted to confirm the user want to delete the record.

Print Form By selecting this feature a printer-friendly version of the selected Project's Benefit-Cost Analysis form will be generated. A print dialog box will open to allow the user to choose a printer and print. <u>Note</u>: Whether the user choose to print or to cancel the print request, the user must use the browser's Back arrow to return to the "**Delete Project**" detail subpage.

Map Location Before clicking this feature ensure that the required location data items have been entered into the Benefit-Cost Analysis Form. CRASH interfaces with the map server to generate map data for all safety improvement projects within the database. Provided that the given coordinates are accurate, these functions automatically retrieve project data from the specified location(s) for further analysis. After clicking the SSOGis system will open in a separate window. The SSOGis uses the project's Roadway ID (consists of the combination of County/Section and Subsection fields) and the location Beginning Milepost and Ending Milepost to draw the location on the map.

Back

By clicking this feature the user will be returned to the Edit Improvement Projects list. <u>Note:</u> It is important to use this **Back** button and <u>not</u> the user's browser's Back button, Using the browser's **Back** button may not return the user to the Improvement Project list properly.

Because the **Delete** menu options are more simplistic and straightforward we examine those in detail first.

3.8.4.5.1 Deleting an Improvement Project

Clicking the **Delete** link opens the selected project's Benefit-Cost Analysis Form.



Florida Department of Transportation Safety Project Benefit-Cost Analysis

The navigation menu on the "**Delete Project**" webpage has several operational choices available. We will address them slightly out of order since the one the user choose first may make others unaccessible, cancel the delete request, or make some options not relevant.

Delete Project	If the user click on the	Back	button before				
Print Form	deleting the project the use	er will immediately ret	urn to the Edit				
Map Location	Improvement Projects list with the record still showing (i.e., it will not						
Back	have been deleted).						

If the user click on the **Print Form** button *before* deleting the project, a Print dialog box and a report sample are displayed so the user can select a printer and print, or cancel the print

request.



<u>Note</u>: Whether the user choose to print or to cancel the print request, the user have to use the browser's Back arrow to return to the Delete Project detail subpage.

If the user click on the Map Location button *before* deleting the project the user will see the SSOGis system open in a separate window, zoomed-in to show the project's location on the map. The features available within the SSOGis system are covered in more detail in <u>Section 3</u>.

<u>Note</u>: The Traffic Safety Portal's Delete Project page for the selected project will remain open and accessible. There is no way to navigate back to that specific page from the SSOGis system.



By clicking the

By clicking the

Delete Project

button on the navigational menu on the left panel of the "Delete Project" webpage, the following message dialog box is presented:

Message from webpage	
Are you sure you want to delete this record? If you click OK, the record will be deleted and you have only 30 days from the deletion to request the Administrator to restore the record. Do you want to continue?	By clicking the Cancel button,
OK Cancel	the Delete project request stops.
	1

button, the following message dialog box is presented:

OK


Delete Project Print Form Map Location Back

The navigation menu is changed after a successful Delete, making several of the operational choices un-accessible. By clicking on the Back button the user return to the Edit Improvement Projects list with the deleted record no longer showing in the display list – refer to the Before and After Delete example below.

FM No	District	County	Section	Sub	BMP	EMP	Status	Action	Action
	0			000	1.320	1.320	analysis	Edit	Delete
11111111	0			000			analysis	Edit	Delete
4117681	1	01	01000	000	0.000	0.322	analysis	Edit	Delete
provemen t <u>e</u> : The ro	t Project: w showr	s list afte 1 in the re	r Delete ed rectangle	e above i	s no longe	er in the	list.		
orovemen t <u>e</u> : The ro FM No	t Project: w showr District	s list afte 1 in the re County	r Delete ed rectangle Section	e above i Sub	s no longe BMP	er in the EMP	list. Status	Action	Action
orovemen te: The ro FM No 1111111	t Project: w showr District 0	s list afte 1 in the re County	r Delete ed rectangl Section	e above i Sub 000	s no longe BMP	er in the EMP	list. Status analysis	Action	Action
orovemen <u>te</u> : The ro FM No 1111111 117681	t Project: w showr District 0 1	s list afte 1 in the re County 01	r Delete ed rectangle Section 01000	e above i Sub 000 000	s no longe BMP 0.000	er in the EMP 0.322	list. Status analysis analysis	Action Edit Edit	Action Delete

3.8.4.5.2 Editing an Improvement Project

To demonstrate how to edit a selected project we must first select the following from the Project Analysis main webpage:



Clicking on the above link redirects the user to the Safety Improvement Projects screen. By default, the screen displays projects in all districts, counties, and improvement types. The user may refine the search by selecting from the various search filters as we discussed in the Query Filters Available section above.

We use the following example to document how to edit the selected project (shown in the red rectangle below) because it is a Crash Based project with multiple years' crash and CRF data

available and contains location data that displays properly within the Map Location feature. If information is missing for a project, please use the editing process to enter the missing information in the Benefit-Cost Analysis Form.

strict: All D	istricts 👻	· Count	y: All Counti	es 🔻	Section:		FM No:		Reset
oject Impro	vement Ty	pe: All Imp	rovement Ty	pes					•
FM No	District	County	Section	Sub	BMP	EMP	Status	Action	Action
11111111	0			000			analysis	Edit	<u>Delete</u>
	0			000			analysis	<u>Edit</u>	<u>Delete</u>
4117681	1	01	01000	000	0.000	0.322	analysis	Edit	<u>Delete</u>
	1	01	01010	000	11.965	12.965	analysis	<u>Edit</u>	<u>Delete</u>
429477	1	01	01010	000	18.061	18.261	analysis	Edit	<u>Delete</u>
	1	01	01010	000	18.432	18.632	analysis	Edit	Delete
4173441	1	01	01010	000	19.363	19.668	analysis	Edit	<u>Delete</u>
422439-2	1	01	01010	000	19.363	19.668	analysis	<u>Edit</u>	<u>Delete</u>
4160861	1	01	01010	000	19.568	19.768	analysis	Edit	<u>Delete</u>
	1	01	01010	000	19.568	19.768	analysis	<u>Edit</u>	<u>Delete</u>

By clicking on the ^[60] link on the row for a given FM No (e.g., 4160861), the Benefit-Cost Analysis form opens for this selected project (see the figure below).

FDOT	Florida Depart	ment of	4	E-Updates Fi	.511 Mobile Site Map
	Home About FDOT	Contact Us	Maps & Data	Offices Pe	rformance Projects
Veb Application					
lorida Treffic Safety Portal / Edit Project	CRASH / Project Analysis / Edit I	Project		*	VELCOME JABON NELMS (SYST
	Pa			肁/	200
CRASH		Florida Depart	ment of Transp	ortation	
Project Analysis		Safety Project	t Benefit-Cost A	natysts	
t reject ennigen	# Crash Based © Non-Crash I	Based			Codes Deces
Historical Projects	2. Date Submitted	(mmstddyyyy)			Environmental Study
Maintenance	3. Project No	WPA	10 AT 10 GROUP 1	Tikkid (F.D.)	Contraction of the second
Project Evaluation	6 District 1 - County/Section	01010 Sol	e 4100051	State Road #5	U.S. Road
Method	7. Loc 1	Loc 2	Loc 3 Loc 4	Lac 5	Loc d
Home	Enginery Mepost 19.998 Ending Milepost 19.758 Length 0.200				Total Length 0.200
Save Project	Node				
Print Form	II Description of Location/Facility Typ	pe (Please limit your to	at to lease than 4000 ch	wachers)	[4]
Send to Historical					
Map Location	9. Gause of Creats Problems (but and	f discuss, please limit	your beat to leave then 4	000 characters)	
Back					
	18. Pré-Construction (additional info Intersection Improvement? CTST Project? Plural/Urban? Cool of Evaluation	mution for HSIP Repo C Yes # No C Yes C No C Roral C Urba	nti DividedUnd interstate/Tu Data from Fi Number of	wded? (c) mpike? (c) DOT/Lucai? (c)	Division © Undividued Turriçõe © Internatie FDOT © Lucal
	Primary Funding Source:	1(1000)	Trainers in		
	Expected Life of Project 1. CA	samelization, lot-turn t	any (securic inter-10 yes	ars)	100
	Selected CRF Safety Improvement 11: New LT channellisation	Type(s) 1 w/ 1T phase (s1	gnallued)		
	Assigned Safety Improvement Type 0 — Improvement type not assign	n sl			
	Safety Office Policies, Pr	ocedures, Disclaimer	S Crettins Pee	dback	
Contact Lie E	mniowmant MuElorida acres	Parlomanca	Statement of Ar	NAME - MARTIN	Minipa & Mottone
Contact Oa E	improviment improvoal.com	1-6136sturguice	oratement of Ag	sauch web is	OUCIES & NOTCES

Figure 25 Sample Edit Project Detail Subpage

The Edit Project subpage consists of the Edit Project navigation menu and the selected project's Benefit-Cost Analysis Form. Using this form, users can update data contained within the form and save any new data entered. Below, we describe the operational functions that can be performed

from the navigation menu on the Edit webpage in the order in which they are displayed.

Save Project	After completing all data entry updates needed, by clicking on the
Print Form	Save Project button, the following confirmation
Send to Historical	message dialog box is displayed. The Edit Project page stays open.
Map Location	The Project Analysis navigation menu in the left panel does not change
Back	and the updated improvement project record is saved to the database.

Message from webpage	The user must click on or it to
	close this dialog box.
Project has been successfully saved to database!	
If the user click on the Print Form	button, a Print Dialog box and a report sample

display. The user can then select a printer and print, or cancel the print request.

					CAP	ETV OCTION	- 01	NEET COST	AHATS	/ CIC			
Gettern					SAF	ETA OHICI	E 88	ENERII-COST	ANAL	1212			
Perse CRACA MMOC(TA 300PS(P) ORACA MMOC(TA 300PS(P) (Capp 1) Account 3P5 Document Writer Result Resu	Non PDF Creator 2 (Hee Send To Drafilate 2003 Stragt 11 Presto Se Presto Se Find Prese.	1.8 2.0 3.4 4.4 6.0 2	ubmitted by the Submitted inject No. tecrative No. atrict <u>t</u> Beginning Milepost tinding Milepost	Countyrilection Los 1 	01035 Li		VIP Pi Loc	14 No	-	8 Beid (i D.) SN State Road Los 8	45 5.00	Enviroi	manty mental Itudy Ipeed 5. Road Tatal Length
Index Current Page gen 1 there a single page number in a single ange. For example, 512	Number of copies 1 (1) (2) Coloure (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2)	8.D 8.C 10.1	Length Node Honption of Locationi ause of Crash Problem Proposed Improvement	Pacility Type s (list and discus is pist and discus	() #)	= =			_				6 200
				2007	2006	2005	2004	2005	AVG	14.	Crawn Inform	ution for	Facility
		11.	No. of Crashes	26	21	31	21	29	25		ostiCrasn		\$142,116.00
		u.	No. of Crashes Reduced	•			1			Cn In	un Ciesnup Ierest Rate	=	3100.00
		u	Type of Crash	CAPENI	Number of Crashes	Crashes to be prevented		18. Annual Cost o Type J., R-D-W	of improv	ementa Cost	LTh	CF	Annual Cost
			angre	17.00	15	3		B.F.E.C.E.L	23				
			Pixed-Object	15.00	1			O. Roedway		\$617,000.00	20	0.0584	\$53,240.00
			Right-Turn	-23.00	4	-1		E. Contingency F. Bignate/Signo	10	0500001200			2000 - 2000 2000 - 2000 2000 - 2000 - 2000
			Laft-Turn	\$3.00	54	•		G. Subtobil	infanan.				\$12,240.00
			Rear-End	5.00	-	5		i. Crash Cleanup	2				(\$400.00)
			Head-On	8.00	\$			J. Other K. Total					557 540.00
			Sideextpe	5.00	3								
			Pedestrian	31.00	2	1	1	A. Crash Reduct	ten: No		st 114	2,116.00	1362,464.00
			Ran-OCT-Rid	69.00	т.	- (1)	1	8.0ther C.Other	-			_	
			Others	21.00	14	3	1	Total Annual Be	outi			_	\$365,464,00
			Total		127	21		17. Benefit-Coat	Ratio				9.15
								-					
		. Dre	vered by			Approx	10.04					Date	

<u>Note</u>: Whether the user choose to print or to cancel the print request, the user must use the browser's **Back** arrow to return to the Delete Project detail subpage.

Send to Historical The Portal allows the user to send improvement projects to the CRASH Historical Projects functional component when the project is ready for construction to begin. *Please ensure that all pre-construction related data entry is complete before making this selection*. After clicking on this button the system automatically sends the project to the Edit Project webpage to, and then opens, the Historical Projects functional component, changing the project status to "Construction".

<u>Note</u>: After this process is complete the improvement project will no longer appear in the Project Analysis Edit Improvement Projects or View Improvement Projects lists.

Clicking the

Map Location

button opens SSOGIS in a separate window, with the map

zoomed-in to the project's location. Features available within the SSOGis system are covered in more detail later in this document.

<u>Note</u>: The Traffic Safety Portal's Edit Project page for the selected project remains open and accessible to you. However, the user cannot navigate back to that specific page from the SSOGis system.



Figure 26 Improvement Project – SSOGis View

By clicking on the Back button the user return to the Edit Improvement Projects list. The user may select another project from the list to edit, delete, re-query using different filtering criteria, or use any other navigational buttons available on the Edit Improvement Projects subpage.

3.8.4.6 View Improvement Projects

Users can view individual improvement projects from this page by selecting on a \bigvee link on a selected row of the list (see the highlighted area below).

-00	T) f	Iorida RAN	Departi SPOF	ment of RTATI	ON Ja Ma	aps & Dat	E-Up Sea	idates FLS rch FDOT ies Perf	11 Mobile 5	Bite Map
/eb Applicatio	on									
vida Traffic Safety Por	tel/cRASH/Pr	oject Analy	sis / View)	mproverner	t Project	\$		145	LCOME JASON	NELMS (573
iew Improve	ment Pro	jects								
	- Contraction						-			
						T	-	a state		2
and the second		-	No n							4.1
CRASH	Safety Impro	wement P	rojects							
Project Analysis	Detrict Al De	ests •	Carty AL	Coanties	Seid		FM	Non	Reset	
Historical Projects	Project Improver Type:	nert A	Improvemen	t Types					•	
Maintenance	FM No	District	County	Section	Sub	BMP	EMP	Status	Action	
Project Evaluation	11111111	0			000			analysis	Mark	
Method		0			000			analysis	Van	
Home	4117681	1	.01	81000	000	0.000	0.322	analysis	Max	
~ 1000	ورداميس ألجي و	-	St.	and the second	· 910	- tengs	ger ger		- 16 m	
	423518-1	6	87	87250	000	2.917	1010	anelysis	Vers	
			87	87250	000	4.447	4.6.18	analysis	Many	
	4203278-3						14 020	ansiysts	Ver	
	428276-1 425216-1	-0	.87	87270	000	14.525	114.002.0			
	425216.1 425599-2	-1	87 90	87270 90060	000	14.525 16.651	16.061	unayos	Mater	
	426276-1 425216.1 425595-2 423553-2	0 0 0	87 90 90	87270 90060 90060	000 000 000	14.525 16.651 25.700	16.661	analysis analysis	Materia Materia	
	428276.1 425296.1 425595.2 423563-2 423563-3	6 0 0 0	87 90 90 90	07270 90060 90060 90060	000 000 000 000	14.525 16.651 25.700	16.661	analysis analysis analysis	Mater Mater Mater	
ann an star a	425216-1 425216-1 425509-2 423563-2 423563-1	6 6 6 6 Lafety Office	87 90 90 90 Polizies, Pro	87270 90060 90060 90060 90060	000 000 000 000	14.525 16.651 25.700	16.061 29.175	analysis analysis analysis	Mass Mass	
Contact Us	439276-1 425216-1 425599-2 423563-2 423563-1 Employment	n II II II Sately Office MyFloric	87 90 90 Polizies, Pro	00000 00000 00000 00000 00000 Performanc	000 000 000 200 e Sta	14.525 16.651 25.700 Insatts 7 Dement of	16.061 26.175 webback	analysis analysis Web Po	Mass Mass Mass Scies & Notic	85

Figure 27 Project Analysis - View Improvement Projects Subpage

Upon clicking the link, the user will see the View Project webpage (i.e., the Benefit-Cost Analysis Form for the selected project).

	y	TRA	ISP	ORI	ATIC		Andrew P. Parter	Search FD	97		
		Home 2	ebour FL		contact th		viape a Data	Cirngen	Performance Pro	incre	
eb Application	1					_					
indu Truffic Safety Portal Iew Project	ORASI	1 / Project An	atyana 🔨	riew Proj	e-ct				WELCOME JARDIN HEL	*****	
		6	10		-	1					
CRASH			-		orade Dep	and term	ent of Transp	rortation			
Project Analysis	-	Criest Rased	14mil	Gasch Baseria	afety Pro	Ject 1	Senem-Cost A	Unadysta			
Historical Projects	1.1	Saferreffeed by	1010844008.0		constituents				Reference Private	and a second	
Marrienance	23	Project No.			lev i	PA No.		100000 (5.53.5) 2004	Durond		
Project Evaluation	* *	Senet -	CONTRACTOR IN CONTRACTOR	00.1	DOM: N	Dates Lo	chun 1880	Informe Proceed	U.S. Hosel		
Imarrow		Departing Magoo			1000	-	111		Total I	1211	
Co-chell		L progen							The second second		
Print Pasens		Description of Loc	and and	ty Tube							
Back		SARDEN CITY, wither achoos antriance are	at an unit	1 - N W	to teters	111 11	part in a ind	Harting scam 1	setting attained in	-	
		Same of Grant P	rotherris (B	ni and discs	anter at a		Hart House His	to assess and	· errored monday	1.00	
		STRAF ACTION	W.V. 18	11 - 0 m		111.14	part in a in	atting areas in	atter stomate in	100	
	100	NAME OF A DESCRIPTION O	6, n.r. f.a.r	and a plant			*****	ph motional service	a an an a second descendency	-	
		WEATHER WARTSTOCK	10.000	as an In	ng Tellend	+	3445.10.9.100		restains include	181	
		on Allegation	to the Post	cons of t	De sellen	- 9 1	March Winschin bin- M-person-MAR Cold	h Subool week	- Ruck the SAT		
		Numanatio in	of charge	the states	ing this fair		a famile star	man, although	the that down the		
		Renaution of			where the s		and has been		and	1	
								AVO 14. Crash Information for Facility CostCrash			
	32	Pail of Crashes	-			-	_	Grash	Cikalamogi		
	-10	No. of Crosses Postaced	3						(Flatter (%)		
	- 10				2010	-	THE Arrenal Creek	of huge contraction		-	
			1116	taria croate t	Arek EUMIP		Type	Coll	Life CE Arround S	1000	
					(Translater)		10. P. B. (2. D.)			_	
		Type of Gaussie	CHIP (Fig.)	10 million	the law Providend	+	E Ploativiste				
		Angle				101	P. trigristerthige	ing .		_	
		Picceld-CRoesel			-	101	 D. Studbolat H. Oblight m M 	denterrance de		_	
		Len-turn				111	 Crostler Charles College 			_	
		Poar Erel				111	H. TUAM				
		Head-CH				101	TR Develue	and and a second			
		Parkentram				101	B. Celsor		-		
		Haki-OR-RO				101	Turial Avenue Inc				
		tonei				101	17. Benefit Gen	a Martin		-	
		11 Mart Only			Time Only	· I	a local front for the	Curriet.			
		- Die A Ho	pro	= ci nty	Tirrite Cirilia						
		Properted tre			Astro	est the		Onte	District Report	-1	
		Dommentation	IN ROUGH	bootheth not						-	
		High Streets Lie	erigen.								
										1	
	10	Pro-Gamburin	0.0000000		nhe mar ri	(e					
		Harmen Harn brogener	Therease of T	1.23	fan in e	-	Electronic de la companya de la comp	inschool T	Civided Untrained	15	
		uryat Littlerer?		in states	Rume - i	itigen	Elutio Promis P	Districtment?	= FDOY = Local	îć –	
		termine Contraction	ergerte der er	PR6 (81,000	0		- high share of	Lange			
	e	spectrum Late of P	TTgat 1								
	1.1	mechal CHP and	ety triprices	errourn Types	00					10	
		integrand starkity to	-provisional	t Tope							
			Name (all as	1.1.211							
			and an and a state of the		and an other that is		and the second sec	and the local sector of th			
		Salary Citt	ra Palicia	a. Procedu	own Plastal	inth 6	firmitie Pas	allowed to			

Figure 28 View Project Page

3.8.4.7 Edit and View Improvement Projects Common Features

3.8.4.7.1 Export to Excel

If desired, all Improvement Projects matching the search query from the Edit Improvement Projects list or the View Improvement Projects list main pages can be exported to Excel using

the **Export to Excel** button in the left panel navigation menu. Once this button has been clicked, the CRASH system retrieves data from Project Analysis results list directly into an Excel workbook. In the Excel summary table, the table title displays the date of the most recent improvement project. The system displays the Excel file name and provides the option to save or open the file, or to cancel the export process in a floating action bar at the bottom of the active list page. We use an example from the View Improvement Projects below to demonstrate (see the highlighted area in the figure below).

Florida Traffic Safety Por View Improve	ment Pro	oject Analy Djects	sis / View	Improveme	nt Project	5		WE	ELCOME JASON NE	LMS (SYSTE) HE	
CRASH	Safety Impro	ovement P	rojects								
Project Analysis	District All Dis	tricts •	County: All	Counties	 Secti 	on.	FM	No:	Reset		
Historical Projects	Project Improver Type:	ment A	I Improvemen	t Types					•		
Maintenance	FM No	District	County	Section	Sub	BMP	EMP	Status	Action		
Project Evaluation Method	11111111	0			000			analysis	View		
Home	4117681	0	01	01000	000	0.000	0.322	analysis analysis	<u>View</u> View		
Export to Excel	429477	1	01	01010	000	11.965	12.965	analysis analysis	View		
Back		1	01	01010	000	18.432	18.632	analysis	View		
	4173441	1	01	01010	000	19.363	19.668	analysis	View		
	422439-2	1	01	01010	000	19.363	19.668	analysis	View		
	4160861	1	01	01010	000	19.568	19.768	analysis	View		
		1	01	01010	000	19.568	19.768	analysis	View		
Do you want	to open or save ProjectU	st, ste (855 %8) fro	т изегарроуса	otatate.flas?			Open	Save	• Cencel		

Figure 29 Export to Excel Results Sample

If the user choose to open or save, the user may receive the following message. Click **Yes** to continue to download the Excel file. Choose **No** to stop the download function. Choosing **Help** opens an Excel Help window explaining the message.

Microsoft 0	Office Excel:
	The file you are trying to open. Project.ist.xis', is in a different format than specified by the file extension. Verify that the file is not corrupted and is from a trusted source before opening the file. Do you want to open the file now?
	Tes No Help

The Excel file opens in a separate window and contains more detailed information than what is shown on the query results list. The user can manage the file using all the normal Excel functionality. All downloaded Excel workbooks contain the following columns:

- Crash Based
- Submit By
- Submit Date
- Project No.
- WPA No.
- Alter No.
- FM No.
- Safety Priority
- Environmental Study
- Skid

- SN
- Speed
- District
- County
- Sub
- State Road
- U.S. Road
- Begin MilePost
- End MilePost
- Node
- Location/Facility Type
- Cause of Crash
- Proposed Improvements
- Year, Crash Number, and Reduced Number fields for five (5) years (e.g., Year1, Crash Number 1, Reduced Number 1, etc.)
- Avg Crash Number
- Avg Reduced Number
- Cost/Crash
- Crash Cleanup
- Interest Rate

- Benefit-Cost Ratio
- Prepared by
- Approved by
- Approved Date
- Comments/Crash Reduction Method
- High Crash Listings
- Intersection Improvement
- Divided/Undivided
- CTST Project
- Interstate/Turnpike
- Rural/Urban
- Data from FDOT/Local
- Cost Evaluated Improvements
- Number of Lanes
- Assigned Safety Improvement Type
- Status

By clicking on the Back button the user return to the Edit Improvement Projects or View Improvement Project.

<u>Note</u>: It is important to use this **Back** button and <u>not</u> the user's browser's Back button, because the browser's Back button may not return the user to the Improvement Project list properly.

The user may select another project from the list to edit or delete, re-query using different filtering criteria, or use any other navigational buttons available on the Edit Improvement Projects or View Improvement Projects subpage.

3.8.5 Historical Projects

Historical projects are projects that have been adopted for implementation. Unlike projects under **Project Analysis**, historical projects are those that are either under construction or have been constructed. The screen for **Historical Projects** is shown below and allows one to perform the following functions:

- Add a Historical Improvement Project: To enter information for an existing project on which analysis has been performed.
- Edit Historical Improvement Projects: To add post-construction information for projects that have been completed or are under construction.
- View Historical Improvement Projects: To view projects which have been completed or are currently under construction.
- Generate HSIP Reports: To generate the standard Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) reports.
- **Perform Before-and-After Evaluation**: To generate before-and-after statistics for selected improvement projects to evaluate their effectiveness.

The Historical Projects submenu is shown below. It is accessed by clicking on the Historical Projects button on the CRASH navigation menu in the left panel.

As illustrated in the figures below, users with edit permissions within the Portal have access

to all links within the submenu. For those without edit permissions, the *Add a Historical Improvement Project* and *Edit Historical Improvement Projects* links are disabled.



Figure 30 Project Analysis Submenu – Non-Administrative User without Edit Permissions



Figure 31 Project Analysis Submenu – Non-Administrative User with Edit Permissions

Each feature shown on the Historical Projects submenu will be documented in detail in the sub-section below.

3.8.5.1 Navigation Menu Options

The Add Historical Improvement Project's navigation menu has the following options:





becomes disabled (see below).



If the required location data was provided before saving, SSOGis will open in a separate window zoomed into the location of the project. If location-related data has not been entered and the user

clicks the **Map Location** button, the following message dialog box is displayed:



The user must click on	ОК	or	to
close this dialog box.			

Note: To enter the required location

information, users must to access a project through the Edit Project page, using Map Location feature to create location data.

By clicking on the Back button after saving the new Historical Improvement Project, the user returns to the Historical Projects main menu page. If the user select Edit Historical Improvement Projects or View Historical Improvement Projects, the new project will appear in the historical improvement projects lists based on the query filters selected.

The system displays the following message dialog box if the user attempts to save a historical improvement project *without* entering location-specific data:





The user must enter the required location information before the user can use the Map Location feature. Once the form contains the necessary location data fields (i.e., County/Section, Subsection, Beginning Milepost and Ending Milepost) to map the location, click on the

Map Location button and the SSOGis system will open in a separate window. SSOGis uses the project's Roadway ID – which consists of the combination of County/Section and Subsection fields and the location Beginning Milepost and Ending Milepost – to draw the location on the map. As the user navigate within SSOGis, the Add Historical Improvement Project page remains open.

Note: Clicking the Back button prior to saving a new Historical Project will return the user to the Historical Projects main menu page. The new project will not be added to the database.

3.8.5.2 Adding a Historical Improvement Project

To add a historical project for which benefit-cost analysis has been performed, select the



menu item shown above. Doing so redirects the user to a Benefit-Cost Analysis form that is similar to the one included in the Project Analysis component. Unless noted differently refer to the <u>Benefit-Cost Analysis Form - Data Entry Instructions</u>. The differences are noted below.

÷								100	1 × 44	Concernance in the second
2	late Superstant		(control)	diaman h					6	afety Priority
•	hoject No.			WPA	Nes.		2540	6.0.0.0		
•	dorruntion No.			FM I	40.			SN		Sipeed
2	isbict -	County/Section	ows.	Sut	section	000	Stat	e Road	(asset)	U.S. Road
2	hermanno Addensio	Loc	1 1.6	IC 2	LOC 3	Lac	-	Loc 5	Loc 0	
E	Ending Mileport									Total Lary
ħ	nicode									
90	aumo of Grants F	Problemu (kut	and discuss.	pinane lent	ytsår lavst	ta kisa Ihar	4000 ch	wracters)		
	No. of Constant			-		•	AVG	14 Gras	h kiformatio wah	in for Facility
	rea. or scrassreas							Crast (Innerap	
	No. of Crashes Reduced							Interest	Plate (%)	
i		-			15.7	Annual Cost	of impro	vernerate		
	Type of Crash	CRE4%5	tiumber of Crimites	Crashes to be Prevented		Type R-O-W		Cost	Life C	F Annual Co
	Angle				C	Structure				
	The second second	-			D	Roadway				
	Faed Object	-			-1 종:	Contingency	less -			
ļ	Flight Turn					Subtotal				
	Left-Turn				14.1	Change in N	laintenan	NC-E		
	Rear-End				1 10	icash Cleani	ap.			
1	Head-On				K	Total				
	Richmonitor	-			-					
		-		-	16.1	Servelits Coasta Renta		de la	and I'	
ł	Presentitions				- 8.	Other		49		
ł	Ran-Off-Ruad	_			- C	Other				
1	Cethers				Tot	al Annual S	even			
	Total				17.	Benefit-Co	rt Ratio			
	E Wet Only Day & Ng	βıκ	© Night © Day-T	Tirrai Only						
j	Prepared by			Approved	ry .			Date	mmiddlyyy	90
	Gernments/Gr	ash Reduction	1 Method (Pie	www.introd.you	ir text to I	lenan than 40	00-ctuers	acterna)		
	High Crash Lis	itings (Please	lernit yosar tess	to lease that	4000 ch	sanactors)				
	Phe-Constructio	m (additional k	nformation to	HS# Repo	et)					
	terascilian Impro	Chrometride	© Yes	• No		Divided/Un	divided?		Divided	Orschvides
1	15T Project?		· Yes	No.		Interactuals/1	umpikar)	and a state	 Tumpika 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	and of Evaluation	kraproservert	Fluts (\$1,000)	r 🗢 Lirba	6	tion that	d Larger	or all o	FDOT	T Lociel
1	rimary Funding 5	Spance	- De characte			The article of the				
	spected Life of P	rugect								
	elected CRF Saf	lety Improvem	ent Type(n):							
										2
	segred Safety in	there and acce	ype							
0) - Improvement	date tale mouth	de recent							

Figure 32 Benefit-Cost Analysis Form for Adding Historical Projects

Crash Based One-Crash Based	Local Project
-----------------------------	---------------

Note: The Benefit-Cost Analysis form presented above contains a **Local Project** that is not found on the Benefit-Cost Analysis form in the Project Analysis component. This checkmark differentiates historical projects as local or not local.

13.	Type of Crash	CRF(%)	Number of Crashes	Crashes to be Prevented				
	Angle	90.42	22	19				
	Fixed-Object	62.11	1	1				
	Right-Turn	90.99	1	1				
	Left-Turn	59.79	16	10				
	Rear-End	-8.65	17	0				
	Head-On	100.00	0	0				
	Sideswipe	36.83	5	2				
	Pedestrian	100.00	0	0				
	Ran-Off-Road	55.87	0	0				
	Others	60.22	4	3				
	Total		66	36				
	Wet Only		Night-	Time Only				
	Day & Nigl	ht	Day-Time Only					

Unlike starting new a project in Project Analysis, this form *does not* allow the user to define Crash Reduction Factors (CRFs) or calculate crashes reduced.

Note: The example shown here is from the FM No. 2282241 Crash Based Improvement Project.

Another difference between this Benefit-Cost Analysis form and that included in the Project Analysis component is that this form contains input data fields for the Business Identification Number (**B.I. No.**) and Construction Begin and End dates (see the enlarged portion of last numbered data item on the Historical Projects form, also below).

19. Post-Construction:			
B.I. No.	Construction Dates (mm/dd/yyyy):	Begin	End

• **B.I. No.**: Enter the seven-digit Business Identification Number.

• Construction Dates (mm/dd/yyyy)

- **Begin**: Enter the construction begin date in the mm/dd/yyyy format.
- End: Enter the construction end date in the mm/dd/yyyy format

3.8.5.3 Edit and View Historical Improvement Projects

The Edit Historical Improvement Projects and View Historical Improvement Projects functions are accessed from the main Historical Projects menu by selecting either:



Edit Historical Improvement Projects

Add post-construction information for improvement projects that have been completed or are under construction.

or



View Historical Improvement Projects

View improvement projects that have been completed or are under construction.

The options are similar to those within the Project Analysis component, with the exception that the form for Historical Projects contains the Local Project checkbox, Business Identification Number (B.I. No.) and Construction Begin and End Dates fields (see the <u>Add a Historical Improvement</u> <u>Project</u> section above), while the Project Analysis version of the form does not. The figure below shows a cutaway of the Edit Historical Improvement Projects list returned using the default filtering criteria.

Project Impro	overnent 1	ype:	All Improv	ement 1	Types						•
Construction Year From		m.	Any Year	•	To	Any Year	•				
FM No	District	County	Section	Sub	BMP	EMP	CBD	CED	Status	Action	Action
11111112	0			000					construction	Edit	Delete
CBWTST1	0			000					construction	Edit	Delete
CBWTST2	0			000					construction	Edit	Delete
CBWTST2	0			000					construction	Edit	Delete
	0			000					construction	Edit	Delete
	1	01	01000	000	0.000	0.000	09/08/1994	12/06/1994	completed	Edit	Delete
4117671	1	01	01000	000	0.000	1.000		10/03/2006	completed	Edit	Delete
4043591	1	01	01000	000					construction	Edit	Delete
4081451	4	01	01000	000			12/14/2001		construction	Edit	Delete
	1	01	01010	000	0.000	10.000			construction	Edit	Delete

Edit Historical Safety Projects

Figure 33 Edit Historical Improvement Projects Default Results

ject Improve	ment Type:	All In	nprovement	Types						
nstruction Ye	ar From:	Any	Year •	Т	o Any Y	ear •				
FM No	District	County	Section	Sub	BMP	EMP	CBD	CED	Status	Action
11111112	0			000					construction	View
CBWTST1	0			000					construction	View
CBWTST2	0			000					construction	View
CBWTST2	0			000					construction	View
	0			000					construction	View
	1	01	01000	000	0.000	0.000	09/08/1994	12/06/1994	completed	View
4117671	1	01	01000	000	0.000	1.000		10/03/2006	completed	View
4043591	1	01	01000	000					construction	View
4081451	1	01	01000	000			12/14/2001		construction	View

View Historical Safety Projects

Figure 34 View Historical Improvement Projects Default Results

<u>Note</u>: The Criteria Filters are the same, and the default filter values are the same whether the user select **Edit Historical Improvement Projects** or **View Historical Improvement Projects** from the Historical Projects main menu. The data fields for the results of both are the same except for the Action columns. The Edit Historical Improvement Projects option allows the user to edit or delete a project while the View Historical Improvement Projects option allows for the selected project row to be opened in *View-Only* mode, even if the user has edit permissions.

Users can export to Excel or return to the main Historical Projects page from either the Edit Historical Improvement Projects or View Historical Improvement Projects subpages. Refer to the Project Analysis' <u>Export to Excel</u> section described earlier in this document for functionality regarding the export process The following is an image of the dialog that Windows provides the

user upon clicking the **Export to Excel** link.

Do you want to open or save HistoricalProjectList.xls (1.46 MB) from webapp02.dot.state.fl.us?	Open	Save	·	Cancel	x

Upon clicking **Open** or **Save**, the user sees the following:

Microsof	ft Office Excel
4	The file you are trying to open, 'HistoricaProjectList.xks', is in a different format than specified by the file extension. Verify that the file is not corrupted and is from a trusted source before opening the file. Do you want to open the file now?
	Yes No Help

The data fields exported into the Excel spreadsheet are also the same as those shown in the Project Analysis Export to Excel process.

3.8.5.3.1 Edit and View Historical Projects Criteria Filters

Saved Historical Projects can be edited or viewed. In general, users can to view all Historical Projects from all districts, but managers of a single district can only edit historical projects from their own districts. The Editing Historical Safety Projects process includes deletion of a Historical Project record(s) from the database.

The Edit Historical Safety Projects page provides filters which allow users to retrieve a specific subset of the Historical Projects that meet filter conditions. Any combination of filters can be used. The default filter settings (shown below) automatically generate a list of historical safety improvements for all districts, all counties, and all improvement types. All filters are independent, except for the District and County, as noted below. When a filter is left unspecified, it is not used, and no constraints will be imposed based on that filter.

Use the Reset button to clear the selected filtering criteria and the available dropdowns to select from specific lists of values. The remainder of the fields are free text and should only be used when the user know specific or partial values within the database. The following are filters present within the Edit Historical Safety Projects form:

	Edit Historical Safety Projects	Q	
District. All Districts	County All Counties Section	FM No:	Reset
Project Improvement Type:	All Improvement Types		
Construction Year From:	Any Year 💌 To: Any Year 💌		

• **District**: The dropdown list defaults to "All Districts" but allows the user to choose a specific FDOT District. Available values include integers 1 through 8.

Note: For users who are assigned to a specific district, this field will be autopopulated with the assigned district's value and disabled.

- **County**: The dropdown list defaults to "All Counties", when District contains "All Districts". If a specific FDOT District is selected (or auto-populated), the Counties in the dropdown list will be restricted to only those in the selected District.
- Section: The Linear reference section for the road within County (first two digits shown), 3rd-5th digits of the roadway identifier. <u>Note</u>: This field can be partially entered and also provides for the use of the wildcard (%) feature. For example: If a there are several projects entered for Sections 11010 and 01010, the user would find projects for *both* if the user enter 10 or %10 or 10% in the Section field.
- **FM No**: The FM Number is the Financial Management project number from the Work Program System, which if available, was entered when the improvement project was entered into the database.

<u>Note</u>: This field is case-sensitive, but provides for the use of the wildcard (%) feature. Information should *only* be entered here if you know *exactly the case used* when it was entered into the database to ensure accurate query results.

• **Project Improvement Type**: It defaults to "All Improvement Types" unless another value is selected using the dropdown list. The query includes a project in the results based on the Assigned Safety Improvement Type for a project.

Note: Unlike the other filtering parameters listed above, this particular field is not included in the results column headings displayed. You will have to open the specific historical improvement project record to see it by checking the "Assigned Safety Improvement Type" field at the bottom of the Benefit-Cost Analysis block displaying numbered data item 18 (see the large red arrow in the example shown below.)

Only *one* Safety Improvement Type can be assigned to a project, though a single project may involve multiple improvements. This assignment is handled through the Administration menu, by a user designated as an Administrator. Each project defaults to having "0-Improvement type not assigned" (and therefore is automatically included in the default "All Improvement Types" selection) until an Administrator selects *one* as the primary assigned type.

Yes	O No	Divided/Undivided?		Divided	O Undivided
© Yes	No	Interstate/Turnpike?		O Turnpike	Interstate
© Rural	Urban	Data from FDOT/Loc	al?	FDOT	C Local
ents (\$1,000)		Number of Lanes:	4: 4 Lan	es	*
SS/ACSS: STP, S	Safety				
2. Traffic signals	(service life=1	5 years)			
ement Type(s):					
channelized in same dire vement Markir	intersectiection as engs	on xisting			
nt Type:		-			
	Yes Yes Yes Rural ents (\$1,000) SS/ACSS: STP, S 2. Traffic signals ement Type(s): channelized in same direction ovement Marking ot Type	Yes No Yes No Yes No Rural Urban ents (\$1,000) SS/ACSS: STP, Safety Z. Traffic signals (service life=1 ement Type(s): channelized intersecti in same direction as e vement Markings et Tupe	Yes No Divided/Undivided? Yes No Interstate/Turnpike? Rural Urban Data from FDOT/Loc ents (\$1,000) Number of Lanes: SS/ACSS: STP, Safety 2. Traffic signals (service life=15 years) ement Type(s): channelized intersection in same direction as existing vement Markings entTupe	 Yes No Divided/Undivided? Yes No Interstate/Turnpike? Rural Urban Data from FDOT/Local? ents (\$1,000) Number of Lanes: 4: 4 Lan SS/ACSS: STP, Safety Z. Traffic signals (service life=15 years) ement Type(s): channelized intersection in same direction as existing vement Markings 	Image: System of the system

• Construction Year From/To: Defaults to "Any Year" for both the From and To Construction Year fields. Use the dropdown arrow to choose a specific year for the From year, which in turn will limit the dropdown list for the To year field to those years equal to or greater than the selected From year value. The query results will include historical project records where the project's Construction Begin Date or Construction End Date falls within the Construction Year From/To date range.

Examples of lists of retrieved projects are shown below. The list includes several major location data fields, plus the Financial Management project number (FM No field) and the project Status.

Following these definitions the "Edit" and "Delete" processes will be documented in detail.

3.8.5.3.2 Edit and View Historical Projects Query Results – Fields Displayed

The following figure shows the fields displayed on the Edit Historical Safety Projects and View Historical Safety Projects search results. Below the figure are individual description of each fields.

FM No	District	County	Section	Sub	BMP	EMP	CBD	CED	Status	Action	Action
CBWTST1	0			000					construction	Edit	<u>Delete</u>
4117671	1	01	01000	000	0.000	1.000	05/03/2006	10/03/2006	completed	<u>Edit</u>	<u>Delete</u>
429477	1	01	01010	000	18.061	18.261	07/08/2011		construction	<u>Edit</u>	<u>Delete</u>
422439-2	1	01	01010	000	19.363	19.668	04/20/2009	02/02/2011	completed	<u>Edit</u>	Delete
4160861	1	01	01010	000	19.568	19.768	11/30/2009	06/08/2010	completed	<u>Edit</u>	<u>Delete</u>
429776	1	01	01010	000	20.944	21.144	07/08/2011	10/14/2012	completed	<u>Edit</u>	Delete
1938301	1	01	01030	000	11.900	12.300	04/01/1997	04/29/1998	completed	<u>Edit</u>	<u>Delete</u>
416087-1	1	01	01050	000	3.897	4.087	07/06/2011	04/29/2013	completed	<u>Edit</u>	Delete
4267241	1	01	01050	000	9.056	9.256	09/24/2009	11/05/2010	completed	<u>Edit</u>	Delete
4234381	1	01	01075	000	9.775	13.788	05/11/2011	10/26/2011	completed	<u>Edit</u>	Delete
1976311	1	01	01603	000	6.951	7.216	12/01/1995	08/25/1996	completed	<u>Edit</u>	<u>Delete</u>
1974351	1	01	01605	000	0.000	1.165	09/01/1992	03/08/1994	completed	<u>Edit</u>	Delete
4155901	1	03	03000	000	0.000	0.200			construction	<u>Edit</u>	<u>Delete</u>
4043601	1	03	03000	000	0.000	10.000	01/09/2002	06/30/2005	completed	<u>Edit</u>	<u>Delete</u>
4155661	1	03	03001	000	0.000	1.005	01/13/2010		construction	<u>Edit</u>	<u>Delete</u>
4224001	1	03	03001	000	2.977	3.770	09/02/2009	02/12/2010	completed	<u>Edit</u>	<u>Delete</u>
195416-4	1	03	03001	000	4.084	5.493	05/31/2012		construction	<u>Edit</u>	<u>Delete</u>
4326601	1	03~	_03010_	000	3,539	4.039	06/28/2013	m.	construction	Edit	<u>Delete</u>

Figure 35 Historical Projects Query Results Sample

- FM No: The Financial Management project number from the Work Program system.
- **District**: The DOT Managing District identifier.
- **County**: The DOT code for County.

<u>Note</u>: County is the first two digits of the 8-digit roadway identifier. County is also included in the first two digits of the **Section** field.

- Section: The Linear reference section for the road within County (first two digits shown), 3rd-5th digits of the roadway identifier.
- **Sub**: The subsection of the primary section; which is the last 3 digits of the 8-digit roadway identifier.
- **BMP**: The Beginning Milepoint for the project, refers to inventoried length of the roadway identifier.
- **EMP**: The Ending Milepoint for the project, refers to inventoried length of the roadway identifier.
- **CBD:** The Construction Begin Date (CBD), displayed in the MM/DD/YYYY format.
- **CED:** Contains the Construction End Date (CED), displayed in the mm/dd/yyyy format.
- **Status**: The project status. Valid values are as follows:
 - Analysis: The project is still in the analysis stage and may or may not be implemented.
 - **Construction**: The project is being constructed, but the construction has not been completed.
 - **Completed**: The project has been completed and the construction periods have been entered.
- Action (or the two Action column headings): The column(s) contain Edit and Delete links when viewing the Edit Historical Improvement Projects query or a View link when using the View Historical Improvement Projects query. Choose the link that best fits the user's needs for the specific project data row selected.

3.8.5.4 Generate HSIP Reports

The Historical Project Menu's Generate HSIP Reports link generates the Highway Safety Improvement Programs (HSIP) annual report for a selected project.



Generate HSIP Reports

Generate the standard Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) reports.

The figure below shows the screen used to apply a set of filters to identify desirable projects. Below the figure are descriptions of each filter.

FDOT	Florida Department of TRANSPORTATION	E-Updates FL511 Mobile Site Map Search FDOT
	Home About FDOT Contact Us Maps & Dat	a Offices Performance Projects
Web Application		
Florida Traffic Safety Portal / CRA Generate HSIP Rep	SH / Historical Projects / Generate HSIP Report Cont	WELCOME JASON NELMS (SYSTEST)
CRASH	Select Projects for HSIP	Report
Project Analysis	Project Improvement Type: All Improvement Types	
Historical Projects	District: All Districts - Co	unty All Counties •
Maintenance	Project End Construction Years From Any Year	- To Any Year -
Project Evaluation	Number of Months Before Construction.	36
Home	Minimum Number of Months Before Construction:	12
	Number of Months After Construction:	36
	Minimum Number of Months Alter Construction:	12
	Submit	Back
1-285- J-3	y and and a second s	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~

Figure 36 Generate HSIP Reports Query Filters

- **Project Improvement Type**: Defaults to "All Improvement Types" or the user may use the dropdown arrow to select a specific improvement type.
- **District**: Defaults to "All Districts". Use the dropdown arrow to select a specific District, which if selected will limit the County dropdown list to only those counties within the specified District.

<u>Note</u>: If the user's user account is restricted to only one specific District, you can still include "All Districts" in this report parameter filter.

- **County**: Defaults to "All Counties" if "All Districts" is selected. If a specific district has been selected, the user can choose "All Counties" or select a specific county from the dropdown.
- **Project End Construction Years From/To**: Select from which year and select to which year desired. Defaults to "Any Year" for both the **From** and **To** year filters. Once the user select a specific year in the "From" filter, the user's choices in the "To" filter dropdown is restricted to those years equal to or greater than the "From" filter year. The Year part of the Project's Construction End Date must fall within the designated **Project End Construction Years From/To** date range to be included in the report results.

<u>Note</u>: The following four criteria display the default values set by the system administrator using the CRASH Administration menu's Update Crash Reduction Factors feature:

- Number of Months Before Construction
- Minimum Number of Months Before Construction
- Number of Months After Construction
- Minimum Number of Months After Construction

These criteria are each entered as whole numbers, and only projects whose Construction Begin and End Dates meet the criteria specified by *all four* parameters will be included in the evaluation. The user can specify the number of months they would like to include for the beforeand-after construction period. The user can also specify the desired *minimum* number of months before or after project construction. Projects that have not yet met the minimum number of months will not be included in the evaluation results.

Once the filters have been specified, the user can click the **Submit** button to generate statistics

for the report, or **Back** to return to the Historical Projects menu.

Note: The report may take several minutes to run. The resulting report will be automatically displayed when ready. A sample HSIP report is shown below:

STATE Florida F L FIPS CODE (Alpha) HIGHWAY SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM THE ANNUAL REPORT ON EVALUATION DATA FOR COMPLETED SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS

Page 1 of 63

FM	PRIMARY	SAFETY	COST OF	CRASH					NUMBER OF	F CRASH	s				COST	PER CRASH RED	UCED	EVALUATE	VOL	UME	RURAL	NUMBER	DIVIDED
NUMBER	SOURCE	TYPE	EVALUATED IMPROVEMENTS	BASED			BEFORE					AFTER			Fet.	lų.	Total	STATUS	Before AADT	After AADT	or URBAN	of LANES	
					Mos.	Fat	laj.	PDO	TOTAL	Mos.	Fat	lnj.	PDO	TOTAL									
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(0)	(7)	(0)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	<mark>(</mark> 19)	(20)	(21)	(22)	(23)	(24)
2545772529	SS/ACSS	115	\$64,000.00	Y	36	0	21	9	30									P	44900		U	6	D
2545772529	SS/ACSS	115	\$36,000.00	Y	36	7	296	223	526									P	23605		U	4	D
2546772529	SS/ACSS	115	\$36,000.00	Y	36	3	286	194	483									P	26063		U	6	D
2546772529	SS/ACSS	115	\$71,000.00	Y	36	7	181	313	501									P	126029		U	6	D
2546772529	SS/ACSS	115	\$43,000.00	Y	36	2	127	234	363									P	36218		U	6	D
2545772529	SS/ACSS	115	\$43,000.00	Y	36	4	245	134	383									P	54725		U	6	D
2564677252	SS/ACSS	115	\$43,000.00	Y	36	10	327	436	773									P	27420		U	4	D
428604-1	SS/ACSS	132	\$548,000.00	Y	36	11	259	210	480									P	24705		R	4	D
2545772529	SS/ACSS	134	\$99,000.00	Y	36	0	11	16	27									P	15000		U	1	U
2545772529	SS/ACSS	115	\$53,000.00	Y	36	1	192	152	345									P	34307		U	6	D
2545772529	SS/ACSS	132	\$250,000.00	Y	36	0	49	45	95									P	26245		U	4	D
2545772	SS/ACSS	115	\$69,000.00	Y	36	0	64	70	134									P	26593		U	6	D
2546772	SS/ACSS	115	\$69,000.00	Y	36	0	26	36	62									P	51957		U	4	D
425658-1	SS/ACSS	108	\$950,000.00	N	36	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	0	0				P	0	0	U	2	U
2545772	SS/ACSS	115	\$77,000.00	Y	36	3	152	334	489									P	39803		U	6	D
2546772	SS/ACSS	115	\$77,000.00	Y	36	0	6	19	25									P	8368		U	2	U
2545772	SS/ACSS	115	\$69,000.00	Y	36	1	69	54	124									P	44234		U	6	D
2545772	SS/ACSS	115	\$50,000.00	Y	36	0	21	47	68									P	50199		U	6	D
2545772	SS/ACSS	115	\$50,000.00	Y	36	0	21	13	34									P	7185		U	3	U
2546772	SS/ACSS	115	\$50,000.00	Y	38	0	14	24	38									P	10750		U	3	U

Print Back Previous Next

Figure 37 Sample HSIP Report

Click the **Print** button at the bottom of the HSIP report screen to print the report. A print dialog box will open where the user can select a Printer and Print the report, or choose to cancel the print request. The report remains open in the background.

If the user chooses the Back button at the bottom of the HSIP report screen, the user will be returned to the Generate HSIP Report page showing the Query Filters selected. The user may select new filters and submit the report again or use the Back button on the filter page to return to the Historical Projects main menu.

<u>Note</u>: the HSIP report includes <u>Previous</u> Next buttons at the bottom of the HSIP report screen which allows the user to page through all pages of the report.

3.8.5.5 Performing a Before-and-After Evaluation

The Perform Before-and-After Evaluation component of CRASH is accessed by selecting the feature from the Historical Projects main menu.



Perform Before-and-After Evaluation

Generate before-and-after statistics for selected improvement projects to evaluate their effectiveness.

CRASH can perform a Before-and-After Evaluation for any subset of projects using the selection parameter filters seen and described below:

Select Projects for Before-and-After Analysis

Project Improvement Type:					
All Improvement Types					•
District:	Coun	ty:			•
Project End Construction Years: From	Any Year 👻		То	Any Year	•
Number of Months Before Construction:		36			
Minimum Number of Months Before Construction:		12			
Number of Months After Construction:		36			
Minimum Number of Months After Construction:		12			
Submit	Back				

Figure 38 Historical Projects: Perform Before and After Evaluations Query Filters

- **Improvement Type**: Allows the user to query by improvement type. Defaults to "All Improvement Types".
- **District:** Allows the user to select a specific district. If left blank, the default is an implied "All Districts".

<u>Note</u>: If the user's user account is restricted to only one specific district, you can still include "All Districts" as a report parameter.

• **County:** Allows the user to select All Counties or a specific County.

<u>Note</u>: If a District is specified, the County choices will be restricted to counties specific to the district.

• Project End Construction Years

- **From Year**: Allows the user to limit the analysis to projects that were completed starting this year.
- **To Year**: Allows the user to limit the analysis to projects that were completed ending this year.

After the filters have been specified, the user clicks **Submit** button to start the automated analysis

process, or the Back to return to the Historical Projects main menu.

<u>Note</u>: Both the District and County fields are required. Attempting to submit prior to making a selection within both dropdowns will result in the error below:

Select Projects for Before-and-After Analysis			
Project Improvement Type: All Improvement Types	•		
District:	County:		
Project End Construction Years: From 200	5 💌 To 2012 💌		
Number of Months Before Construction:	36		
Minimum Number of Months Before Construction:	12		
Number of Months After Construction:	36		
Minimum Number of Months After Construction:	12		
Submit Ba	ck		
ERROR: Cannot run report unless you enter both a district and a county.			

Once the user have selected a District and County, click Submit. The user will see the following prompt:
All Improvement Types District: District 2 Project End Construction Years: From 2005 To
District: District 2 Project End Construction Years: From 2005 To 2012
Project End Construction Years: From 2005 To 2012
Number of Months Before Construction: 36
Minimum Number of Months Before 12
Number of Months After Construction: 36
Minimum Number of Months After 12
Submit Back

Because of the intensive data retrieval and calculations involved, the process may take several minutes. The user must confirm whether the user want to run this analysis or not. By clicking on

the **Cancel** button at this point, the analysis evaluation request is cancelled. The user can change the selection parameter filters and re-submit the request, if desired.

By default, all projects will be included in the Before-and-After analysis. After clicking the button to the confirmation prompt, the analysis will be added to the list of **Recently Run Reports** in the lower half of the page. The user will also see a new message displayed, where the confirmation message was before:

This process may take several minutes to complete. The status will change to Completed when the process is finished. You can also check the status by clicking the Refresh button. We're processing your request. Please wait ...

Here, the user can monitor the status of the user's analysis request by checking the Status column

and using the Button occasionally to refresh the list. Initially the status is set to "Running".

As the user can see in the screenshot below, the first row listed in the **Recently Run Reports** list now shows the job is Running for the example used above.

Re	efre	ntly Rur	Reports							[1] Plea	ise click o	n ID to view t	he results.
IC) ¹	District	County	From Year	To Year	Before Month	Min Before Month	After Month	Min After Month	Start	End	Status	User
34	<u>45</u>	2	All Counties	2005	2012	36	12	36	12	11/13/2013 12:50:02 PM		Running	KNE2ICW
	mp `	Туре:	-1 All Improveme	ent Types	<i>_</i> ~~	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		~~~~	~~~		_p torta	\sim	\sim

After the analysis is finished the Status will change to Completed. As seen in the screenshot below, the first row listed in the **Recently Run Reports** list now shows the job is completed for the example.



Click the number under the ID column for the analysis the user submitted to view the results. See the first column from the cutaway screenshot just above.

The following figure shows a part of the resulting Project List report. We use the example report to illustrate and define fields displayed, as well as available navigational menu features. The Projects Summary lists all the projects used in the calculation of CRFs for all improvement types within all counties inside a district.

SSO_Web_Portal_CRASH_help_v1.0_FINAL

ID¹

<u>Note</u>: The navigational menu in the left panel changes once the **Projects Summary** report is open. It displays on a floating bar that remains accessible as the user scroll within the result rows. Below, we discuss each feature in detail, along with examples of expected results.

Project List		
Before Construction		
After Construction	By clicking the Back button, the u	ıser will
Crash Summary	return to the Historical Projects: Perform Before-a	nd_After
Back	Evaluations page	
	- Evaluations page.	

FDO	Ť	5	Florida	Depa ISPC	rtme DR1	ent o	of TION	1		E	-Updates) Search FD	FL511 1	Mobile Site	Map
_		H	ome Al	bout FDC	DT (Contac	t Us	Maps	& Dat	a c	Offices	Performa	ance Pro	jects
Web Applicatio	on													
Florida Traffic Safety Por List Project List	tal / CR/	ASH/I	fistorical P	rojects / I	Before	and A	fter Eva	luation	/ Proje	: •	T)		WELCOME	JASON NELMI HELS
CRASH	-	1			-	Se	lected I	Project	ts Sum	mary				
Project Analysis	Note: B	lefore a	nd After Anal	vsis is base	d on cra	ash data	starting o	on and/or	rafter 15	83.				
Historical Projects	ID	Distr	ict Cou	unty	From Year	To Year	Before Month	Min Before Month	After Month	Min After Month	Start	End	Status	User
Maintenance Project Evaluation Method	50 Im	15 2 p Type:	Ali Counti -1 Ali In	es nprovement	Any Year Types	Any Year	36	12	36	12	4/21/2014 9:00:28 AM	4/21/2014 9:04 18 Al	W Completed	KNEZUN
Home	During EMP at	calculat re buffer	ons, a projec ed to -/+250	t location le feet (-/+0.0	ength is 5 miles)	buffered respect	to a mini tively if the	mum val e actual p	ue of 50 project lo) feet ((cation) 100 miles) ength is less	For this real than 500 fe	ason, the proje set (0.100 mile	ect BMP and s).
	No.	PID	FM No.	District	Cos	inty	Section	Sub	BM	P1	EMP1	Length	Begin Date	End Date
Project List	1	4127	424462-2	2	2	9	29010	000	3	3 767	3.767	0.020	06/20/2010	02/14/2011
Before Construction	2	2 4125 210429-3 2		78		78060	000	1	7 790	17.810	0.020	02/11/2010	10/26/2010	
After Construction	3	4117	209574-6	2	7	72 72	72100	72100 000	00 0.200		0 300	0.100	07/10/2009	04/02/2010
Crash Summary	4	4097	209361-4	2	7		12 7	72100	000	1	9.109	19.508	0.399	01/05/2009
Back	5	5 4096 210687-4 2		7	4	74040	000	2	263	27.706	0.443	11/05/2005	07/26/2007	
	6	4055	210687-4	2	7	4	74040	000	z	7 263	27,706	0.443	11/06/2005	07/26/2007
	7	2831	2104293	2	7	8	78060	000	1	7 737	17.837	0.100	02/11/2010	03/30/2010

Figure 39 Sample of Selected Projects Summary Report

The top section of the results displays the job information, including the parameter filters selected, status of the job and the User ID of the person who submitted the analysis.

ID	District	County	From Year	To Year	Before Month	Min Before Month	After Month	Min After Month	Start	End	Status	User
505	2	All Counties	Any Year	Any Year	36	12	36	12	4/21/2014 9:00:28 AM	4/21/2014 9:04:18 AM	Completed	KNE2IJN
Imp ⁻	Туре:	-1 All Improvemen	t Types									

Note: Before and After Analysis is based on crash data starting on and/or after 1983.

Please note that before-and-after analyses are based on crash data starting in 1983.

The following are fields present within the project summary table:

- No.: This column contains a sequential number from 1-nn, where *nn* represents the total number of projects returned in the Projects Summary report which matched the selection parameter criteria submitted.
- **PID:** Contains the unique Project ID number.
- **FM No.:** Contains the Financial Management project number from the Work Program system.
- **District:** Contains the DOT Managing District Number.
- **County:** Contains the DOT code for County, first two digits of the 8-digit roadway identifier.
- Section: Contains the Linear reference section for the road within County, 3rd-5th digits of the roadway identifier.
- **Sub:** Contains the subsection of the primary section, which is the last 3 digits of the 8-digit roadway identifier.
- **BMP1:** Contains the Begin Milepost for the first location. During the calculations, BMP is buffered to minus 250 feet (-0.05 miles) if the location length is less than 500 feet (0.100 miles).
- **EMP1**: Contains the End Milepost for the first location. During the calculations, EMP is buffered to plus 250 feet (+0.05 miles) if the location length is less than 500 feet (0.100

miles).

- **Length**: Contains the Total Length calculated as the sum of all location lengths. During the calculations, length is the buffered length if any location length is less than 500 feet (0.100 miles).
- **Begin Date:** Contains the Construction Begin Date.
- End Date: Contains the Construction End Date.

3.8.5.5.1 Before Construction

To access the Before Construction period subpage, click the Before Construction button on the Selected Projects Summary page.



Figure 40 Historical Projects - Before Construction Period of Selected Projects

The Before Construction period subpage provides crash statistics associated within the period of time before each project. The subpage includes the following fields:

• No: Project sequence number – generated when the report is created, representing the 1-*nn* number of projects which met the selection criteria.

- **Total**: The total number of crashes which occurred at this location prior to construction for this project. The Total equals the sum of the Fatal, Injury and PDO crash counts displayed on the project row.
- **Fatal**: The total number of Fatal crashes (i.e., those with Injury Severity Code = 5) which occurred at this location prior to construction for this project.
- **Injury**: The total number of crashes resulting in Injury (i.e., those with Injury Severity Code = 2, 3 or 4) which occurred at this location prior to construction for this project
- **PDO**: The total number of crashes resulting in Property Damage Only (PDO) which occurred at this location prior to construction for this project
- **Urban**: The total number of crashes at the location of this project which were designated as being on an Urban roadway.
- **Rural**: The total number of crashes at the location of this project which were designated as being on a Rural roadway.
- **Night**: The total number of crashes at the location of this project which were designated as occurring during the night time.
- **Day**: The total number of crashes at the location of this project which were designated as occurring during the day time.
- **Rear End**: The total number of crashes at the location of this project which were designated as having a Crash Type of "Rear End".
- Angle: The total number of crashes at the location of this project which were designated as having a Crash Type of "Angle".
- Left Turn: The total number of crashes at the location of this project which were designated as having a Crash Type of "Left Turn".
- **Right Turn**: The total number of crashes at the location of this project which were designated as having a Crash Type of "Right Turn".

- **Side Swipe**: The total number of crashes at the location of this project which were designated as having a Crash Type of "Side Swipe".
- **Fixed Object**: The total number of crashes at the location of this project which were designated as having a Crash Type of "Fixed Object".
- Head On: The total number of crashes at the location of this project which were designated as having a Crash Type of "Head On".
- **Ped**: Abbreviation for *Pedestrian*. Contains the total number of crashes at the location of this project which were designated as having a Crash Type of "Pedestrian".
- **Ran-Off-RD**: The total number of crashes at the location of this project which were designated as having a Crash Type of "Ran-off-road".
- Wet: The total number of crashes at the location of this project which were designated as having wet surface conditions.
- Mean ADT: Contains the mean average daily traffic (ADT) at the project's location. This is a *calculated* value based on the following equation:

Mean ADT

= <u>(Sum of Mean ADT from each Crash used in the Project Analysis)</u> Total Number of Crashes

• **Study Period**: This is a *calculated* value based on the number of months between the earliest Crash Date and the latest Crash Date of the crashes used in the analysis for this project. Contains the number of months in the study period at this location.

3.8.5.5.2 After Construction

To access the After Construction period subpage, click the After Construction button on the Selected Projects Summary page.



Figure 41 Historical Projects - After Construction Evaluation Results Sample

Note: the	Project List	button re-activates,	and if clicked, returns the	user to the
Selected Pr	cojects Summary results pa	age. Clicking on the	Back	button on
this page re	eturns the user to the Histor	rical Projects: Perform	n Before-and-After Evaluat	ions Query

Filters page.

The After Construction period subpage provides crash statistics associated within the period of time after each project. The fields included in the subpage are identical to those within the <u>Before</u> <u>Construction subpage</u> (see Section 3.8.5.6.1).

3.8.5.5.3 Crash Summary

To access the Crash Summary subpage, click the Crash Summary button on the Selected Projects Summary page.

The Crash Summary shows the crash summary statistics for all projects, including crashes, crash rates, crash reduction factors, Poisson test statistics, and statistical significance at a 95% level of confidence.

Cont Description Output for the last of the l	FDO		orida De	POR	nt of	N	E-Up Sen	dates FL511 M rch FDOT	obile Sile Map
<section-header><section-header><section-header> Appleitig Appleitig Appleitig Pringel Andres Courtes of the first of the</section-header></section-header></section-header>		Ho	me About	FDOT	Contact Us	Maps (& Data Of	tices Perform	nance Projects
Project List References Description of the status of the sta	Veb Applicatio	n							
Project List Gene Strammer Project List References Description of the strammer Project List Reference Description of the strammer Project Li				LILLING S.A.	THE OTHER DESIGNATION.				
CEASH Project Anator Cash Country Cash Team Town of the sector of the s	enda Traffic Salety Port ummary Crash Summa	ы/сказн/н IГУ	storical Proje	cts / Before	and Alter E	voluation /	Crash		WELCOME JASON N
Crash Summary of Selected Projects Restorical Projects Note: Section and Alter Analysis is based on crash data starting on andore ther 1935. Maintenance Note: Section and Alter Analysis is based on crash data starting on andore ther 1935. Project Sideon Maintenance Note: Section and Alter Analysis is based on crash data starting on andore ther 1935. Project Sideon Maintenance Note: Section and Alter Analysis is based on crash data starting on andore ther 1935. Project Sideon Maintenance Note: Section and Alter Analysis is based on crash data starting on andore ther 1935. Project Sideon Maintenance Note: Section and Alter Analysis is based on crash data starting on andore ther 1935. Project Sideon Maintenance Note: Section and Alter Analysis is based on crash data starting on andore ther 1935. Maintenance Note: Section and Alter Analysis is based on crash data starting on andore ther 1935. Maintenance Note: Section and Alter Analysis is based on crash data starting on andore ther 1935. Maintenance Note: Section and Alter Analysis is based on crash data starting on andore ther 1935. Maintenance Note: Section and Alter Analysis is based on crash data starting on andore ther 1935. Maintenance Note: Section and Alter Analysis is based on crash data starting on andore ther 1935. Maintenance Note: Section analter in the section and ther in ther 1930.<		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		-	-		-	10	
Project Analysis Note: Settore and After Analysis is based on crash data starting on andor after 1983. Maintenance District County From Too Before Maintenance Project Evaluation (Maintenance) District County From Too Before Maintenance Project Evaluation (Maintenance) District County From Too Before Maintenance Project Evaluation (Maintenance) Maintenance District County From Too Before Maintenance Project List efore Construction (Mar Construction) District Counters Refer Counters After Maintenance Maintenance Too 4312 7711 2415 2575 5 2 BigeReanty acter Maintenance Too 4312 7711 2415 245 4 3 SigeReanty acter Maintenance Too 3471 3571 2342 246 3	CRASH			C	rash Summi	ry of Sele	cted Project		A REAL PROPERTY OF A REA
Maintenance District Courny From Year Too Before Month	Project Analysis	Note: Before an	id After Analys	is is based or	n crash data s	tarting on a	nd/or after 198	3	
Maintenance Project Evaluation Method Ad Counters Veel Any Veel Any Veel Year Veel So 12 So 12 SOUTH SUBJECT Completed Add SUBJECT Addition Home Home So 2 Ad Counters Any Veel Year 36 12 So 12 SOUTH SOUTH Completed Method Home Home Total Stammany Counters Reture Counters Total Stammany Counters Reture Counters Reture Fraise South State Sou	distorical Projects	ID Distric	t County	From	To Before	Min Al	ter After	Start End	Status User
Project Evaluation Method Year	Maintenance	605 2	Al Countes	Any	Any as	Month "	Month	1/2014 4/21/2014	Completed KNF2L
Home Data Summary Casabas Status Casabas Status Casaba Status <td>Project Evaluation Method</td> <td>Imp Type:</td> <td>-1 - All Improv</td> <td>Year ement Types</td> <td>Year</td> <td></td> <td>ar ar</td> <td>N 78 AM 9 94 18 AM</td> <td>0.0</td>	Project Evaluation Method	Imp Type:	-1 - All Improv	Year ement Types	Year		ar ar	N 78 AM 9 94 18 AM	0.0
Project List efore Construction the Construction the Construction Back Tote 6112 7771 2.815 2.676 6 2 Biparticanty setter tradii Back 847 46 0.023 0.016 35 23 Significanty setter tradii Back 900 3671 3157 1243 1254 4 3 Significanty setter tradii 3 Significanty sette	Home	Data Summary	Craature Defore	Creatives After	Crawh Rute Before	Crash Rate After	Actual Crashes Reduced (%)	Poisson Test for Significant Crantes Reduced (%)	Significance
Procession Processintendiated in the processin thead in the procession the	Project List	Total	8312	7771	2.815	2.676	8	2	Ggn/Rcantly better
Mare Construction Isaw 4574 5666 1549 1367 52 2 Spatial Sciences Back P00 3671 3757 1243 1254 -4 3 Spatial Sciences Back P00 3671 3757 1243 1254 -4 3 Spatial Sciences Back P00 3671 3757 1243 1254 -4 3 Spatial Sciences Back P00 3671 3757 1243 1254 -4 3 Spatial Sciences Back P14 557 6362 1963 216 6 3 Spatial Sciences Back P167 5962 1963 1853 4 2 Spatial Sciences Spatial Sciences 144 2 Spatial Sciences 146 3 Spatial Sciences 146 3 Spatial Sciences 146 Spatial Science 146 Spatial Science 146 Spatial Science 146 Spatial Science 146 <td< td=""><td>efore Construction</td><td>Fatal</td><td>17</td><td>46</td><td>0.025</td><td>0.016</td><td>30</td><td>20</td><td>Significantly Seller</td></td<>	efore Construction	Fatal	17	46	0.025	0.016	30	20	Significantly Seller
Openant Summary POO 3671 3757 1.243 1.254 -4 3 Systemative vorte Back Uttain 7544 7214 2.572 2.444 5 2 Systemative vorte Back State 714 557 6.342 0.402 21 6 Systemative vorte Back 714 557 6.342 0.402 21 6 Systemative vorte Back 714 557 6.342 0.405 8 3 Systemative vorte Back 714 556 1.957 5562 1.923 1.853 4 2 Systemative vorte Back 7564 2566 2516 1.057 1.211 2 3 Roystead vorte Ange 1364 1251 8.402 0.422 0.423 13 Systemative vorte Rest-Date 982 4.47 9.020 0.237 22 5 Systemative vorte Right-Ture 982	After Construction	injury	4574	3966	1.549	1.367	52	2	Significantly better
Back Utban 7944 714 2.972 2.444 3 2 Significantly batter Back File Sazel 714 537 6.342 0.402 21 6 Significantly batter Back File 206 1909 6.747 0.465 8 3 Significantly batter Day 5677 5362 1.923 1.863 4 2 Significantly batter Rear-Dat 2508 2518 1.057 1.211 -2 3 Inv significantly batter Angle 1364 1221 8.462 0.424 8 4 Significantly batter Right-Ture 982 487 0.302 0.237 22 5 Significantly batter Right-Ture 982 487 0.302 0.217 4 7 No significantly batter Files-Date 548 454 6105 0.518 13 Significantly batter Files-Ote 125 0.37 <	Crash Summary	P00	3671	3757	1.249	1.294	+	3	Significantly worse
Baral TH SS7 G.342 0.002 21 6 Significantly balant Niger 2266 1999 8.747 0.665 8 3 Significantly balant Dep 5677 5562 1.923 1.855 4 2 Significantly balant Rear-Dod 2568 5516 1.107 1.211 -2 3 No significantly balant Aragia 1364 1231 8.462 0.426 8 4 Significantly balant Aragia 1364 1231 8.462 0.426 8 4 Significantly balant Left Ture 1932 6.47 8.302 0.217 22 5 Significantly balant Significantly 592 128 8.065 0.044 23 13 Significantly balant Fined-Object 593 6.199 0.176 -4 7 No significantly balant Heae-Object 126 107 0.023 0.033 24 13	Back	Urban	7504	7214	2.572	Z 484	3	2	Significantly better
Note Note <th< td=""><td></td><td>Rust</td><td>754</td><td>557</td><td>0.242</td><td>0.492</td><td>21</td><td>6</td><td>Significantly better</td></th<>		Rust	754	557	0.242	0.492	21	6	Significantly better
Dep 967 5362 1923 1859 4 2 Significantly write regionant Rear-End 358 3516 1107 1.211 -2 3 No significantly briter significantly briter Ange 1364 1221 8.462 0.424 8 4 Significantly briter Ange 1364 1221 8.462 0.424 8 4 Significantly briter Ange 1362 6.07 8.302 0.237 22 5 Significantly briter RightTain 182 123 8.065 0.044 23 13 Significantly briter Significantly 585 454 8.165 0.156 15 7 Significantly briter Fined-Object 124 0.17 6.02 0.017 12 14 Ito significantly briter Padamine 149 1025 8.050 0.043 28 12 Significantly briter Wet Serfoce 1626 1200 8.557 0		Night	2204	1909	8.747	0.685		3	Significantly better
Max-bit 2500 5510 1.00 1.11 -2 3 independent state Acgin 1364 1231 8.462 0.426 8 4 Spatial state Acgin 1364 1231 8.462 0.426 8 4 Spatial state Right-Turn 1602 847 0.302 0.237 22 5 Spatial state Right-Turn 1602 123 8.065 0.144 23 13 Spatial state Sateways 545 444 8.169 0.176 .4 .7 Spatial state Freet-Object 506 549 8.099 0.176 .4 .7 No spatial state Heat-De 124 107 8.092 0.017 .12 .14 Ito spatial state Heat-De 125 1026 8.093 0.026 .23 .12 Spatial state Wet Surface 1626 1206 8.551 0.416 .24 4 Spatial state		Day	56/7	5382	1.923	1.050		2	Situation and a
Left Ture Right Tu		Hear-Eng	1364	1281	1.007	0.424			Sauffrank bake
Right Tare Size Size Size Size Size Right Tare 162 128 8.065 0.044 29 18 Sigestization between Sizewape 548 454 8.165 0.138 15 7 Sigestization between Filest-Dipied 508 519 8.169 0.176 -4 7 No significantly between Head-OR 124 0.07 8.042 0.017 12 14 Ino significantly between Head-OR 124 0.07 8.050 0.502 0.016 28 12 Significantly between Head-OR 142 102 8.050 0.502 0.502 28 12 Significantly between Wet Surface 1428 1206 8.551 0.416 24 4 Significantly between		Left Turn	892	647	8,302	0.257	22		Spellcardy beller
Sklewvige 545 454 0.105 0.156 15 7 Significantly bolins Fines-Object 508 518 0.109 0.176 -4 7 No significantly bolins Head-De 124 107 0.042 0.017 12 14 No significantly bolins Head-De 124 107 0.042 0.017 12 14 No significantly bolins Padautrine 149 1025 0.050 0.026 28 12 Significantly bolins Ras-OR-Road 172 125 0.050 0.043 28 12 Significantly bolins Wet Sarboc 1626 1206 0.551 0.416 24 4 Significantly bolins Safety Office Policies, Procedures, Disclaimers & Condia Feedback 4 Significantly bolins Safety Office Policies, Procedures, Disclaimers & Condia Feedback 4 Significantly bolins Contlact Us Employment MyFionda.com Performance Statement of Agency Web Policies & Notices		Right-Term	162	128	8.055	0.044	29	19	Significantly better
Fined-Dityct 900 518 8.199 0.176 -4 7 No significant chang Head-De 125 937 8.042 0.037 92 14 Mo significant chang Pedautrine 149 925 8.050 0.507 92 14 Mo significant chang Pedautrine 149 925 8.050 0.502 28 12 Significantly leader Wet Sarboc 1826 1206 8.051 0.416 24 4 Significantly leader Safety Office Policies, Procedures, Disclaimers & Condits Feedback Significanty leader 5 Contlact Us Employment MyFionda.com Performance Statement of Agency Web Policies & Notices		Sklenwipe	545	454	0.185	0.158	15	7	Significantly beller
Head-OR 124 107 0.022 0.037 12 14 No significant class particular balance Padeatricer 149 103 0.030 2.030 2.030 2.031 12 Significant's balance Rain-OR-Rasel 172 108 0.043 2.8 12 Significantly balance Wet Surfoce 1926 1206 0.551 0.416 2.4 4 Significantly balance Saflety Office Policies, Proceedures, Disclaimers & Crastles Feedback Feedback Significantly balance Contract Us Employment MyFionda.com Performance Statement of Agency Web Policies & Notices		Fired-Dijeci	608	518	8.169	0.176	24		No significant change
Padaminan 549 925 5.050 0.036 28 13 Spellicatily latter Rae-Off-Road 172 128 8.050 0.645 28 12 Spellicatily latter Wet Surface 1626 1206 8.551 0.416 24 4 Spellicatily latter Safety Office Policies, Procedures, Disclaiment & Coulity Feedback Feedback Contlact Us Employment MyFionda.com Performance Statement of Agency Web Policies & Notices		Head-De	124	987	8.042	0.057	12	14	the significant change
Rae-Off-Road 172 126 8.050 0.843 26 12 Significantly better Wet Surface 3626 1200 8.551 0.416 24 4 Significantly better Safety Office: Policies: Procedures: Dischaimers & Coulities Feedback Feedback Contlact Us Employment My/Fionda.com Performance Statement of Agency Web Policies & Notices		Padestrian	540	925	8.950	305.0	28	a	Significantly beller
Wet Surface 1826 1206 8.551 0.416 24 4 Synthesized y setter Safety Office: Policies, Procedures, Dischaimers & Coulits Feedback Feedback Contact Us Employment MyFionda.com Performance Statement of Agency Web Policies & Notices		Ret-Off-Road	172	125	E 056	0.043	28	12	Significantly better
Safety Office Policies, Procedures, Disclaimers & Crudits Feedback Contact Us Employment MyFlorida.com Performance Statement of Agency Web Policies & Notices		Wet Surface	1626	1200	8.951	0.416	24	4	Significantly better
Contact Us Employment MyFionda.com Performance Statement of Agency Web Policies & Notices		54	lety Office Pole	cies, Procedu	rus, Dischime	n & Condita	Feedback		
	Contact Us E	Employment	MyFionda.c	om Per	ormance	Statemer	nt of Agency	Web Policie	s & Notices

Figure 42 Historical Projects – Crash Summary of Selected Projects

3.8.5.5.3.1 Crash Summary – Fields Displayed

The Crash Summary page includes the following fields:

- **Data Summary**: Data for each Crash Variable to display the crash counts for that variable, with the overall Total Crash count statistics listed on the first row.
- **Crashes Before**: For the listed crash variable, the Crash Counts *before* project implementation, for the listed Crash Variable.
- **Crashes After**: For the listed crash variable, the Crash Counts *after* project implementation.
- **Crash Rate Before**: For the listed crash variable, the Crash Rate *before* project implementation.
- **Crash Rate After**: For the listed crash variable, the Crash Rate *after* project implementation, for the listed Crash Variable.
- Actual Crashes Reduced (%): The Actual Crashes Reduced by the project implementation as a percentage, rounded to the closest full number. It is the calculated using the following formula:

Actual Crashes Reduced = $\left(\frac{(\text{Crash Rate Before} - \text{Crash Rate After})}{\text{Crash Rate Before}}\right) * 100$

• **Poisson Test for Significant Crashes Reduced** (%): The test statistic used in determining statistical significance using a Poisson distribution. Calculated using the following formula:

$$R = \left(\frac{2.326\sqrt{b-0.16-0.35}}{b}\right) * 100$$

where \mathbf{R} = Minimum significant percent reduction and \mathbf{b} = Total number of crashes before project implementation

Significance: Contains one of the following values expressing the statistical Significance of the project improvement: "Significantly better", "No significant change", or "Significantly worse". <u>Note</u>: "***" is displayed in this column when Actual Crashes Reduced (%) column on this row contains a value of zero.

3.8.5.5.3.2 Crash Summary - Formulas

The following subsection includes formulas used in the analysis whose results display in the Crash Summary screen

The Crash Summary statistics for before and after crash rates as calculated using the following formula:

For intersections or "spots":

Crash Rate
$$\left(\frac{\text{Crashes}}{\text{MV}}\right) = \frac{(\text{Number of Crashes}) \times 1,000,000}{(\text{Number of Days}) \times \text{AADT}}$$

<u>Note</u>: For an intersection or "spot" improvement, the intersection influence area, typically taken as the ± 0.05 miles from the center of an intersection (i.e., 0.1 miles), is treated as the project section length.

For sections:

$$\operatorname{Crash} \operatorname{Rate} \left(\frac{\operatorname{Crashes}}{\operatorname{MVM}} \right) = \frac{(\operatorname{Number of Crashes}) \times 1,000,000}{(\operatorname{Number of Days}) \times \operatorname{AADT} \times \operatorname{Section Length in Miles}}$$

To calculate the percent of Actual Crashes Reduced:

$$Percent Crashes Reduced = \frac{[(Crash Rate Before) - (Crash Rate After)]}{Crash Rate Before} \times 100$$

The final two columns list the **Poisson Test for Significant Crashes Reduced** (%) and, correspondingly, the statistical **Significance** for those percentages. The formula for the Poisson Test is applied as follows:

$$R = \left(\frac{2.326\sqrt{b - 0.16} - 0.35}{b}\right) \times 100$$

where

 $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Percent}$ reduction, and

b = Number of crashes before project implementation.

An example calculation for two improvement projects is summarized in the tables below:

Before Statistics

After Statistics

${\bf Project}\ \#$	1	2	Total
Total Crashes	332	160	492
Project Length	2.3	1.9	
Mean AADT	15,836	13,523	
Study Period	3	3	
Exposure	39.822	28.135	67.957

${\bf Project}\ \#$	1	2	Total
Total Crashes	174	113	287
Project Length	2.3	1.9	
Mean AADT	15,638	15,630	
Study Period	3	3	
Exposure	39.384	32.518	71.902

Every crash record contains a corresponding AADT, therefore an approximation of the mean AADT cans be calculated as:

 $\frac{\sum(AADT)}{\text{Number of Crashes}}$

For the given example:

$$\frac{14,935+14,935\ldots+16,040+17,794}{332} = 15,836$$

Exposure is then calculated as:

$$\frac{\rm Project \ Length \times Mean \ AADT \times Years \times 365 \ Days}{1,000,000}$$

For the given example:

$$\frac{2.3 \times 15,836 \times 3 \times 365}{1,000,000} = 39.822$$

Similarly, all before-and-after exposures can be calculated. It can thus be seen that exposure measurement is a proportional value.

The proportionate crash rates can then be calculated by:

For the given example:

Crash Rate Before
$$=\frac{492}{67.957} = 7.240$$

Crash Rate After $=\frac{287}{71.902} = 3.992$

The CRF for *all* crash types can then be calculated as:

$$CRF = \frac{Crash Rate Before - Crash Rate After}{Crash Rate Before} 100\%$$

For the given example:

$$\mathrm{CRF} = \frac{7.240 - 3.992}{7.240} 100\% = 45\%$$

3.8.6 Maintenance

The Maintenance functional component is used by authorized users to perform the following functions:

- *View Crash Reduction Factors*: Generate the list of current Florida Crash Reduction Factors (CRFs) and to view detailed summary statistics associated with the calculation of each CRF.
- *Project Discrepancy Report*: Identify projects with critical data that is missing or incomplete so it can be corrected.

• *Project Aging Report*: Identify projects which have not been updated as time has progressed. The purpose of the Aging Report is to identify projects that are aging and not moving to the next phase.

Note: CRASH Maintenance functionality and features are the same whether the user Account has "**Edit**" permissions granted or not.



Figure 43 Maintenance Submenu

3.8.6.1 View Crash Reduction Factors

The following figure shows the View Crash Reduction Factors main page:





3.8.6.1.1 View CRFs for All Improvement Types

Clicking on **1.** <u>View CRFs for all improvement types</u>, exports all up-to-date CRFs from Florida into an Excel spreadsheet, displays the file name and gives the user the option to save or open the file or to cancel the export process in a floating action bar at the bottom of the active list page (see below).



Figure 45 CRFs for All Improvement Types – Export Functionality

If the user choose to **Open** or **Save**, the user may receive the popup message below. Respond with **Yes** to continue to download the Excel file or choose **No** to stop the download function. Choosing **Help** will open an Excel Help window explaining the message.

Micros	t Office Excel	
4	The file you are trying to open, 'CREAE als', is in a different format than specified by the file extension. Verify that the file is not compted and is from a trusted source before open want to open the file non?	ing the file, Do you
	Yes No Heb	

The Excel file opens in a separate window with more detailed information than is shown on the query results list. In the Excel summary table, the table title displays the date of the most recent improvement project. The user can manage the file using all the normal Excel functionality to make any desired edits to the file.

Below is an example of the Crash Reduction Factors report exported to the Excel spreadsheet.

C1393	Reduction Factors Para	meters - 401 i	105, Fram	Yeat: 38	6, To bear	3009, Be	fore Mon	th:36.MI	n. Before I	Month:	12, After Mo	nth: M, N	tin. After Mo	nth: L3						
							Cras	sh Red	luction	Fact	ors (as c	of 10/15	5/2013)							
	_		_				F	lorida	Depart	ment	of Tran	sporta	tion					_		
ш	Improvement	Number of Projects	Total	Fatal	hjuy	P00	Urben	Roral	мум	Day	Rear End	Angle	Lett.Tum	Right.Taro	Sidenwipe	Fised Object	Head On	Pedentrian	Ran Of Road	Wet Surfa
1	New signal at charmeduced internection	28	15 Yes	25 No	22 141	2 Ne	13 Tres	15 Yes	7 140	15 785	-5E 141	32 Tes	15 Yes	88 Tes	17 No	-52 No	52 Pes	-115 TRS	-52 Yes	17 7es
3	New signal at non- shannafiliati interaction		15- Yes	57 740	16 Yes	9 NO	30 Ves	-32 No	ार Ves	8 740	-46 Yes	dili Ves	42 Yes	79 Yes	13 No	65 ×15	29 No	105 No	47 No	34 Yes
1	Add signal and channelization		19 Yes	-69 No	18 Ter	-5 .Nt-	12 Ves	- 33 Yeş	-15 No	11 Yet	-17 761	28 Yes	78 Yes	13 No	-7 No	28 No	57 . Yes	49 hio	84 Ves	22 No.
	Wodify signal at channelued intersection		35 Yes		46 Yes	17 Yes	25 Yes		10 Ma	41 745	45 7es	41 Yes	:60 Yes	7 Na	19 No	-85 No	-272 Fin	T No		di Tas

3.8.6.1.2 View Detailed CRF Statistics for a Specific Improvement Type

To view the detailed calculations of a specific improvement type, select an improvement type from

the list of available improvement types (shown below) and click on the

View button.

2. View detailed CRF statistics for a specific improvement type:	
 New signal at channelized intersection New signal at non-channelized intersection Add signal and channelization Modify signal at channelized intersection Modify signal at non-channelized intersection Modify signal and channelization Modify signal and add channelization New Lotannelization w/ LT phase (signalized) New LT channelization w/o LT phase (signalized) New LT channelization (nonsignalized intersection) Modify intersection at signalized intersection Modify channelization and add signal Increase storage lane Add turn bay Add right turn Add LT (T-intersection) 	
View	

Note: Selecting *without* selecting a specific Improvement Type from the dropdown list the user will be presented with the following message dialog box:

Message from webpage	
Please select one improvement type!	Click the OK button or the
ок	button to close the message window.

The output for a specific improvement type includes summary statistics presented within four tables, each of which can be accessed using the CRF Project List Submenu (see below).

- *CRF Detail: Project Summary* Lists all projects used in the calculation of CRFs for a specific improvement type.
- *CRF Detail: Before-Construction Period* Shows the crash statistics associated with the Before-Construction period of each project, including crashes, mean AADT, study period, and total exposure associated with each improvement project. Reached by clicking the Before Construction button in the CRF Project List Submenu (see below).
- *CRF Detail: After Construction Period* The After-Construction Period table is similar to the Before Construction Period table, but includes data for projects after the construction periods. Reached by clicking the <u>After Construction</u> button in the CRF Project List Submenu (see below).
- *CRF Detail Crash Summary* Shows the crash summary statistics for all projects, including crashes, crash rates, crash reduction factors, Poisson test statistics, and whether

the Poisson test is significant. Reached by clicking the **Crash Summary** button in the CRF Project List Submenu (see below).

Note: Clicking the Back button in the CRF Project List Submenu returns the user to the View Crash Reduction Factors Page.

Note: Clicking the **Project List** button in the CRF Project List Submenu within a page other than the CRF Detail: Project Summary report returns the user to the Project List Subpage.

Parameters used to calculate current CRFs

* "Project Year From" set to "Any Year" uses Historical Project dataset starting before 1983. Pre-1983 projects crash data was calculated within the projects and not stored in the current database so they cannot be validated.

ID	From Year	To Year	Before Month	Min Before Month	After Month	Min After Month	End
305	1986	2009	36	12	36	12	10/15/2013 11:56:19 PM

The Parameters used to calculate current CRFs subsection displays a set of parameters which are mamanged by the system administrator. Parameters displayed are as follows:

- **ID**: The Job ID of the last job run to calculate the current set of Crash Reduction Factors (CRFs).
- From Year: The Project End Construction "From" year used in the latest calculation process.
- To Year: The Project End Construction "To" year used in the latest calculation process.
- **Before Month**: The Number of Months Before Construction parameter used in the latest calculation process.
- **Min Before Month**: The Minimum Number of Months Before Construction parameter used in the latest calculation process.
- After Month: The Number of Months After Construction parameter used in the latest calculation process.
- **Min After Month**: The Minimum Number of Months After Construction parameter used in the latest calculation process.
- End: The date and time the latest Update Crash Reduction Factors job was run.

3.8.6.1.2.1 CRF Project List Submenu

The CRF Project List Submenu is shown in the Figure below. It appears on the screen at any point in which the user is browsing data for a specific CRF.

FDO	F	Flor	ida Der ANSF	partme PORT	E-Update Search i	e Map					
		Home	About F	FDOT (Contact Us	Ma	aps & Data	Office	s Perfo	ormance	Projects
Web Applicatio	n							_			
Florida Traffic Safety Port List Project List	al / CRA	SH / Maint	enance / V	lew Crash	Reduction	Factor	s / Project			WELCOM	E JASON NE
				6		194			ar .		
CRASH					CRF Deta	il: Pro	ject Summ	ary			
Project Analysis	Improv	ement Type	:3 Adi	d signal and	channelizat	on					
Historical Projects	During project than 5	calculations BMP and E	s, a project i 3MP are buff 30 miles).	ocation leng fered to -/+2	th is buffere 50 feet (-/+0	d to a m .05 mile	iinimum value s) respective	e of 500 fee ty if the act	t (0.100 mi ual project	les). For this location leng	reason, the th is less
Maintenance	No.	FM No.	District	County	Section	Sub	BMP1	EMP1	Length	Begin Date	End Date
Project Evaluation	19	422416-1	3	45	48010	000	10.400	10.700	0.300	01/06/2009	04/24/2009
Method	2	422702-1	6	87	87091	000	3.007	3.107	0.100	03/16/2009	08/02/2005
Home	3	2108062	2	37	37040	000	25.195	25.413	0.218	03/21/2005	05/16/2008
	14	237532-1	5	70	70100	000	4.169	4.642	0.473	02/09/2004	08/25/2008
Designer Liver	5	4117962	6	87	87053	000	1.119	1.307	0.188	04/04/2005	07/19/2005
Before Construction	6	2102852	2	78	78050	000	14.837	15.037	0.200	10/10/2005	06/13/2007
After Construction	7	237532-1	5	70	70100	000	4.169	4.624	0.455	02/09/2004	08/26/2006
Crash Summary	8	2570001	7	15	15090	000	6.652	7,239	0.587	06/30/1997	11/05/1998
Back	9	2557711	7:	10	10110	000	13.377	13.577	0.200	02/17/1997	06/20/1998
	10		4	89	89060	000	29.784	29.884	0.100	07/01/1978	10/01/1978
	Angen A			and the second	-	090-4	A sale	J-53.0		0/0/09980	1000

Figure 46 CRFs Project List Submenu

The following are actions associated with the CRF Project List Submenu:

Before Construction Clicking the •

button from this report subpage's menu returns the user to the CRF Detail: Before Construction Period subpage (see below).

- Clicking the After Construction button from this report subpage's menu returns the user to the CRF Detail: After Construction Period subpage (see below).
- Clicking the Project List button from this report subpage's menu returns the user to the CRF Detail: Project Summary subpage shown previously in this document.
- Clicking the Crash Summary button on the submenu, returns the user to the CRF Detail: Crash Summary report (see below) for the selected improvement type.

3.8.6.1.2.2 CRF Detail: Project Summary

The CRF Detail: Project Summary report (see below) is presented showing the list of Projects completed which utilized the selected Improvement Type.



Figure 47 Project List - CRF Detail: Project Summary

The following are fields contained within the CRF Detail: Project Summary page:

• No.: The Project sequence number – generated when the report is created, representing the 1-nn number of projects which included "Improvement Type: 3 – Add signal and

channelization", for this example.

- FM No.: The Financial Management project number from the Work Program System.
- **District:** The DOT Managing District identifier.
- County: The DOT code for County, first two digits of the 8-digit roadway identifier.
- Section: The Linear reference section for the road within County, 3rd-5th digits of the roadway identifier.
- **Sub:** The subsection of the primary section, which is the last 3 digits of the 8-digit roadway identifier.
- **BMP1:** The Begin Milepost for the first location. During the calculations, BMP is buffered to minus 250 feet (-0.05 miles) if the location length is less than 500 feet (0.100 miles).
- **EMP1:** The End Milepost for the first location. During the calculations, EMP is buffered to plus 250 feet (+0.05 miles) if the location length is less than 500 feet (0.100 miles).
- Length: The Total Length calculated as the sum of all location lengths. During the calculations, length is the buffered length if any location length is less than 500 feet (0.100 miles).
- **Begin Date:** The Construction Begin Date.
- End Date: The Construction End Date.

3.8.6.1.2.3 CRF Detail: Before Construction Period

The Before Construction Period table contains the crash statistics associated with the beforeconstruction period of each project, including crashes, mean AADT, study period, and total exposure associated with each improvement project. The report contains the same projects present in the CRF Detail: Project Summary report from which the user navigated.

The CRF Detail: Before Construction Period report contains a series of columns pertaining to a specific improvement type. In addition to a header row, the report contains summary data for each column therein (see the highlighted areas in the figure below).

CRF Detail: Before-Construction Period

No	Total	Fatal	Injury	PDO	Urban	Rural	Night	Day	Rear End	Angle	Left Turn	Right Turn	Side Swipe	Fixed Object	Head On	Ped	Ran- Off- RD	Wet	Mean ADT	Study Period	Exposure
1	<u>68</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>4</u>	1	<u>3</u>	1	<u>1</u>	1	<u>0</u>	<u>6</u>	36265	36	11.91
2	<u>17</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>4</u>	Z	1	<u>0</u>	1	2	1	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	2	8476	36	0.93
3	<u>15</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	2	<u>0</u>	1	1	<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>0</u>	2	12233	36	2.92
4	<u>16</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	1	<u>0</u>	2	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	13475	36	6.98
5	<u>77</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>8</u>	55591	36	11.44
	.10	n	ą	2.	- 40	P	Q	<u>1</u> 0	. 0	5		<u>0</u>	, n	- Arts	Q	<u>0</u>	1	1.	18340	<u>, 26</u>	1.02
							-	_													
	Ē				12	2		-	±	÷ -			. ¥'''	Ū	ī	<u>u</u>	<u>ə</u>		.35c		
13	<u>27</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>9</u>	Z	<u>5</u>	1	1	<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>0</u>	2	<u>6</u>	14290	3	3.13
14	<u>54</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>0</u>	4	<u>41</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	1	<u>14</u>	15461	3	3.39
15	<u>100</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>6</u>	25971	3	8.73
16	<u>161</u>	1	<u>57</u>	<u>103</u>	<u>104</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>116</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>21</u>	Z	<u>0</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>40</u>	15902	3	19.15
17	<u>55</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>38</u>	2	<u>43</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>6</u>	1	1	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	1	<u>8</u>	9660	3	2.12
Total	822	7	391	424	552	270	123	601	222	215	169	41	60	23	11	9	23	128	n/a	n/a	118.90

Improvement Type: 3 Add signal and channelization

Figure 48 CRF Detail: Before-Construction Period Heading Fields

The following are fields included in the CRF Detail: Before-Construction Period report:

- No: The Project Sequence Number generated when the report is created, representing the 1-*nn* number of projects which included in the selected improvement type.
- **Total**: The total number of crashes which occurred at a location prior to construction for this project. The Total equals the sum of the Fatal, Injury and PDO crash counts displayed on the project row.
- **Fatal**: The total number of Fatal crashes (i.e., those with Injury Severity Code = "5") which occurred at a location prior to construction for this project.

- **Injury**: The total number of crashes resulting in Injury (those with Injury Severity Code = 2, 3 or 4) which occurred at a location prior to construction for this project
- **PDO**: The total number of crashes resulting in Property Damage Only (PDO) which occurred at a location prior to construction for this project
- Urban: The total number of crashes at the location of a project which were designated as being on an *urban* roadway.
- **Rural**: The total number of crashes at the location of a project which were designated as being on a *rural* roadway.
- **Night**: The total number of crashes at the location of a project which were designated as occurring during the night time.
- **Day**: The total number of crashes at the location of a project which were designated as occurring during the day time.
- **Rear End**: The total number of crashes at the location of a project which were designated as having a Crash Type of *Rear End*.
- **Angle**: The total number of crashes at the location of a project which were designated as having a Crash Type of *Angle*.
- Left Turn: The total number of crashes at the location of a project which were designated as having a Crash Type of *Left Turn*.
- **Right Turn**: The total number of crashes at the location of a project which were designated as having a Crash Type of *Right Turn*.
- **Side Swipe**: The total number of crashes at the location of a project which were designated as having a Crash Type of *Side Swipe*.
- **Fixed Object**: The total number of crashes at the location of a project which were designated as having a Crash Type of *Fixed Object*.

- **Head On**: The total number of crashes at the location of a project which were designated as having a Crash Type of *Head On*.
- **Ped**: Abbreviation for *Pedestrian*. Contains the total number of crashes at the location of a project which were designated as having a Crash Type of Pedestrian.
- **Ran-Off-RD**: The total number of crashes at the location of a project which were designated as having a Crash Type of Ran-off-road.
- Wet: The total number of crashes at the location of this project which were designated as having wet surface conditions.
- **Mean ADT**: The mean average daily traffic (ADT) at the project's location. This is a *calculated* value based on the following equation:

Mean ADT = (Sum of Mean ADT from each Crash used in the Project Analysis) Total Number of Crashes

- **Study Period**: This is a *calculated* value based on the number of months between the earliest Crash Date and the latest Crash Date of the crashes used in the analysis for this project. Contains the number of months in the study period at this location.
- **Exposure**: This is a *calculated* value based on the following equation:

Exposure = $\frac{(Project Section Lenght in Miles * Years * 365 Days * Mean ADT)}{1,000,000}$

Clicking the hyperlink associated with any value contained within the CRF Detail: Before Construction Report will export a Crash Report – which contains all crashes included in the linked total – into Excel.



Figure 49 Export function - CRF Detail: Before Construction Period

At this point, the user can choose to save or open the file, or to cancel the export process using a

floating action bar at the bottom of the active list page (see the highlighted area in the figure above).

Choosing **Open** or **Save** may lead to the following message:

Microsoft C	Office Excel
	The file you are trying to open, 'CrashReport.xis', is in a different format than specified by the file extension. Verify that the file is not compted and is from a trusted source before opening the file. Do you want to open the file now?
	Yes No Heb

Choose **Yes** to continue to download the Excel file or **No** to stop the download. Choosing **Help** will open an Excel Help window explaining the message.

3.8.6.1.2.4 CRF Detail: After Construction Period

Clicking on the After Construction button on the submenu opens the CRF Detail: After-Construction Period report (see below). As with the CRF Detail: Before-Construction Period report, this report contains several hyperlinks that facilitate detailed data exports.

Web Application			TR	A	NS	epa SP(OF	RT	nt o	of TIC	N			E-0 Se	pdate archi I	s F FDO	1.511 T.	į hū	obile ()	Site Ma	°
Web Application		-1	lome	6	Abou	nt FD	от	- 10	Conta	act U	ś //	Maps	& Dal	a C	Mica	5	Per	form	ance	Pic	ijects
Florida Traffic Safety Portal Construction After Construct	CRA	SH/	Man	ilena	nce (View	v Cr	ash	Red	uction	Fad	lors / /	Viter			v	VELCO	ME:	JASON	NELMS	i (SYSTEST HELI
	1			1		-	4				TR.C.C.		100.1	i i		1					1
CRASH	_							CR	F De	tail: /	After	-Cons	tructio	n Peri	od						
Project Analysis In	nprove	ment	Type	3	Ad	id sig	nal a	nd ct	hanne	lizatio	n										
Historical Projects	No Teb	el Filte	e trevin	100	Urbén	Rund	Night	Day	Rear End	Angle	Laft Tarm	Right Turn	Side Swipe	Freed Object	Head Ori	Ped	Ran- Off- BD	Wet	Mean ADT	Stady Period	Ехровите
Maintenance	1 JI	ä	1	ŝ.	19	1	2	8	1		8	1	1	1	g	ġ	0	1	12450	36	2.97
Project Evaluation	2 10	1		2	33	2	2	2	1	5	2	1	2	4	2	8	0	2	16300	38	8.70
Method	3 5	I I	12	25	22-	2	22.	25	19	17.	2	1	1	8	1	2	8		51588	38	10.62
Home	5 1	. 0	22	17	39	0	-	28	15	2	2	1	1	0	2	1	0	1	41128	23	10.33
	• 6	2	15		60	1	22	-	12	紅	3	2	I	1		I.	1	T.	35579	- 36	22.07
Project List	7 29		訪	14	11	38	1	38	28	2	8		8	g	n	8	1	2	24389	36	5.34
Before Construction	a <u>24</u>	2	21	22	2	24	-	31	22	2	14	-11		4	2	8	1	8	10588	3	2.32
Crash Summary	8 2	1	2	1	2	2	1	2	2	1	2	1	. 2	8	2	8	9.	1	17088	3	3.72
Back	10 1	я.	2	1	8	1	2	2	.#.	41	*	1	18		4	9)	0	8	10548	3	5.03
	11 22	2	12	14	-	22	8	21	4	4	1	2	2	1	I	8	3	2	17682	3	3.87
	12 11		12	41	92	2	44	21	10	12	11	- 1	2	- U		-	2	2	17943	3.	3.84
	10 22		-	- 12	- 18		1	-15	5	8.	2				+	÷.		2	11687	. 3	12.55
	15 1		25		63		33	28	19	15	13		-	T	0	-	-	-	25316	3	5.11
3	10 10	1 2	52	-	11	12	2	11	38	11	25	2	-		<u>n</u>		2	23	17905	3	21.57
	17 H	1	21	35	00	2	8	51	11	1	11	±	2	z	1	8	1	2	17091	3	5.81
	18 21	0	11	18	22	2	2	28		1	.#	2	2	1	1	8	8	2	9330	3	2.64
			343	437	100	129	195	501	285	138	120	1.44		- 11		T	25	-	100	100	

Figure 50 CRF Detail: After-Construction Period

The CRF Detail: After-Construction Period report includes the same data fields as the CRF Details: Before-Construction report. However, the CRF Details: After-Construction Report

includes data pertaining to crashes following the project's construction period.

Note: The CRF Detail: After-Construction Period report has the export to Excel functionality available as defined above for the CRF Detail: Before-Construction Period report. Please refer to <u>The Crash Report</u> section below for operational functionality instructions and examples of the data fields that are exported.

3.8.6.1.2.5 The Crash Report

The Crash Report can be accessed from both the Before Construction and After Construction areas of the Maintenance section. Once the report download has finished, it will open automatically in Excel. The file contains the following fields:

- Year
- Crash Report Number
- Crash Date
- Hour of Crash
- Weekday
- District
- County
- Roadway ID
- Milepost
- Nearest Node to Crash
- Distance to Node (mile)
- State Route
- Site Location
- Road Side
- Accident Lane Number
- Alcohol Involved
- First Harmful Event
- Second Harmful Event
- Road Surface
- Lighing

- Weather
- Road Condition
- Traffic Control
- Traffic Char
- Fatality Flag
- Injury Severity
- Number of Fatalities
- Number of Injuries
- Total Persons
- Total Drivers
- Vehicle Fields for each *vehicle*:
 - o Type
 - o Total Occupants
 - o Movement
 - o Direction
 - Impact Point
 - Driver Contributing Cause
 - Driver Age
 - o User
 - o Estimated Speed
 - o Posted Speed
 - o Driver Alcohol/Drug
 - \circ Residence
 - Safe Equip
 - Physical Defects
 - o Race
 - o Sex
- Lane County
- AADT
- RCI Urban Area Code (pre-2002)
- Roadway Category
- Rural or Urban
3.8.6.1.2.6 CRF Detail: Crash Summary

FDO	T I	lorida De RANS	PORT	nt of ATIO	N	E-Up Sea	dates FL511 N rch FDOT	Nobile Site Map
	Ho	me About	FDOT C	contact Us	Maps &	& Data Of	fices Perfor	mance Projects
Web Applicatio	n			_				
Florida Traffic Safety Port Summary Crash Summa	ы) CRASH / M A ГУ	laintenance / \	View Crash I	Reduction (Factors / C	rash	WELCOME	EJASON NELMS (SYSTE) Hei
L. Iste o	are he					ac.		-
m m				No.	Chart.		Sales a	-
CRASH	Improvement T	Type 3 Ad	d signal and c	CRF Det	ail: Crash :	Summary		
CRASH Project Analysis Historical Projects	Improvement 1 Deta Summary	Type: 3 Ad Crashes Before	id signal and c Crashes After	CRF Deta channelization Crassh Rate Before	Crash Rate	Actual Crushes Reduced (%)	Poisson Test for Significant Crashes Reduced	Significance
CRASH Project Analysis Historical Projects Maintenance	Improvement 1 Deta Summary Total	Type: 3 Ad Crashes Before 940	d signal and o Crashes After 789	CRF Det: channelization Crash Rate Before 7.477	all: Crash : m Crash Rate After 6 009	Actual Crashes Reduced (%) 19	Poisson Test for Significant Crashes Reduced (%) 5	Significance
CRASH Project Analysis Historical Projects Maintenance Project Evaluation	Improvement 1 Deta Summary Tutal Fatal	Type: 3 Ad Crashes Before 949 18	d signal and d Creates After 789 9	CRF Det: channelizatio Crash Rate Before 7.477 0.075	all: Crash : n Crash Rate After 6 009 0.999	Actual Crashes Reduced (%) 19 12	Poisson Test for Significant Crashes Reduced TP 5 45	Significance Significantly better No significant change
CRASH Project Analysis Historical Projects Maintenance Project Evaluation Method	Improvement 1 Deta Summary Total Fatal Hijary	Type: 3 Ad Crashes Before 940 18 440	d signal and d Crostes After 789 9 343	CRF Det. thannelizatio Crash Rate Before 7.477 0.079 3.537	ail: Crash 3 m Crash Rate After 6 009 0.999 2,847	Actual Crusters Reduced (%) 19 12 25	Poisson Test for Significant Create Reduced (%) 5 43 8	Significance Significantly better No significant change Significantly better
CRASH Project Analysis Historical Projects Maintenance Project Evaluation Method	Improvement 1 Dets Summary Tatal Fatal Mury PDO	Type: 3 Ad Crashes Before 940 18 440 490	d signal and c Crashes After 769 9 343 437	CRF Det: thannelizatio Crash Rate Before 7.477 0.079 3.537 3.860	Crash Rate After 6 009 0.969 2.647 3.373	Summary Actual Crashes Reduced (%) 19 12 25 13	Poisson Test for Significant (%) 5 48 8 8 7	Significance Significantly better No significantly better Significantly better Significantly better
CRASH Project Analysis Historical Projects Maintenance Project Evaluation Method Home	Improvement 1 Dets Summary Total Fatal Hiury PDO Urban	Type: 3 Ad Crashes Before 540 18 440 490 712	d signal and o Crastes After 789 9 343 437 600	CRF Det. channelizatio Crash Rate Before 7.477 0.079 3.537 3.960 5.609	Crash Rate After 6 009 0 969 2 847 3 373 4 631	Summary Actual Crashes Reduced (5) 19 12 25 13 17	Poisson Test for Significant Crashes Reduced (%) 5 45 45 0 7 6	Significance Significantly better No significantly better Significantly better Significantly better Significantly better
CRASH Project Analysis Historical Projects Maintenance Project Evaluation Method Home	Improvement T Deta Summary Total Fatal Mary PDO Urban Sural	Type: 3 Ad Crashes Before 540 18 449 490 712 237	St signal and o Crashes After 789 9 343 437 800 189	CRF Det: channelizatio Crash Rate Before 7.477 0.079 3.537 3.860 5.609 1.867	Crash Rate After 6 009 2,847 3,373 4,631 1,459	Summary Actual Crashes Reduced (%) 19 12 25 13 17 22	Poisson Test for Significant Crashes Reduced (%) 5 48 8 7 6 11	Significance Significantly better No significantly better Significantly better Significantly better Significantly better Significantly better
CRASH Project Analysis Historical Projects Maintenance Project Evaluation Method Home Project List	Improvement T Dets Summory Tatal Fatal Highry POO Urban Rural Hight	Type: 3 Ad Crashes Before 940 10 440 440 712 227 118	5d signal and o Crashes After 789 9 9 343 437 800 189 195	CRF Det: channelizatio Crash Rate Before 7 477 0.079 3 537 3 860 5 609 1.867 0.938	Crash Rate After 6 009 0.969 2.847 3.373 4.631 1.459 1.528	Actual Crashes Reduced (%) 19 12 25 13 17 22 44	Poisson Test for Significant Crashes Reduced (%) 5 48 8 7 6 11 15	Significance Significantly better No significant change Significantly better Significantly better Significantly better Significantly better Significantly better Significantly worse
CRASH Project Analysis Historical Projects Maintenance Project Evaluation Method Home Project List Before Construction	Improvement 1 Data Summary Tutal Fatal Hyary PDO Urban Rural Hight Day	Type: 3 Ad Crashes Before 940 18 440 440 712 237 118 579	Ki signal and c Crostes After 789 9 343 437 600 189 195 501	CRF Det. channelizatio Crash Rate Before 7 477 0.079 3.537 3.960 5.609 1.867 0.930 5.349	Crash Rate After 6 009 0.969 2.647 3.373 4.631 1.459 1.528 5.867	Actual Crushes Reduced (%) 19 12 25 13 17 22 44 28	Poisson Test for Significant Crashes Reduced 5 48 8 7 6 11 15 5 8	Significance Significantly better No significantly better Significantly better Significantly better Significantly better Significantly better Significantly better Significantly better
CRASH Project Analysis Historical Projects Maintenance Project Evaluation Method Home Project List Before Construction After Construction	Improvement 1 Deta Summary Tutal Fatal Hgury PDO Urban Rural Hight Day Rear-End	Type: 3 Ad Crashes Before 940 18 440 440 712 237 118 679 247	6d signal and o Crashes After 789 9 343 437 600 189 196 501 205	CRF Det. channelizatio Crash Rate Before 7,477 0.075 3,537 3,560 5,609 1,867 0.930 5,349 1,946	All: Crash Safe After 6 009 2.647 3.373 4.631 1.459 1.528 3.867 2.209	Summary Actual Crustees Reduced (%) 19 12 25 13 17 22 44 28 44 28 -43	Poisson Test for Significant Crashes Reduced 5 48 0 7 6 11 15 8 10	Significance Significantly better No significantly better Significantly better Significantly better Significantly better Significantly better Significantly better Significantly better Significantly better
CRASH Project Analysis Historical Projects Maintenance Project Evaluation Method Home Project List Before Construction After Construction Crash Summary Back	Improvement 1 Defa Summary Tutal Fatal Hgury PDO Urban Sural High Day Rear-End Ange	Type: 3 Ad Crashes Before 949 18 449 449 712 237 118 879 245	6d signal and o Croshes After 789 9 343 437 800 189 196 501 285 136	CRF Det: channelizatio Crash Rate Before 7,477 0,075 3,537 3,860 5,609 1,867 0,900 5,348 1,946 1,946 1,954	Crassh Rate After 6 009 0 999 2 847 3 373 4 631 1 459 1 528 3 887 2 209 1 050	Summary Actual Crusters Reduced (%) 19 12 25 13 17 25 13 17 22 44 28 21 3 46	Poisson Test for Significant Creates Refutured 1%3 5 45 0 7 6 11 15 6 11 15 8 10 10	Significance Significantly better No significantly better Significantly better Significantly better Significantly better Significantly better Significantly better Significantly better Significantly better Significantly better
CRASH Project Analysis Historical Projects Maintenance Project Evaluation Method Home Project List Before Construction After Construction Crash Summary Back	Improvement 1 Defa Summary Tutal Fatal Highry PDO Urban Rural Hight Day Rear-End Angle Left-Tum	Type: 3 Ad Crashes Before 940 18 440 400 712 237 118 679 247 248 209	d signal and c Crashes After 789 9 343 437 800 189 196 501 285 136 136 120	CRF Det. channelizatio Crash Rate Before 7,477 0,079 3,537 3,860 5,609 1,867 0,930 5,348 1,946 1,946 1,946 1,954 1,954 1,954	Crassh Rate After 6 009 2.847 3.373 4 631 1.459 1.528 5.887 2.209 1.050 0.825	Summary Actual Crashes Reduced (%) 19 12 25 13 17 22 64 28 -13 46 44	Poisson Test for Significant Crashes Rotuced (%) 5 48 8 7 6 11 15 8 10 10 10 11	Significance Significantly better No significantly better Significantly better Significantly better Significantly better Significantly better Significantly better Significantly better Significantly better Significantly better



- **Data Summary**: Contains a data row for each Crash Variable to display the crash counts for that variable, with the overall Total Crash count statistics listed on the first row.
- **Crashes Before**: For the listed crash variable, Crash Counts *before* project implementation.
- Crashes After: For the listed crash variable, Crash Counts *after* project implementation.

- Crash Rate Before: For the listed variable, the Crash Rate *before* project implementation.
- Crash Rate After: For the listed variable, the Crash Rate *after* project implementation.
- Actual Crashes Reduced (%): The Actual Crashes Reduced by the project implementation as a percentage, rounded to the closest whole number. The field is the calculated based on the following formula:

 $Actual Crashes Reduced = \left(\frac{(Crash Rate Before - Crash Rate After)}{Crash Rate Before}\right) * 100$

• Poisson Test for Significant Crashes Reduced (%): The result of the following formula

$$R = \left(\frac{2.326\sqrt{b-0.16-0.35}}{b}\right) * 100$$

where

 \mathbf{R} = Minimum significant percent reduction

b = Total number of crashes before project implementation

• **Significance**: Contains one of the following values expressing the statistical Significance of the project improvement: "Significantly better", "No significant change", or "Significantly Worse".

From the CRF Detail: Crash Summary report, clicking the **Project List** button from this report subpage's menu returns the user to the CRF Detail: Project Summary subpage shown previously in this document.

Clicking the Back button on this submenu, returns the user to the View Crash Reduction Factors webpage shown above.

3.8.6.2 Project Discrepancy Report

Clicking the Project Discrepancy Report link takes the user to the Project Discrepancy Report. The Project Discrepancy Report is used to identify projects with critical data that is missing or incomplete. When opened, the report displays results based on existing default settings for each of the following fields:

- **Project Type**: Select a radio button to limit the report by Project Type of Crash Based) or Non-Crash Based. Defaults to "Crash Based".
- **Project Status**: Use the dropdown arrow to choose a specific Project Status. Hovering over the symbol displays the following tooltip pertaining to each **Project Status** value:
 - "Select a project status code.
 - All Projects: All available projects (default).
 - Analysis: The project is still in the analysis stage and may or may not be implemented.
 - **Construction**: The project is being constructed, but the construction has not been completed.
 - **Completed**: The project has been completed and the construction periods have been entered."
- **CRASH Project ID**: Enter a specific CRASH Project ID and click anywhere outside of that box to view a single row of data specific to that Project ID. No default value.
- **District**: Use the dropdown arrow to select a DOT District 1-8. If the user's account is restricted to editing or viewing a single District, this query will default to that district only and become disabled. Defaults to "All Districts".
- **County**: Use the dropdown to select a desired county. Defaults to "All Counties" when District is set to "All Districts" but allows the user to select a County from the dropdown list of *all counties within the State of Florida*. If a specific District has been selected, the County dropdown still defaults to "All Counties". However, the County dropdown becomes

limited to only the counties for the selected District.

• Submit Year From/To: Defaults to "Any Year" in both the From and To year fields. This is the recommended setting to use to ensure projects that are *missing* a Submit Date are identified. However, if the user wish to work on a smaller date range, the user can select a year from the dropdown list from 1983 through the most current year for which project data is available. The From year selected must be less than or equal to the To year and the system enforces this rule by limiting the user's To year dropdown selections to an appropriate set of years equal to or greater than the From year selected.



Figure 52 CRASH Maintenance - Project Discrepancy Report Sample

The Project Discrepancy Report contains the following data fields:

- **FM No**: The current FM No for the project. It should contain the Financial Management (FM) project number from the Work Program system.
- **District**: The DOT Managing District for the project.
- **Roadway**: The Roadway's Section and Subsection identifiers. The Roadway's Section portion may be blank, but Subsection portion will always contain at least "000" since that is the default value saved when a new project is started.

- **BMP**: The Beginning Milepoint for the project, refers to the inventoried length of the roadway identifier.
- **EMP**: The Ending Milepoint for the project, refers to the inventoried length of the roadway identifier.
- **Status**: The current Project Status code.

Note: The value in this status field will sometimes determine which Discrepancy edits are made for missing data on a project (refer to the explanations in the Discrepancy field below). The valid Project Status values are:

- Analysis The project is still in the analysis stage and may or may not be implemented.
- **Construction**: The project is being constructed, but the construction has not been completed.
- **Completed**: The project has been completed and the construction periods (Construction Begin Date and Construction End Date) have been entered.
- Discrepancy: Contains the Discrepancy Description(s). One or more discrepancies will be displayed, separated by commas. The Project Status value drives whether a discrepancy description is checked for a project. The figure below shows each Discrepancy Description the system checks, its meaning, and a under the Project Status values for which it applies.
- **CRASH Project ID**: Displays the CRASH Project ID as a hyperlink. By clicking on a hyperlink the user is transferred to the CRASH → Project Analysis → Edit Project webpage (i.e., the Safety Benefit-Cost Analysis Form in edit mode), where she may enter the missing

information. When changes are complete, click on the **Save Project** button to save the user's changes.

The following table includes a list of possible discrepancies that the user can resolve from the Project Discrepancy Report:

Discrepancy		Discrepancy	y Checked when	Project Status is:
Description	Discrepancy Meaning	Analysis	Construction	Completed
District	District is missing	~	-	~
County	The DOT County is missing	~		1
Section	Section portion of the Roadway column is missing it should contain the Linear reference section for the road within County (first two digits) and 3rd-5th digits of the Roadway Identifier	-	-	
SubSection	SubSection portion of the Roadway is missing.	~	1	1
Missing Milepost	Beginning Milepost (BMP) and/or Ending Milepost (EMP) are missing	~	-	~
Neg Length	The length of a project location is negative	1	-	1
Missing Imp Type	Primary Improvement Type is empty	~	-	~
0 - Imp Type	Primary Improvement Type not yet Assigned	1	-	
Missing Submit Date	Submit Date is missing in the Benefit- Cost Analysis form	~	-	
Missing FM No	Financial Management project number from the Work Program system is missing in the Benefit-Cost Analysis form		-	-
Missing CBD	Construction Begin Date (CBD) is missing			
Missing CBD/CED	Construction Begin Date (CBD) or Construction End Date (CED) is missing			~

Figure 53 Available Project Discrepancy Values

3.8.6.2.1.1 Resolving a Project Discrepancy

Upon clicking the CRASH Project ID link, the user is transferred to the Safety Project Benefit-Cost Analysis form in Edit mode.

				ON		Search FD	OT	e l'one map
	J IRAI	NSFUI	TAI			Execution Arrive		
_	Home A	About FDOT	Contact	Us Map	os & Data	Offices	Performan	ce Projec
eb Application	n							
ida Traffic Safety Porta dit Project	I / CRASH / Historical	Projects / Ed	it Project				WELCOMEJAS	ON NELMS (ST
					1.5			
The			-	-	10			Contraction of the second
Statistics of the local division of the loca	A STATE OF THE OWNER	-			-			
			-			les-	4	
CRASH			Florida De	epartment o	of Transp	ortation	1	1
CRASH Project Analysis	© Crash Based	O Non-Crash	Florida De Safety Pr Based	epartment o oject Benef	of Transp fit-Cost A	ortation nalysis	1	
CRASH Project Analysis storical Projects	© Crash Based	Non-Crash I FIU-LCTR	Florida De Safety Pr Based	epartment o oject Benef Local Project	of Transpo fit-Cost A	ortation nalysis	Safety	Priority
CRASH Project Analysis storical Projects	Crash Based 1 Submitted by 2 Date Submitted 3 Breiset No.	Non-Crash I FIU-LCTR 1/24/2005	Florida De Safety Pr Based	epartment c oject Benef Local Project y)	of Transp fit-Cost A	ortation nalysis	Safety Enviro	Priority nmental Study
CRASH Project Analysis storical Projects Maintenance	© Crash Based 1. Submitted by 2. Date Submitted 3. Project No. 4. Alternative No.	Non-Crash I FIU-LCTR 1/24/2005	Florida De Safety Pr Based (mm/dd/yyy	epartment c oject Benel Local Project y) VPA No FM No 4043	of Transp fit-Cost A	ortation nalysis Skid (ID) SN	Safety Enviro	Priority nmental Study
CRASH Project Analysis storical Projects Maintenance Project Evaluation	Crash Based Submitted by Date Submitted Project No. Alternative No. District 1	Non-Crash I FIU-LCTR 1/24/2005 County/Section	Florida De Safety Pr Based (mm/dd/yyy V 01000	epartment c oject Benel Local Project y) VPA No FM No 4043 Subsection	of Transp fit-Cost A	ortation nalysis 5 Skid (LD.) SN State Road	Safety Environ U.S. F	Priority nmental Study Road
CRASH Project Analysis storical Projects Maintenance Project Evaluation Method	Crash Based Submitted by Date Submitted Project No. A Alternative No. District 1 7.	Non-Crash I FIU-LCTR 1/24/2005 County/Section Loc 1	Florida De Safety Pr Based (mm/dd/yyy V 01000 Loc 2	epartment c oject Benef Local Project y) VPA No FM No. 40439 Subsection Loc 3	of Transpo fit-Cost A 591 000 Loc 4	ortation nalysis 5 Skid (I.D.) SN State Road Loc 5	Safety Environ U.S. F Loc 6	Priority nmental Study Read
CRASH Project Analysis storical Projects Maintenance Project Evaluation Method Home	Crash Based Submitted by Date Submitted Project No. Automative No. District 1 Reginning Milepor Endine Mileport	© Non-Crash I FIU-LCTR 1/24/2005 County/Section Loc 1 ost	Florida De Safety Pr Based (mm/dd/yyy V 01000 Loc 2	y) VPA No. FM No. 4043 Subsection Loc 3	591 Loc 4	ortation nalysis 5 Skid (I.D.) SN State Road Loc 5	Safety Environ U.S. F Loc 6	Priority nmental Study Road
CRASH Project Analysis storical Projects Maintenance Project Evaluation Method Home	Crash Based Submitted by Date Submitted Project No. Alternative No. Clistrict 1 Beginning Milepost Length	© Non-Crash I FIU-LCTR 1/24/2005 County/Section Loc 1 est	Florida De Safety Pr Based (mm/dd/yyy V 01000 Loc 2	epartment c oject Benef Local Project y) (PA No. FM No. 4043: Subsection Loc 3	591 591 Loc 4	ortation nalysis 5 Skid (I.D.) SN State Road Loc 5	Safety Environ U.S. F Loc 6	Priority nmental Study Road
CRASH Project Analysis storical Projects Maintenance Project Evaluation Method Home	Crash Based Submitted by Date Submitted Submitted Project No A Alternative No District T Beginning Milepost Length Node	Non-Crash I FIU-LCTR 1/24/2005 County/Section Loc 1 ost	Florida De Safety Pr Based (mm/dd/yyy V 01000 Loc 2	y) PA No FM No. 4043: Subsection Loc 3	591 Loc 4	ortation nalysis 5 Skid (LD.) SN State Road Loc 5	Safety Environ U.S. F Loc 6	Priority nmental Study Road
CRASH Project Analysis storical Projects Maintenance Project Evaluation Method Home Save Project	Crash Based Submitted by Crash Based Submitted by Date Submitted Project No. Alternative No. District 1 Crash Beginning Milepot Length Node E. Description of Lo	Non-Crash I FIU-LCTR 1/24/2005 County/Section Loc 1 est cation/Facility Ty	Florida De Safety Pr Based (mm/dd/yyy V 01000 Loc 2	epartment c oject Benef Local Project y) VPA No FM No 4043 Subsection Loc 3	of Transpi fit-Cost A 591 000 Loc 4 ess than 40	ortation nalysis 5 Skid (LD.) SN State Road Loc 5 00 characters):	Safiety Environ U.S. F Loc 6	Priority Immental Study Road Total Length
CRASH Project Analysis storical Projects Maintenance Project Evaluation Method Home Save Project Print Form	Crash Based Submitted by Date Submitted Submitted by Date Submitted Date Submitted Submitted Date Submitted Submitted Beginning Milepost Length Node Subscription of Lo Charlotte Co	Non-Crash I FIU-LCTR 1/24/2005 County/Section Loc 1 ost cation/Facility Ty unty	Florida De Safety Pr Based (mm/dd/yyy V 01000 Loc 2	epartment c oject Benef Local Project y) VPA No FM No 40439 Subsection Loc 3	of Transpi fit-Cost A 591 000 Loc 4 ess than 40	Skid (ID.) SN State Road Loc 5	Saflety Environ U.S. F Loc 6	Priority nmental Study Road Total Length
CRASH Project Analysis storical Projects Maintenance Project Evaluation Method Home Save Project Print Form Map Location Back	Crash Based Submitted by Date Submitted Date Submitted Date Submitted Project No A Alternative No District 1 • Beginning Milepost Length Node B Description of Lo Charlotte Co	Non-Crash I FIU-LCTR 1/24/2005 County/Section Loc 1 ost cation/Facility Ty unty	Florida De Safety Pr Based (mm/dd/yyy V 01000 Loc 2	epartment c oject Benef Local Project y) VPA No FM No. 40439 Subsection Loc 3	591 591 000 Loc 4 ess than 401	Skid (I.D.) SN State Road Loc 5	Safety Environ U.S. F Loc 6	Priority nmental Study Read Total Length

Figure 54 Safety Project Benefit Cost Analysis Form (Edit Mode)

Within the Benefit-Cost Analysis form, the user will now enter any missing data which has caused a discrepancy. Once finished, clicking the **Save Project** saves the project and displays the following pop-up message:



Once the project has been saved, the user can return to the Project Discrepancy Report by clicking the **Back** button.

Upon returning to the Project Discrepancies Report, the user can click the (shown just above the CRASH Project ID column heading) to refresh the query results. When the table finishes refreshing, the user will receive the following prompt.



Click the button or the button to close the message window.

3.8.6.2.2 Exporting the Project Discrepancy Report to Excel

Clicking the **Export to Excel** button on the Project Discrepancy Report begins the export process for the Project Discrepancy Report.

Do you want to open or save ProjectDiscrepancyReport.xls (368 KB) from userappssys.dot.state.fl.us ?	Open	Save 🔻	Cancel	x

If the user choose to open or save, the user may receive the following message, respond with **Yes** to continue to download the Excel file. Or choose **No** to stop the download function. Choosing **Help** will open an Excel Help window explaining the message.

Micro	R Office Excel	
	The file you are trying to open, 'ProjectDiscrepancyReport.xis', is in a different format than specified by the file extension. Verify that the file is not completed and is from a trusted source before opening the file. Do you want to open the file now?	
	Tes No Help	

The Excel file will will open in a separate window and contains the same information shown on the query results list. The user can manage the file using all the normal Excel functionality.

The exported Project Discrepancy Report appears in Excel as follows:

1. Source of Crash Data: The	Department of Highw	ay Safety and	d Motor Vehicle	s (DHSMV) is the offici	al custodian of	the crash reports. The num	bers that DHSMV reports are the official nu	mbers. The Florida
Department of Transportati	ion (FDOT) Safety Offic	e maintains i	its own databasi	e with crash data obtai	ned from DHSN	/V, and conducts analyses b	ased on this data for internal FDOT purpose	25.
2. Use Restrictions: The info	ormation on the Traffic	Safety Web	Portal has been	compiled from inform	ation collected	for the purpose of identify	ing, evaluating or planning safety enhancer	ments. It is used to
develop highway safety con	struction improvement	nts projects v	rhich may be im	plemented utilizing F	ederal Aid High	way funds. Any document o	displaying this notice shall be used only for	the purposes
deemed appropriate by the	Florida Department o	f Transportat	ion. See Title 23	8, United States Code,	Section 409.			
Project Type: Crash Based, F	Project Status: All Proje	ects, District:	All, County: All	Counties, From: Any Y	ear, To: Any Ye	ar, PID:		
			P	roject Discrepancy Rep	ort - 11/7/2013	11:06:54 AM	S2	(D)
FM No	District	Ro	adway	BMP	EMP	Status	Discrepancy	PID
proposed	1	03175	000	0.000	27.948	analysis	0-Imp Type	6937
431340-1	1	06030	000	6.869	7.069	construction	Missing CBD	5400
done	1	09040	000	1.180	10.117	construction	Missing CBD	2154
423434	1	12005	000	5.086	5.486	construction	Missing CBD	2714
430864-1	1	12005	000	0.921	1.421	construction	Missing CBD	3477
420236-1	1	12005	000	2.611	2.773	completed	0-Imp Type	4300
4155721	61	12010	000	12.600	16.817	construction	Missing CBD	1836
430864-1		12010	000	20.235	20.792	construction	Missing CBD	3478
430856-1	1	12010	000	20.932	21.151	construction	Missing CBD	3498
430865-1	1	12010	000	22,888	23.173	construction	Missing CBD	3536
432755-1		12010	000	5.496	10.390	construc <u>tion</u>	Missing_CBD	4392
and the second	NV N		Vac -	ment and	~~~~	Contraction of the second		

Figure 55 Sample Project Discrepancy Report (Excel Format)

3.8.6.3 Project Aging Report

Clicking on the Project Aging Report link within the Maintenance menu, takes the user to the Project Aging Report.

	- <u>.</u> y													_
	(3)	Home	Ab	out FO	от с	iontact (Us Map	561	Data	Offices	Perfe	rman	ce Proj	ect
eb Applicati	on													
nda Traffic Safety Po roject Aging	ial/crasi I Repo	H/Man rt	tenanci	e i Proj	oct Aging) Report					MELCO	WE LIAS	SON NELMS	575
-					÷.,	R.	Plan						X	
		-	and a		in	100				2	-			
CRASH			- X				And a state of		-				Con Long	
Project Analysis	Project A	Aging R	aport											
United and Description	Project Ty	pe: @ (Crash Ba	bes	O Non-C	Xash Bar	ed							
Historical Projects	District Al	I Districts	i el Co	unty A	Counter		Submit Dat	e old	er than	me	nths ad	0		
Maintenance												÷.,,		
Propert Evaluation	FM No	District	Road	Iway	BMP	EMP	Submit Date	in	Age mmidd	CBO	Ag (yy)m	nida)	Project ID	
	4111571	3	55000	000	0.000	1.135	01/13/2005	9	3 1	04/16/2002	12 0	8	1445	×
Home		3	58010	000	9.304	11.004	03/03/2001	13	1 2				2313	×
Execution Record	209642-4	2	72080	000	4,957	4,950	01/13/2005	9	3 1				1410	×
Back	212949-8	12	26260	023	0.000	0.021	01/13/2005	93	3 1				1425	×
	4167881	3	57030	030	21.027	22.597	03/31/2005	9	0 2				1735	×
	4140391	4	86200	000	2.887	3.087	03/31/2005	9	0 2/				1969	×
	4154953	5	99000	000			04/01/2005	9	0 23				1758	×
	4229131	4	88000	000	0.000	0.100	06/05/2005	8	10 11				2418	×
	4229141	A	88003	000	0.589	0.689	06/05/2005	8	10 11				2412	×
	4229151	:4	88000	000	0.000	.0.500	06/05/2005	8	10 15	1			2421	×
	207620-2	2	25020	000	16.902	19.569	10/21/2005	8	6 3				2225	×
	419232-1	\$	77060	000	0.000	2.292	11/01/2005		5 2				1951	×
	419366-1	0	75270	000	0.670	0.990	11/01/2005	8.	5 25				1935	×
	4100561	1	03004	000	0.000	1.005	11/04/2005		5 25				1031	×
	4155691	1	17070	000	13.086	21.250	11/04/2005	8	5 20)			1034	×
	A156711	1	16090	000	23.470	25:089	11/04/2005		5.30				1835	×
	4155721		12010	000	12,600	16.017	11/04/2005	. 8.	5.20				1836	×
	4173461	3	16006	000	2.732	4.432	11/0/02/005	1.0	9 28				1843	×
	4173501	1	03590	000	18.186	19.047	11/04/2005		5 21				1846	×
	417392-1 East 1 7 3	1	BS050	000	6.868	7.058	11/04/2005	0.	3 21				1849	×
	1441.162	3 al la	ALL LI ON	8.9443	conset									
		Sector	Offere	Micher	Descenters	en Dieste	itters & Cont	in T	Sint	ark.				
		Santo	Constanting of	orcostal.	a maximum				a de la compañía					
Contact Us	Employme	nt M	Florid	a com	Perfo	rmance	Staten	tinor	of Age	ancy We	b Polic	ies &	Notices	

Figure 56 Project Aging Report

The Project Aging Report is used to identify projects that have not been updated over time. It shows all projects with a Project Status of "Analysis" in all districts and for all counties. When opened the report displays results based on existing default settings for each of the following fields:

- **Project Type**: The desired type of project (i.e., "Crash Based" or "Non-Crash Based). Default to "Crash Based".
- **Project Status**: The status of a given project. Defaults to "Analysis". Use the dropdown arrow to choose the "Construction" value if desired. By hovering on the *symbol*, the following tooltip is displayed explaining the meaning of all **Project Status** values, though this report is using only two of them:
 - Analysis: The project is still in the analysis stage and may or may not be implemented.
 - **Construction**: The project is being constructed, but the construction has not been completed.
- **District**: Use the dropdown arrow to select a DOT District 1-8. If the user's user account is restricted to editing or viewing a single District, this query will default to that district only and cannot be changed. Defaults to "All Districts".
- **County**: Use the dropdown arrow to select a single County, if desired. Defaults to "All Counties" when District is set to "All Districts" or the user may select a specific County from the dropdown list of *all counties within the State of Florida*. If a specific District is selected the County dropdown still defaults to "All Counties" but the County dropdown list is limited to *only the counties for the selected District*.
- **Submit Date older than**: Defaults to blank number of "months ago", which will include projects regardless of how long ago they were created/saved initially.
 - If the user wish to review the projects that have been saved, without a Submit Date, the user must leave this field blank. They are listed at the end of the report.
 - If the user wish to work on a list for a smaller timeframe, the user can enter the desired number of months "old" the user want to review.

Use the following form to filter the default list of aging projects by the above criteria:

Project Aging Report
Project Type: Orash Based Non-Crash Based
Project Status: Analysis 💽 📀
District: All Districts County: All Counties Submit Date older than: months ago.

The following are fields present within the Project Aging Report:

- **FM No**: The current FM No for the project. It should contain the Financial Management (FM) project number from the Work Program system.
- **District**: The DOT Managing District for the project.
- **Roadway**: The Roadway's Section and Subsection identifiers. The Roadway's Section portion may be blank, but Subsection portion will always contain at least "000" since that is the default value saved when a new project is started.
- **BMP**: The Beginning Milepoint for the project, refers to the inventoried length of the roadway identifier. <u>Note</u>: This information may be missing for older "completed" projects.
- **EMP**: The Ending Milepoint for the project, refers to the inventoried length of the roadway identifier. <u>Note</u>: This information may be missing for older "completed" projects.
- **Submit Date**: Displays the Project's Submit Date in mm/dd/yyyy format; or blanks if it is missing.
- Age (yy/mm/dd): The Age in terms of number of years ("yy" portion), months ("mm" portion, and days ("dd" portion) by comparing the current system date to the date the Benefit-Cost Analysis form was first saved to the database through the CRASH Project Analysis Start a New Project process.
- **CBD**: Displays the Project's Construction Begin Date in mm/dd/yyyy format; or blanks if it is missing.

- Age (yy/mm/dd): Displays the Age of the Construction Begin Date (CBD), when present. in terms of number of years ("yy" portion), months ("mm" portion, and days ("dd" portion) by comparing the current system date to the Construction Begin Date entered into the Benefit-Cost Analysis form CRASH Project Analysis "Start a New Project" or "Edit Improvement Projects" processes . This project appears on this report because it is still in a project Status = Construction with the Construction End Date missing.
- CRASH Project ID: Displays the CRASH Project ID as a hyperlink. By clicking on a hyperlink the user is transferred to the CRASH → Project Analysis → Edit Project webpage (i.e., the Safety Benefit-Cost Analysis Form in edit mode), where she may enter the missing information. When changes are complete, click on the Save Project button to save the user's changes.



<u>Note</u>: The user may use the *browser's Back* button or the **Back** button on the **CRASH** \rightarrow **Project Analysis** \rightarrow **Edit Project** webpage's navigational menu, to return to the **Project Aging Report**.

3.8.6.3.1 Deleting an Aging Project

The Project Aging Report Sample also provides functionality to delete reports (see below).

ſ	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	المريم م	<u>1</u> ≩∖	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	<u></u>			مر	12 - -	an.	$\sqrt{-\infty}$	~~~	/	∧	\sim	\checkmark
	FM No	District	Road	lway	BMP	EMP	Submit Date	(yy/	Age /mm/	/dd)	CBD	(уу	Age /mm	/dd)	CRASH Project ID	
	4111571	3	58000	000	0.000	1.136	01/13/2005	8	9	24	04/16/2002	11	6	21	<u>1446</u>	×
		3	58010	000	9.304	11.004	03/03/2001	12	8	3					<u>3315</u>	×
	209642-4	2	72080	000	4.957	4.959	01/13/2005	8	9	24	_	_	_	_	<u>1418</u>	×
Ŷ	$\sqrt{}$	12-		\ 027			~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	\sim		~~~	~~~	~		\sim	$\sim \sim \sim$	

Use the \bowtie icon on a project's data row to delete the project from the database. Clicking the icon transfers the user to the **CRASH** \rightarrow **Project Analysis** \rightarrow **Delete Project** page (i.e., the Safety Project Benefit-Cost Analysis page in edit mode), where the user may have edit permissions to view

the project detail information and delete the project. Click on the **Delete Project** button to delete the project

3.8.6.3.2 Exporting the Project Aging Report to Excel

Clicking **Export to Excel** button to export the data from the Project Aging report to Excel. The system displays the spreadsheet file name and give the user the option to open or save the file, or to cancel the export process in a floating action bar at the bottom of the active list page, see the figure below.

Do you want to open or save ProjectAgingReport.xls (337 KB) from userappssys.dot.state.fl.us ?	Open	Save	•	Cancel	x

If the user choose to open or save, the user may receive the following message, respond with **Yes** to continue to download the Excel file. Or choose **No** to stop the download function. Choosing **Help** opens an Excel Help window explaining the message:

specified by the file extensio	m. Verify that the	e file is not com	rupted and is fro	om a trusted source before	opening the
No Help	a				
	No Help	No Heb	No. Help	No Help	No Help

The Excel file opens in a separate window and contains the same information shown on the query results list. The user can manage the file using all the normal Excel functionality. Below is an excerpt from the Project Aging Report.

 Source of Crash Data: numbers. The Florida D 	The Departmer epartment of Tr	nt of High ansportal	way Safeti tion (FDO1	y and Motor Vehi ') Safety Office m	cles (DH5M) aintains its	v) is the official custo own database with or	dian of the ash data ob	crash rep itained fro	orts. The om DHSN	numbers that IV, and conduc	DH5M cts ana	V repo lyses t	orts are t based on	he officia 1 this data
2. Use Restrictions: The	information on	the Traff	ic Safety V	veb Portal has be	en compile	d from information co	lected for	the purpo	ose of ide	ntifying, evalu	uating	or plan	nning sa	fety
enhancements. It is use	d to develop hi	ghway sa	fety constr	nuction improven	nents projer	cts which may be impl	emented u	tilizing Fe	ederal Ai	f Highway fun	ds. An	y docu	ment di	splaying
this notice shall be user	d only for the pu	irposes d	eemed ap	propriate by the	Florida Dep	artment of Transporta	tion. See T	itle 23, Ur	nited Stat	es Code, Secti	on 409	ù.		
Project Type: Crash Bas	ed, Project Stati	as: Analys	sis, District	: All, County: All	Counties, N	tonths:								
2	1.1			Project	t Aging Rep	ort - 11/7/2013 11:38:0	1 AM				2.11			
FM No	District	Ros	adway	BMP	EMP	Submit Date	Age	o(yy/mm/	(dd)	CBD	Age	(yy/m	m/dd)	PID
4111571		3 58000	000	0.000	1,136	1/13/2005	8	9	25	4/16/2002	11	6	22	144
TBD		3 58010	000	9.304	11.004	3/3/2001	12	8	4					331
416378-3		3 48030	000	0.000	5.500	10/27/2003	10	0	11					413
209642-4		2 72080	000	4.957	4,959	1/13/2005	8	9	25					141
212949-8		2 26260	023	0.000	0.021	1/13/2005	8	9	25					142
4167881		3 57030	030	21.027	22.597	3/31/2005		7	7		-			173
4140391		4 86200	000	2.887	3.087	3/31/2005	8	7	2					196
415495-1		99000	000	0.000	10.000	4/1/2005	8	7	0					175
4229131		4 88000	000	0.000	0.100	6/5/2005	.8	5	2					241
4229141		4 88003	000	0.589	0.689	6/5/2005	5	5	2					241
4129151	NA	100	- Canon	- and the		and the second	AN .	-35A	2	NO.	-			- 42



3.8.7 Project Evaluation Method

Project Evaluation Method

Click on the button to open the **Project Evaluation and Selection Method** in **CRASH** document – a PDF detailing the formulas used in the Crash Summary – in a separate window.



Figure 58 Project Evaluation and Selection Method in CRASH PDF Excerpt

4. SSOGis System

The State Safety Office Geographic Information System (SSOGis) allows access to crash data and road information in map and data grid format. SSOGis is accessible from the Traffic Safety Portal to display map(s) containing information from the ARCA and/or CRASH systems.

4.1 Navigating to SSOGis from CRASH

When a user clicks the Map Location button anywhere it appears within the CRASH system, SSOGis opens and zooms to the selected project (see below), with the Projects tab active.



Figure 59 Project Evaluation and Selection Method in CRASH PDF Excerpt

A user who accesses SSOGis from CRASH will have access to all functionality within SSOGis. They will simply begin with the Projects tab activated and displaying information pertaining to the project that the user has requested to map.

SSOGis includes its own Help file (see the with the button in figure below) documenting how to use the components, features, tools and functions built into this system. Here, we explore SSOGis system and its functionality at a high level only. Please refer to the SSOGis Help documentation for specific detailed instructions in how to use the system.

Clicking on the Florida Traffic Safety Portal link (Florida Traffic Safety Portal) in the right side of the SSOGis banner opens the portal's Homepage in a separate browser window.

4.2 Components

There are several basic components in the SSOG system that will be examined in greater detail in this document. They all appear in the figure above and each will be shown in focus below.

4.2.1 Navigation Tool



Allows the user to do the following

Pan: Allows the user to navigate the map(s) using the directional buttons or manually. The user may drag the entire map to a preferred place within the viewer to facilitate and simplify the study of multiple locations. See expanded view of the Panning Tool below.

Zoom In and **Zoom Out**: Respectively narrows or enlarges the field(s) of study. To execute, select the icon and click the desired location to generate a new view. The user may also choose a specific area by holding down the left mouse button and dragging the cursor over the area to highlight that particular field.

Full Extent: Provides a full map(s) view by resizing the map to fit within the given window.

4.2.1.1 Panning Tool Functional Buttons



Figure 60 SSOGis Panning Tool

<u>Note</u>: Clicking and dragging the outer border of the panning tool allows the user to rotate the view of the map.

4.2.2 SSOGis Application Toolbar

The application toolbar displays the tools that are available for the action. Clicking on a button in the toolbar will open the tool. When a tool is already open the toolbar will highlight the user's current tool in use. The figure below shows the toolbar and a legend explaining what each tool button does. Refer to the SSOGis System's Help file for more detailed instructions as to how to use these tools.

< \$ @ \$ \$ \$	
Toggles the toolbar visibility	Zoom Zoom to selected region
Switch basemaps or turn data layers on or off	Zoom To Feature Zoom to specified map features
Identify Identify map feature	Get lat/long coordinates
Q Query Query map layers	Zoom To Coordinates Zoom to lat/long coordinates
Measure Measure Measure distances on the map	Print Print the current map view
Draw Draw geometry on the map	Bookmark Extent Bookmark the current extent
Address Lookup Locate Florida addresses	View and Create Gis Presentations

Figure 61 SSOGis Application Toolbar Legend

4.2.2.1 Map Extent Views

The following are examples of map extent views within SSOGis.





4.2.3 MAP

The background for the map that shows the base roads, city boundaries, contours and geographic features, is a static picture provided by the Environmental Systems Research Institute (ESRI) and is not an information layer, therefore it cannot be queried and a legend is not available for it. The other layers, however, are provided by the Florida Department of Transportation, State Safety

Office (SSO) and do have information that can be queried. The roads layer for the current publication is based on TeleAltas version 10.2 and therefore does not always exactly align with the ESRI background. The next map update will be a change to NavTeq 2013 Q1 and should align much more closely with the background. Likewise, older analysis data that are presented on the map may have been generated on a version of the map that is older than or different from the background or from the most current roads layer and may not always align exactly with the newest map or with the background.

The SSOG is Help Viewer contains information related to selecting layers and the legend defining the information found in the layers.



4.2.3.1 SSOGis Help – Layers Tab

Figure 63 SSOGis Help – Layers Tab

4.2.3.2 SSOGis Help – Legend Tab



Figure 64 SSOGis Help – Legend Tab

4.2.4 DATA

The information connected to the roads layer is extracted from various FDOT databases for Roadway Characteristics Inventory (RCI) and for Crash Analysis and Reporting (CAR and CLAR). The crash information are from the Crash Analysis and Reporting (CAR) database and include only long-form-reported crashes and do not currently include any short-form-reported crashes.

The High Crash Segments and High Crash Intersections are the result of SSO crash rate analysis processes and are not intended to be complete or exhaustive and their inclusion here does not imply any particular ranking or limitation. The information presented on the Florida Traffic Safety Web Portal has been compiled from information collected for the purpose of identifying, evaluating or planning safety enhancements. It is used to develop highway safety construction improvements projects which may be implemented utilizing Federal Aid Highway funds. Any product displaying this notice or provided by the FDOT SSO or derived from the Florida Traffic Safety Web Portal shall be used only for the purposes deemed appropriate by the Florida Department of Transportation. See Title 23, United States Code, Section 409.

Also note that the Florida Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles (DHSMV) is the official custodian of the crash reports. The numbers that they report are the official numbers. The FDOT SSO maintains its own database with Long Form crash data sent to us by DHSMV. However, the existence of multiple databases which are managed differently and different technicians performing data extracts, extract the data, the Safety Office counts are rarely an exact match with the numbers provided by DHSMV.

Data results from a query are represented in a data grid below the map display (see the area in the red rectangle below).

4.2.4.1 Crash Data

SSOG is displays data for on-system and off-system roads in both map and data grid formats.

The figure below shows the results for a query of crashes in Orange County during the year 2011. The crash location shown in the data grid is represented by the \bigcirc . symbol on the map (see below).



Figure 65 Crash Results View

4.2.4.1.1 Crash Search Parameters

Within SSOGis, users can filter crash data by a number of filters. These filters are further discussed in the SSOGis User Manual.



Figure 66 SSOGis Crash Search Parameters

4.2.4.2 Project Data

As mentioned above, users arriving in SSOGis via CRASH see the view for an individual project by default (see below).



Figure 67 SSOGis Project Data View

5. Provide Feedback About This Guide

Thank you for reviewing the CRASH User Manual. We hope you found it informative and easy to use. We solicit your feedback with suggestions or ideas if we can improve it in any way. You may contact us directly or use the portal's Feedback option, re-capped below for your convenience.

Florida Traffic Safety Portal Administrator

FDOT Safety Office 605 Suwannee Street, M.S. 53

Tallahassee FL 32399-0450

Phone: (850) 414-3100

Fax: (850) 414-4221

Email: <u>co-tsw@dot.state.fl.us</u>



Figure 68 Feedback Link

5.1 Feedback Feature

Located at the bottom of *each* page on the Florida Traffic Safety Portal (*refer to red arrow in the example of the Home page shown in the figure above.*)

5.1.1 Instructions

The Feedback feature provides a means for the user to send feedback to the department by clicking on the link.

- a. Once clicked, a form is generated for the user to submit comments and/or questions.
 - i. If the user is an internal FDOT user several fields are pre-populated based on the information listed for the user in Active Directory.
 - ii. If the user is a non-registered public user using the PRODUCTION Internet URL, all fields shown in the screenshot below will be blank unless entered manually by you.

We would like to hear from yo	ou!						
Please let us know how we did and what we can do to serve you better							
Name:							
Organization:							
Position:							
E-mail:							
Phone:							
Message*:	*						
I would like to be contacted. No Yes (email required)							
* Required Fields							
	Submit Clear						

Figure 69 Feedback Form

- b. The user must enter comments or questions in the **Message** field before submitting the feedback.
 - iii. This is a required field.
 - iv. If not entered before clicking on the Submit button, the following warning message is displayed. Click the OK button or the Submit button to close the message window.



- v. Enter feedback in the Message field, click on the **Submit** button.
- vi. An email is sent to a group email account (<u>co-tsw@dot.state.fl.us</u>).
 - 1. Note the system will check for email address, as noted below, before sending the feedback email.
- c. The system defaults to "No" for the "I would like to be contacted." statement. If the user wish to be contacted, please select the "Yes" radio button and enter the user's email address before submitting the feedback.



vii. If "Yes" is selected without information in the E-mail field, the following warning





- viii. Enter the user's email address into the "**E-mail:**" field, click on the button and an email is sent to a group email account (<u>co-tsw@dot.state.fl.us</u>).
- d. The user can click the Clear button to reset the feedback form to its original display state.
- e. Use the browser's **Back** arrow button to abandon the Feedback process or when the Feedback process is completed, to return to the previous page in focus.
- f. The user may use any of the other navigational links available, if desired

6. Appendix 1: Table of Counties

By County Name		By	By DOT County Number			
	DOT	DOT	DOT	DOT	CONTRACTOR -	
	County	Geographic	County	Geographic		
County Name	Number	District	Number	District	County Name	
Alachua	26	02	01	01	Charlotte	
Baker	27	02	02	07	Citrus	
Bay	46	03	03	01	Collier	
Bradford	28	02	04	01	Desoto	
Brevard	70	05	05	01	Glades	
Broward	86	04	06	01	Hardee	
Calhoun	47	03	07	01	Hendry	
Charlotte	01	01	08	07	Hernando	
Citrus	02	07	60	01	Highlands	
Clay	21	02	10	07	Hillsborough	
Collier	02	01	11	05	Lako	
Columbia	29	02	12	01	100	
Desete	04	01	13	01	5 damatan	
Dista	20	01	10	07	Darce	
Dixie	30	02	1-4	07	Pasco	
Duvai	12	02	10	0/	Pinellas	
Escambia	48	03	16	01	Polk	
Flagler	73	05	17	01	Sarasota	
Franklin	49	03	18	05	Sumter	
Gadsden	50	03	26	02	Alachua	
Gilchrist	31	02	27	02	Baker	
Glades	05	01	28	02	Bradford	
Gulf	51	03	29	02	Columbia	
Hamilton	32	02	30	02	Dixie	
Hardee	06	01	31	02	Gilchrist	
Hendry	07	01	32	02	Hamilton	
Hernando	08	07	33	02	Lafayette	
Highlands	60	01	34	02	Levy	
Hillsborough	10	07	35	02	Madison	
Holmes	52	03	36	05	Marion	
Indian River	88	04	37	02	Sumannee	
lackson	53	03	96	07	Taylor	
lottorcop	55	03	20	02	Holon	
Jerrerson		03		02	Onion	
Larayette		02	40	03	Callbastia	
Lake	11	03	47	03	Camoun	
Lee	12	01	40	03	Escambia	
Leon	55	03	49	03	Franklin	
Levy	34	02	50	03	Gadsden	
Liberty	56	03	51	03	Gulf	
Madison	35	02	52	03	Holmes	
Manatee	13	01	53	03	Jackson	
Marion	36	05	54	03	Jefferson	
Martin	89	04	55	03	Leon	
Miami-Dade	87	06	56	03	Liberty	
Monroe	90	06	57	03	Okaloosa	
Nassau	74	02	58	03	Santa Rosa	
Okaloosa	57	03	59	03	Wakulia	
Okeechobee	91	01	60	03	Walton	
Orange	75	05	61	03	Washington	
Osceola	92	05	70	05	Brevard	
Palm Beach	93	04	71	02	Clay	
Pasco	14	07	72	02	Duval	
Pinellas	15	07	73	05	Flagler	
Polk	16	01	74	02	Nassau	
Putnam	76	02	75	05	Orange	
Santa Bosa	58	03	76	02	Putnam	
Sararota	17	01	77	05	Seminole	
Seminole	77	05	79	02	St Johns	
St. Johne	79	03	70	02	Molucia	
St. Johns	78	02		05	Dreating	
Strucie	94	04	80	04	Broward	
sumter	18	05	87	06	Mami-Dade	
Suwannee	37	02	88	04	Indian River	
Taylor	38	02	89	04	Martin	
Union	39	02	90	06	Monroe	
Volusia	79	05	91	01	Okeechobee	
Wakulla	59	03	92	05	Osceola	
Walton	60	03	93	04	Paim Beach	
Washington	61	03	94	04	St. Lucle	